Historical Phonology of Classical Armenian

Preface

When Kortlandt told me that his articles on Armenian would be collected and edited, I suggested that he should add a systematic presentation of the historical phonology, so that it would be easy to find his ideas and to see the coherence. He agreed, on condition that I would write it. After some consideration I accepted. I always saw his articles in manuscript before they were published, and often urged him to add a few words to make them more easily understandable. He almost always denied to do so, pointing out that everything had been said already in the text. Of course he was right, but to read it in the way required is often rather difficult.

The other reason is, of course, that Armenian historical phonology is not really easy, and that the existing introductions are very short and by now some twenty years old. For example the laryngeals, which are now such an important subject, are not treated in them (simply because at that time it was not yet possible to say much about it). Personally, I also found that it is often difficult to find things in the existing introductions. One of my aims, then, was to provide a survey where everything was discussed in a place where one can easily find it. That is e.g. the reason why I added a detailed table of contents. I feel that I have not always succeeded in clearly presenting the issues, simply because the problems are often so complicated.

I have not earlier published on Armenian, and the only aim of my writing this introduction is to give a survey containing Kortlandt's views, whose work has so much contributed to Armenian studies. Only here and there one may find a remark which is my own. I made grateful use of the existing introductions, and of Clackson's excellent recent study, which discusses many problems in a very clear way (though I do not agree with him on all points).

For the sake of the unity of the book, I used Kortlandt's notations, though in some cases I would prefer another one.

I could not have written this without the continuous support of Kortlandt, with whom I discussed many points. Of course, I remain sole responsible for this presentation. It was a great intellectual adventure to go into the details of Armenian historical phonology. My presentation must (also) be seen as a token of my gratitude for Kortlandt's continuing stimulation and friendship in the years we worked in the University of Leiden.

Leiden, september 2001

Robert S.P. Beekes

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Armenian
 - 1.1.1 The earliest Armenians
 - 1.1.2 Sources
 - 1.1.3 Dialects
 - 1.1.4 The alphabet
 - 1.1.5 The phonemic system
 - 1.1.6 Modern pronunciation
- 1.2 Indo-European
 - 1.2.1 The PIE phonemic system
 - 1.2.2 Note on the laryngeals
- 1.3 Armenian and Indo-European
 - 1.3.1 From PIE to Armenian; a survey
 - 1.3.2 From Armenian to PIE; a survey
 - 1.3.3 The position of Armenian

2. ACCENT

- 2.1 The loss of final syllables
 - 2.1.1 Retained final vowels
- 2.2 Pretonic vowel reduction; the Armenian vowel alternations

3. VOWELS

3.1 *e

- a) *e > e
- b) *e > i before nasal
- c) *e > a

3.2 *
$$o$$

a) * $o > o$

b) * $o > u$ before nasal

c) * $o > a$

3.3 * \bar{e}

3.4 * \bar{o}

4. DIPHTHONGS

a) *
$$oi > \bar{e}$$

b) * $oi > ay$

4.3 *eu 4.4 *ou

4.5 The PIE long diphthongs

5. THE PROTHETIC VOWEL

6. VOWEL CONTRACTION AND VOWEL

ASSIMILATION

- 6.1 Vowel contraction
- 6.2 Vowel assimilation

7. SEMIVOWELS

7.1 Semivowels as vowels

7.1.1 PIE **i*

7.1.2 PIE **u*

7.2 Semivowels as consonants

- a) *y > j
- b) *-aRy-, *-oRy?- > -ayR-, -oyR?-
- c) *y > zero
- d) *yi > stressed hi, and PArm. *hi > unstressed (a)-; yisun
- e) Clusters with *y see 12

7.2.2 PIE *w

- a) *w > g
- b) *w > -w
- c) *w > w in other positions?
- d) *w > zero
- e) Clusters with *w see 12

8. RESONANTS 8.1 Syllabic resonants 8.1.1 *r 8.1.2 *1 8.1.3 *n 8.1.4 *m 8.2 Consonantal resonants 8.2.1 *r8.2.1.1 Cluster *rs see 12.1 8.2.2 *1 8.2.3 *n a) *n > nb) *n > zero8.2.4*ma) *m > mb) *m >zero before sc) *m > nd) *-m > zeroe)*mn > wnf) m > w before/after u9. PIE *s 9.1*sa) *s = sb) *s > hc) *-s > -k'9.2 Clusters beginning with *s see 12.2 10. STOPS 10.1 The Armenian consonant shift 10.2 Labials 10.2.1 *p a) *p > hb) *p > zeroc) *p > w10.2.2 *b 10.2.3 *bh a) *bh > bb) *bh > w between vowels 10.2.4 Cluster *ps see 12.3 10.3 Dentals

10.3.1 *t

a) t > zero

```
b) t > t
```

c)
$$*t > d$$

d) *-t > zero after n

e) *
$$t > t'$$

f) *
$$t > w$$
 before resonant

g) t > y between vowels, w between back vowels

10.3.2 *d

10.3.3 *dh

10.3.4 Clusters beginning with dental see 12.4

10.4 Palatals

10.4.1 *k

a) *
$$k > zero$$

b) *
$$k > w$$

c) *
$$k > s$$

10.4.2 *¢

10.4.3 *\delta h

10.4.4 Depalatalization

10.4.5 Clusters beginning with palatal see 12.5

10.5 Labiovelars and pure velars

 $10.5.1 *k^w$

a)
$$k^w > zero$$

b) *
$$k^w > g$$

c)
$$*k^w > k'$$

d)
$$k^w > s$$

 $10.5.2 *g^w$

 $10.5.3 *g^w h$

10.5.4 Palatalization

a)
$$k^w > \check{c}$$

b) *
$$g^w > \check{c}$$

c) * $g^w h > j$; ž between vowels

10.5.5 Clusters beginning with velar: 12.5

10.6 Clusters stop + laryngeal see 12.6

11. LARYNGEALS

11.1 Word-initial

11.1.1 before vowel, HV-

11.1.2 before consonant, HC-

11.1.3 before resonant, HR-

11.1.4 before semivowel, HW-

11.2 Word-final

11.2.1 after vowel, -VH

```
11.2.2 after consonant, -CH
```

11.2.3 after resonant, -RH

11.2.4 after semivowel, -WH

11.3 In inlaut

11.3.1 after vowel, VH

11.3.1.1 before vowel, VHV

11.3.1.2 before consonant, VHC

11.3.1.3 before resonant, VHR

11.3.1.4 before semivowel, VHW

11.3.2 after consonant, CH

11.3.2.1 before vowel, CHV

11.3.2.2 before consonant, CHC

11.3.2.3 before resonant, CHR

11.3.2.4 before semivowel, CHW

11.3.3 after resonant, RH

11.3.3.1 before vowel, RHV

11.3.3.2 before consonant, RHC

11.3.3.3 before resonant, RHR

11.3.3.4 before semivowel, RHW

11.3.4 after semivowel, WH

11.3.4.1 before vowel, WHV

11.3.4.2 before consonant, WHC

11.3.4.3 before resonant, WHR

11.3.4.4 before semivowel, WHW

11.4 Two larvngeals

11.4.1 HH

11.4.2 HRH

11.4.3 HWH

12. CLUSTERS

- 12.1 Clusters beginning with *r: * $rs > \bar{r}$
- 12.2 Clusters beginning with s
 - a) *sy > y
 - b) *sw > k'
 - c) * $sr > \bar{r}$
 - d) *sp > sp
 - e) *st > st
 - f) *sk, * sk^w , *sk > c'
- 12.3 Clusters beginning with labial: *ps > s- (*pst- > st-), -p'-
- 12.4 Clusters beginning with dental
 - a) $*dhy > \check{t}$
 - b) *tw > k

- c) *dw > k
- d) *TK

12.5 Clusters beginning with velar

- a) * $ky > \tilde{c}$
- b) *ku > š
- c) *ks > c', š
- d) *ks, $k^w s > c'$, \check{s}

12.6 stop + laryngeal

- a) *pH > p'?
- b) *tH > t'?
- c) $*k^wH$, kH > x

13 OTHER DEVELOPMENTS

- 13.1 i a > ea
- 13.2 e > ei before \check{s} , \check{z} , \check{t}
- 13.3*io > wo and iw > u; tuənjean
- 13.4 *opC > *owC > uC
- 13.5 The sequence *- nK^w -
- 13.6 * $aug > *ag^w > ak$
- 13.7 y-epenthesis
- 13.8 w-epenthesis
- 13.9 et, it > ewt, iwt
- 13.10 c' > s before c', j'
- 13.11 Final -y after vowel
- 13.12 Loss of stops between consonants
- 13.13 Metathesis

14 RELATIVE CHRONOLOGY

- 14.1 Intermediate stages
- 14.2 Relative chronology
- 14.3 Examples

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Armenian
- 1.1.1 The earliest Armenians

Traditionally the Armenian alphabet was devised in 406/7. Before that time the history of the country is prehistory, except that it is mentioned by other peoples. The Armenians call themselves *Hay*, pl. *Hayk*', of which the origin is not known for certain. The etymology by PIE *potis 'mighty, lord' (cf. bay 'word' < *bhH₂tis) seems impossible, as h < *p was lost before *o. In the Hittite archives a land *Hayasa* is mentioned,

which has been assumed to be the source of the name. But in Armenian the -y- would probably have been lost. The connection with the name of the people of the Hatti (from which the Hittites got their name) fits Armenian sound laws (assuming that the *H*- of *Hatti* could become *H*- in Armenian). The question is whether the Armenians lived in the land of the Hatti. When they came from the west (and overran the Hittite Empire), they may well have lived for some time west of the Urartian territory. This derivation therefore must be seriously considered. The fact that the name can have developed from *Hatti* can hardly be a coincidence. (It may be noted that the name could have -y from -sy-; and the *H*- could derive from a *p*- if not followed by -o-).

We first find the Armenians in the area of the realm of Urartu. So it seems very probable that the Armenians came into their historical lands when the realm of Urartu collapsed, between 640 and 625; the Armenians may themselves have played a part in the end of the Urartian world. So they could have lived nearby, but we have no means of knowing at what time they came in this direction. It is possible that they came to the area around 1200 BC, when the Hittite Empire disappeared. Nor do we have any historical indication from where they came, from the East or from the West. On very general grounds - comparison with what we know to have happened (the arrival of the Hittites and of the Phrygians) - it is more probable that they came from the West. Herodotus' statement (7.73) that they were Φρυγῶν ἄποικοι ('colonists of the Phrygians') is not very reliable: such statements by classical authors are often wrong, and Herodotus based himself on their equipment. Eudoxos (ap. St. Byz. s.v. Άρμενία) says that the Armenians τῆ φωνῆ πολλά φρυγίζουσιν; but it is not clear what this exactly means. Still, if the mention of the Phrygians contains a historical element, it may imply that the Armenians once lived near (east of) the Phrygians. A confirmation of their western origin has often been seen in the supposed connection of Armenian with Greek. However, this connection is now severely attacked on good grounds. But there are some indications that point to a connection with Thracian and Albanian - hardly with Phrygian (see below 1.3.3).

We are told that the Medes (under Kuaxares, 625 - 585) beat the Armenians. A little later their land became part of the Persian Empire. The name Armenia, the origin of which also is not exactly known, is first mentioned in Darius' inscription in Bisutun. While the Akkadian version still speaks of Úrášţu and the Elamite version (which is older than the Persian) has *Harmin*-, the Old Persian text has *Armina*. The word for the people (*Arminiya*; Elam. *Harminuya* probably has the Persian suffix

added; *Harminu* 'Armenia' only XPh 16) is derived from the name of the land. It probably is the land where Medes or Elamites first encountered the Armenians. The inscription mentions an Armenian called *Haldita*, which is an Urartian name. His son raises a rebellion against Darius in Babylon! Another Armenian leads an army of Darius against a rebellion in Armenia. So at that time the Armenians were well established in the Persian world.

In the vocabulary Urartian words have been identified. From Iranian, however, a very large number of borrowings have been pointed out. This is no surprise, of course, when Armenian was for so long under the influence of Medes and Persians. The oldest and largest layer, however, comes from Parthian. Geographically this is only to be expected, but it implies that the largest influx dates from after the fall of the Achaemenid Empire. The question whether the oldest Iranian loans predate the Armenian loss of final syllables has been much debated: the question is whether the loanwords have the same stem(vowel) as the original Iranian words.

From later times date the borrowings from Greek and Syrian, which are much more limited in number. Olsen (1999, 857 - 967) notes in the vocabulary of the Bible some 600 Iranian loans against + 125 Greek and 80 Syrian loans. The number of inherited words, i.e. words with an Indo-European etymology, is not large; one estimate gives 700 words; Pokorny has only 437 Armenian words. So there remains a very large amount of words of unknown origin.

Christianity became the state religion in 301. The alphabet was designed in 406 by a monk or missionary called Mastoc' (later Mesrop), who started a Bible translation (from Greek), which was finished in 410. (It was first printed in 1666, in Amsterdam.) The period until 460 is considered the Classical language, the language called *oskedar*, 'the golden language' (a term coined by the Mekhitharist scholars in the XVIIth century). This language was artificially retained in use until the XIXth century. One now distinguishes a 'post-Classical' period (VIth and VIIth century) and a pre-middle Armenian stage (VIIIth - XIth century). Middle Armenian is the period of the XIIth - XVIIth centuries (especially known from Cilicia), after which one speaks of Modern Armenian, which occurs in two forms, Eastern and Western Armenian, and in a number of local dialects.

The proof that Armenian was an independent branch of Indo-European was provided by Hübschmann (1877). His etymology of 1883 is not yet replaced in a European language.

1.1.2 Sources

While we have inscriptions since the fifth century, literary texts are only known from later manuscripts. The oldest manuscript is the Moscow Gospel from 887 (though this is not the best manuscript). Most manuscripts date from the end of the XIIth century onwards. They, of course, contain younger elements and must be used with care.

The oldest texts, which are used to establish the earliest stage of the language, are first of all the Bible translation; and the 'Against Heresies' (Etc atandoc') by Eznik of Kolb. Then the 'Life of Mesrop' by Koriwn, 'The History of Armenia' (Patmut'iwn Hayoc') by an author with the pseudonym Agathangelos, and the 'History of Armenia' by Moses from Choren. The fragments of old poetry cited by Moses (the 'Songs of Goltn', Golt'an ergk') tell about pre-Christian times but are not archaic linguistically.

1.1.3 Dialects

a) One has wondered if the Classical dialect as we have it shows elements from different dialects. In fact only one thing seems to point to this: the word t'aršamim 'dry' beside t'a \bar{r} amim which has exactly the same meaning. The development of *rs is in all further instances \tilde{r} , and no other explanation of the other form has been found.

Other variants mostly concern the presence versus absence of *h*-, as in (*h*)*arbenal* 'be drunk', from the IE root **serbh*-. The variation may be explained through the development of different ablaut grades, full grades **selorbh*- losing the **s*- without trace, while the full grade **srelobh*-(and perhaps the zero grade) kept the *h*- longer, before it was lost and the initial *r*- got a prothetic vowel (Kortlandt 1983b, 11 [= this vol., 41]).

Absence versus presence of h- from a laryngeal is found e.g. in (h)ayc' 'inspection'. It is proposed that the forms derive from ${}^*H_2eis > hay$ - versus ${}^*H_2ois - > ay$ -. Now, while it is possible that different dialects generalized either the h- or its absence in forms of this root, it seems quite probable that we may find hesitation within a single dialect. These cases cannot therefore be used as evidence for different dialects in Classical Armenian.

b) The second question that may be asked is if later material gives information about the early developments of the language which cannot be found in the Classical language. That is, is there later material which does not go back on the Classical language and therefore has reflexes of an older situation? A clear instance is the fact that some dialects have nominative forms in -n that go back to the old accusative (like otn 'foot' in the Classical language) where a dialect does not have

this -n and *vice versa*. Thus, beside Class. *astl* 'star' one dialect has *astelna* which continues * H_2 stel-m. It is clear that this form cannot have been taken form the Classical dialect; it must have been selected at an earlier stage (cf. Kortlandt 1985c, 19-21 [= this vol., 63-65]). Note that we are here concerned with morphology. (Cf. also the word for 'milk' in 8.1.2.)

A quite different fact is that some dialects distinguish between

A quite different fact is that some dialects distinguish between an (initial) voiced H- and a voiceless one (h-). The voiced H- represents PIE y-. The assumption is that the Classical language also had this development at an earlier stage, but here the H- was lost. This insight helps clarify details of the Classical language, see 7.2.1d.

The most important contribution from later dialects is the observation that some sounds are glottalized, and the conclusion that this phenomenon is inherited from Proto-Indo-European and reflects the glottalization of the voiced (unaspirated) stops; see 10.1.

c) A different question is whether we can identify the position of the Classical language among other dialects, and so determine its geographical position (which is not a linguistic question). Some facts are difficult to explain. Thus Class. *elbayr* 'brother' stands against *axpar* of all modern dialects. This question has not yet been satisfactorily answered. Of course, it is quite possible that details of a given dialect, e.g. the Classical language, may have been lost everywhere else. This is not an unknown phenomenon in the history of languages. One might think of the position of Mycenaean compared with the dialects known from later times.

The overall conclusion is that the Classical language is one dialect (group), perhaps of a small number of speakers, that there were several dialects (though perhaps differing only on a limited scale), and that the modern dialects may preserve important data for the reconstruction of the oldest history of the language.

1.1.4 The alphabet

The Armenian alphabet was traditionally devised by bishop Mesrop, called Maštoc', in 406/7. The Greek alphabet was apparently a source of inspiration, as the order of the letters of the Greek alphabet is retained, but the shape of the signs can only be derived from it with much difficulty. Also the letters that were added are inserted in unpredictable places: no system has been discovered. For linguistic purposes it is therefore more efficient to follow the order of the Latin alphabet.

The alphabet in the traditional order (the corresponding Greek letters are given before them) is:

α	ш	а	-	ð	С	_	2	j
β	μ	b	κ	4	k	ρ	n.	ř
γ	4.	8	-	4	h	σ	u	s
δ	4.	d	_	ð	j		4	v
ε	Ŀ	e	λ	Z.	ł	τ	uı	t
ζ	ų	\boldsymbol{z}		6	č	_	p	r
η	Ŀ	ē	μ	பி	m	-	\boldsymbol{g}	c'
-	Ľ	а	_	J	y	υ	L	w
8	ß	t	ν	'n	n	φ	ı j ı	p'
_	J	ž	ξ	2	š	χ	₽	k'
ι	þ	i	o	n	0		(o)	(ō)
_	L	l	_	٤	čʻ		(\$)	(f)
	hı	x	π	щ	p			-

In the twelfth century the sign o was added, transcribed \bar{o} . This sound was the result of contraction of the older, Classical diphtong aw. Cf. \bar{e} . Also a sign for f was added, which only occurs in foreign names.

The Armenian alphabet is almost completely phonemic, i.e. every sign indicates a single phoneme. Only v is an allophone of w. The phonological status of \bar{r} , t and a requires discussion (see 1.1.5). The other exception is that |u| is written with two signs, ow. This was taken over from Greek, where ou came to indicate |u| in the 6th century B.C. already In a linguistic context the notation ow should no longer be used: it would be awkward to retain the only 'mistake' in the Armenian alphabetic system (which has caused another problem: the use of v after v if v if v, not v is meant). The value of the signs is given when we discuss the phonemic system.

Earlier some signs were transcribed differently:

Ç	was used for	c'
č	"	čʻ
ŕ	"	ī
ê	″	ē
(ô	"	ō)

The latter (two) are still sometimes used, presumably because the sign is more readily available.

1.1.5 The phonemic system

The phonemic system can be presented as follows:

stops						
labials	p	p'	b			
dentals	t	ť	d			
velars	k	k'	8			
affricates						
	С	cʻ čʻ	j			
	č	č	j			
fricatives	s					
	š		z ž			
	x	h				
resonants						
liquids	r	$ar{r}$				
1	1	ł				
nasals	n	m				
semivowels	y		w			
vowels	i		и			
	e	(∂)	o			
		a				
There are the fo	ay	$ar{e}$	oy			
	0 1	Ü	aw	ew	J	iw
				ea		
There is a triphtong:				eay		

The value of the above signs will in most cases be clear from their position in the phonemic system. 'indicates aspiration. Less clear are \bar{r} and l. The \bar{r} was called a 'double r' (but it was a

Less clear are \bar{r} and l. The \bar{r} was called a 'double r' (but it was a simple phoneme); it was strongly rolled (as in Spanish). It occurred before n, where r is not found. However, the \bar{r} was later generalized in other positions in paradigms; cf. $je\bar{r}n$ 'hand', gen. $je\bar{r}in$. Also r came before n through generalization: verin 'upper, supreme', gen. vernoy. Thus these sounds are phonemes.

The l is traditionally pronounced as $[\gamma]$. Probably it was a 'dark', velar l in origin. It seems to have been in origin a variant of l: l stands especially before consonant while l is found in an aut and after y. In other positions, however, there is an opposition between them (gol 'heat' : gol 'thief').

v is an allophone of w. It stands in an alut (also of compounds), cf. vasn 'because'. Further it is found after o, cf. hoviw 'shepherd'; cf. the instr. ending -aw, -iw with a- and i-stems but -ov with o-stems. This is done because ow was used for /u/. (So this is only a graphic matter.) The value of w is not certain. The traditional pronunciation is [v], but this

may not be old. It could have been a bilabial fricative, or a semivowel.

Armenian did not have geminates. Forms like *errord* 'third', have een ∂ between the two r's: [$yer\partial rord$].

The \tilde{e} derives from PIE ei, but it is not (distinctively) long, as Armenian does not have an opposition between long and short vowels. Its value is in fact |ei|.

 $\it a$. There are several difficulties with this sound, though in practice these are not so serious. — The sound arose through reduction of $\it i$ and $\it u$ in pretonic position, i.e. in all syllables except the last; this sound has phonemic value. However, the sound also occurs automatically between consonants. Here it is of course non-phonemic, but its use was extended in certain morphological categories. Through this development it became phonemic on a much wider scale, but this does not consider us (in a historical discussion).

Thus, we do not know with certainty where else ∂ occurs in the Classical language. The general assumption is that it occurred in the same places as in modern Armenian. In many cases the sound is automatic. The main rules seem to be: an (every) initial cluster has the vowel between the first and the second consonant (grem 'write' [gərem]), except before word initial s + consonant (spitak 'white' [əspitak]). Furher rules, which are not the result of historical events, do not concern us.

That this system existed in Classical Armenian already can sometimes be demonstrated. One is the rendering of Armenian names in other languages. Further, monosyllabic aorist forms got the augment, so kli 'I swallowed' was $[k\partial li]$, span 'he killed' was $[\partial span]$, otherwise they would have got e-.

In some cases one cannot be sure, if one has a written text only. Thus, we have *stanam* [əstanam] but stoy, the genitive of sut 'lie', is pronounced [səto] (that the final -y is not pronounced is irrelevant here). If the etymology is unknown, and if the word does no longer exist in modern Armenian, there is a problem. It is, of course, a problem of

spelling, not a problem of the language.

1.1.6 Modern pronunciation

Details of the pronunciation are not known. We have rules which are mostly followed also for Classical Armenian, but these date from the 11th or 12th century, and some of them are not valid for the Classical language.

The pronunciation of initial y- as [h] is not old.

Word-initial *e*- is pronounced [*ye*], but this is post-Classical.

The same holds for the pronunciation [wo] for o-.

oy before consonant is pronounced [uy]; this may be not Classical.

iw is pronounced as [yu]. No doubt the old pronunciation was [iu]. – The manuscripts sometimes write ew.

ea is pronounced as [ya]; this is easily understood as a later development. The notation ea was no doubt used because it was a diphtong ea.

1.2 Indo-European

1.2.1 The phonemic system of Proto-Indo-European

The phonemic system of Proto-Indo-European has been reconstructed as follows:

```
labials
                  bh
               b
dentals
           t
              d
                  dh
          k ģ
                  ģh
palatals
velars
                  gh)
          (k
labiovelars kw
               \mathcal{R}^w
                  g^wh
sibilant
            S
laryngeals H_1 H_2 H_3
liquids
            r
nasals
             m
semivowels i u
vowels
             е
                  0
```

The resonants have consonantal and vocalic allophones; the latter are indicated as $y \mid y \mid y \mid z$ in the case of the first four, the consonantal ones of $i \mid u$ as $y \mid w$. As the allophones form one phoneme, i.e. as allophones are automatic, it is not necessary to indicate which allophone is under discussion. I therefore shall not indicate the allophones, except when the context requires it. — The resonants are vocalic between consonants.

However, there is a problem when we have two or more consecutive resonants, as each of them can be vocalic or consonantal, depending on the further environment. E.g. /ri/ may be [ri] or [ry]. What happens in these cases depends on the language (and the time) concerned. The situation becomes even more difficult if there are adjoining laryngeals, as these can become vowels in certain positions. We are not always sure what happened, but we shall hardly be concerned with these problems here.

1.2.2 Note on the laryngeals

The main facts about the laryngeals can be summarized as follows:

1. PIE had three laryngeals:

 H_1 H_2 H_3

Single H, without index, is used to indicate any laryngeal, or when it is not known which laryngeal is concerned. The older notation is a_1 etc.

2. In Hittite, H_2 , H_3 before *e are represented as h; before *o they disappear. H_1 always gives zero. The development in Armenian is the same as in Hittite.

```
3. An adjacent e is coloured to a by H_2 to o by H_3.
4. H_1e > e
  H_2e > a (PIE did not have a phoneme a.)
  Ho > o (i.e. an o was never changed)
5. eH_2e > aa, eH_2o > ao etc.
Both sequences H_2ei and eH_2i resulted in ai:
  eH_2i > ai
  H_2ei > ai
In the same way au originated.
PIE did not have diphthongs ai, au.
6. eH_1C > \bar{e}C
  eH_2C > \bar{a}C (PIE did not have a phoneme a.)
  eH_3C > \bar{o}C
  oHC > \bar{o}C
7. iHC, uHC > \bar{\iota}C, \bar{u}C (PIE did not have phonemes \bar{\iota}, \bar{u}.)
8. CH_1C > Gr. CeC
  CH2C > Gr. CaC
   CH_3C > Gr. CoC
```

In the other languages, the three laryngeals had the same reflex: IIr. i or zero, Balto-Slavic zero, other languages a or zero. Armenian has a.

9. $H_1/H_2/H_3C$ - > Gr. e/a/oC-, Arm. e/a/oC-, Phrygian also, other languages zero.

```
10. RHC

rH<sub>1</sub>C > Gr. rēC

rH<sub>2</sub>C > Gr. rāC

rH<sub>3</sub>C > Gr. rōC
```

In the other languages the three laryngeals had the same reflex: Skt. $\bar{i}r$ ($\bar{u}r$ after labial), Av. ara, It.-Cl. $r\bar{a}$, Gm. ur, BS = * $_r$ but with acute accent. Arm, ara.

```
r, l, n, m behave parallel, but NHC > IIr. \bar{a}.
```

11. RHV

```
Skt. ir (ur), Av. ar, Arm. ar, Gr. ar, Gm. ur; BS *ir (*ur) r, l, n, m behave parallel but IIr. an, am.
```

12. pH tH kH > Skt. ph(?) th kh (PIE did not have voiceless aspirates.) $b dg g^w + H > Skt. bh dh gh$

1.3 Armenian and Indo-European

1.3.1. From PIE to Armenian; a survey

```
PIE
           Armenian
                h-, zero; w (h-; zero an laut before o; w after vowel)
b
bh
                             (w between vowels)
                t, t', d, w, y, zero (t after sibilant; d after R; w before R
 ŧ
                and between back-vowels; y between vowels; zero
                anlaut before consonant; -nt > -n)
d
dh
                d
k
                s, w, zero
                                (w before r; zero anlaut before consonant)
ģ
                                  (z intervocalic)
ģһ
                i, z
                k', g, s, zero (g after R; s after u; zero anlaut before
k^w
                consonant)
g^w
                k
g^wh
                č'č j, ž
                                  before e, \bar{e}, i, \underline{i} (\check{z} intervocalic)
                s, h, zero, -k'
                                  (s before stops and x; h- before i; zero
                elsewhere)
                r, \bar{r}
                                  (\tilde{r} before n)
r
                l, t
                                  (t before consonant)
1
                                  (zero before s)
                n, zero
                                  (w before n, before/after u; zero before s)
m
                m, w, zero
```

```
r l n m
              ar al an am
                              (j after R; y after a before R;
              zero, j, y, (h)
 y
                      h when stressed before i)
                              (zero before r, before/after u)
              g, -w, zero
 w
 i
              i u
    и
              e,i (a) o,u (a) (i, u before nasal; a see the text)
 е
    0
 ē ō
              i u
 ei oi
              ē ē
 eu ou
              oy oy
(H_2ei\ H_2eu
              ay aw)
 ēi
              i
Laryngeals (R = r, l, n, m; W = i/y, u/w; -: no evidence)
       HV-
              H_2e, H_3e > ha, ho; otherwise H > zero
       HC-
              e/a/oC
       HR-
              e/a/oR
              W-
       HW-
              \ddot{V}
       -VH
 -H
       -CH
              -Ca?
       -RH
       -UH
              Ŭ
 -VH- -VHV- VV
       -VHC- VC
       -VHR- VR
       -VHW- VW
 -CH- -CHV- kH > x
       -CHC- CC, CaCC
       -CHR- -
       -CHW -
-RH- -RHV- aRV
       -RHC- aRaC
       -RHR- -
       -RHW- -
-WH- -WHV- -
       -WHC- ÜC
       -WHR- no special development
       -WHW- WW
HH
HRH
              aRa
HWH
```

Clusters

I discuss as clusters groups of consonants that are reflected by one phoneme which is not identical with one of the consonants of the original cluster; but I added *sp, *st and *ps (the first and the last being disputed, the second for the sake of coherence). So I do not treat as a cluster *pn > wn (see on *p) or *tr - > r - (see on *t). In case of doubt, I took the group as a cluster.

```
*rs > \bar{r}
*sy > y
            *sw > k'
                          *sr > \bar{r}
*sp > sp
           *st > st
                           *sk, *sk^w, *sk > c
*ps > s- (*pst- > st-), -p'-
*dhy > j
            tw > k'
                         *dw > k
*TK see text
*ky > č'
            *kw > š
*ks > c', š *ks, k^w s > c', š
stop + laryngeal
*pH > p'?
                *tH > t'?
                               *kH > x
```

1.3.2. From Armenian to PIE; a survey

```
<
                PIE
Arm.
                         H_2 H_2e eH_20
а
                         r l n m; rH lH nH mH before vowel
ar al an am
ara ala ana ama
                        rH lH nH mH before consonant
                H<sub>2</sub>ei eH<sub>2</sub>i oi
ay
                e H_1
e
                ei oi
ē
                i-a
ea
                oH_3
0
                eu ou (ē-ō)
oy
i
                i iH ē eH, e-N
                ēsa
ia
                u \ uH \ \bar{o} \ eH_3 \ oH \ o-N \ iw \ before \ vowel
и
b
                bh
                dh (R)t
d
g
j
j
                gh(R)kw
               ģh
               gh before e, i; dhy (R)y
                b
p
t
                d
k
               g dw
```

```
С
č
                gy dy
p'
                vH?
ť
                t tH?
k'
                k tw sw
c'
                sk ks
čʻ
                (s)ky
h
                p (except before o); H_2 H_3 before e; y (before i, stressed)
                kΗ
x
                k Ns s before t, p
s
                ģh,intervocalic
z
š
                kw; sk ks (before consonant)
ž
                gh before e, i, intervocalic
y
                bh intervocalic; p before cons.; t before r; zero before t
w
                after e, i
wo
                io unstressed
r, l, n, m
                r, l, n, m
                rs sr; r before n
ł
                l before cons.
```

1.3.3 The position of Armenian

It was always believed that Armenian had a close connection with Greek. This was recently denied by Clackson (1994). He demonstrates that there are no agreements in phonology (basic developments such as *s > h do not prove anything) or morphology (e.g. the locative in -of is derived by Kortlandt, 1984a, sections 4 and 5 Ithis vol., 47f.], from a genitive ending *ios). There remains the agreement in the vocabulary, which has always been the real argument. Clackson mentions 136 instances, of which he discusses 65 in detail. I agree that several agreements cannot be maintained (words that had *dw- and have erk- in Armenian; see 12.4c) and that several are just retained archaisms (awr - ημαρ 'day'), as may be found between any two Indo-European languages. He retains only three words that occur in Armenian and Greek only (sin - κενός 'empty'; y-awelum - ὀφέλλω 'increase' and sut ψεῦδος (ψεύδομαι) 'lie'; the last hardly IE). In my view there is more: nawt'i 'fasting' - Gr. νήφω simply points to *neH2bh-; erastank' - πρωκτός 'buttocks' can be derived from * $preH_2kt$ - : * $proH_2kt$ - (but may be just a retained archaism); on olb - ὀλοφύρομαι 'wail' see 11.3.3.2 Most interesting is siwn - κίων 'pillar', which has very recently been shown to be Indo-European (Lubotsky-Praust, in Lubotsky's inaugural lecture)

from a word meaning 'shin-bone'. Here we have – what we hardly find anywhere else – a remarkable agreement in meaning found only in these two languages; on the other hand, this may only seem remarkable to us, but be a normal development. For, since it is clear that Greek and Armenian had no phase of common development, we must look for indications that they lived in each other's neighbourhood, through early loans etc. I am unable to produce such instances, but this is most difficult. Both languages seem to have loans form Anatolian/Aegean languages, but this does not mean that they were in close contact. In this perspective I would consider kamur) - γέφῦρα 'bridge'. The Greek word originally meant 'beam', and the Armenian word has been compared with Hattic hamuru(wa) 'beam'. If there are a great number of agreements, this may depend on the large, old and well-studied vocabulary of Greek. I would conclude that a longer period of close contact can neither be demonstrated nor excluded at the present stage.

As to relations with other languages, Kortlandt (1988a) assumed that Armenian shared with Thracian the loss of aspiration in the voiced aspirates (together with Phrygian, Daco-Albanian and Balto-Slavic) and perhaps the devoicing of the voiced stops. With Albanian Kortlandt notes the following agreements (1980a and 1986a): depalatalization of stops before resonants, monophonemicization of the clusters *sw and *kw, and the fact that H_2 and H_3 before e are reflected as h-.

This representation of the laryngeals is according to Kortlandt also found in Hittite. Of course, vocalization of initial, preconsonantal laryngeals is also found on a larger scale: Greek, Macedonian, Phrygian and Hittite. The development is an areal feature, which may partly be due to the substratum languages.

In sum, the relation with Greek has become doubtful, for that with Thracian and Albanian some arguments can be adduced.

2. ACCENT

Armenian shows no trace of the Indo-European accent.

In the oldest phase we can reconstruct, the penultimate syllable (the last but one) was stressed. At a certain stage, as a result of this stress the following = last syllable was lost; not only the vowel, but the consonants following the vowel disappeared as well, except r, l, n (note that at this time *-m had become -n), and also -k' < *-es, the nominal plural ending. E.g.

hing 'five' < *penkwe

```
eber 'he brought' from *ebéret < PIE *H,ébheret
hayr 'father' < *hayir < *pH₂tér
```

A syllabic resonant of PIE first developed into ar, al, an, am (the last further to -an):

ewt'n 'seven' < *séptan < *septín

Note that the Armenian prothetic vowel was added after these developments:

acc. *eris* 'three' < **trins* (otherwise the *e*- would have received the stress, giving **er*[*n*]*s*.

2.1.1. Retained final vowels

The vowel of (what usually is) the final syllable can still be seen if something appeared after that vowel, be it an ending (inflection), a second element of a compound, or a clitic.

Inflection. For the inflection of nouns cf.:

garn, pl. garin-k' 'lamb'

The forms represent *urH_I - $\bar{e}n$ (but the nom. -n continues the old accusative), *urH_I -en-es. In the second form the suffix is preserved because it had the stress, in the first form the suffix disappeared. Note that no two identical forms are concerned here, but only two closely related forms.

tasn 'ten' but metasan 'eleven'

Tasn < *dekm had *-an < *-m. The vowel a is retained in 'eleven' because this is a derivative with -i-; this -i- can again be seen in inflected forms, e.g. gen. metasan-i-c'. The final consonant -n is always preserved.

Compounds.

hing 'five' but hnge-tasan 'fifteen'

Here the final -e of *penkwe is preserved in the compound, which is lost in the isolated form. (The -i- is syncopated before the stress, as is usual; see 2.2.)

č'or-k' 'four' but čorek'-tasan 'fourteen'

Here we see the vowel of the ending *-es reappear in the compound ('four' is a plural form). Note that in this case the final consonant is always preserved.

Difficult is ewt'n 'seven' beside ewt'anasun. Probably -ana- here represents a special development of the PIE ending *-m followed by the (laryngeal) feature of the *d- of * $dkomtH_2$ >-sun. See 11.3.3.2.

um dat. of o(v) 'who?' beside ume-k' dat. of o-k' 'someone'. The vowel of the dative ending is preserved before the particle -k' (which continues *- k^we ; see 10.5.4) of the indefinite pronoun. The -e- must be the dative ending *- $\bar{o}i$ (cf. Skt. $t\acute{a}smai$); Kortlandt 1984a, 102 [this vol., 49]. We find the same in:

urek' 'somewhere', which is the locative of the stem seen in ok'

'somebody', gen. uruk'. It stands beside ur 'where?'.

i-wi-k', instr. of **ik'* 'something', preserves the ending *-*bhi* before the particle -*k'*.

Note that in individual cases the vowel of the ending may live on because of a special development. *suesōr became *k'e[h]ur, after which -eu- behaved as a diphtong which became Arm. -oy-, as did the PIE diphthong *eu.

2.2 Pretonic vowel reduction and the Armenian vowel alternations

At a later stage, the vowel of a pretonic syllable was reduced. The effects pervade the whole system of the language. The developments are as follows:

stressed
$$i$$
 u \bar{e} oy ea eay $-iw$ pretonic ∂ ∂ i u e $e-V$, $i-C$ u

Not changed were: a, e, o; ay, aw, ew and -iw- in inlaut. Initial i- and u-were restored: ink'n 'self', gen. ink'ean, ul 'kid', gen. ul-oy. With monosyllables in -i, -u the vowel was restored in hiatus: ji 'horse', gen. ji-oy, ji-a-wor 'rider'. Vowels before the first pretonic syllable were also restored: sireal 'beloved' - gen. sireloy (not *sreloy). As \bar{e} and oy derive from *ei and *eu or *ou, respectively, it seems that the e disappeared; the reduction is quite different from that of -i, -u. When -iw [iu] was reduced to u, the i became a, just like the single i, and aw became [u]. See also 13. 3. (Note that iw before i, which originated later, remained; see 13.9.) The reduction of ea and eay is again quite different. Examples: sirt 'heart' - gen. srt-i

```
erkink' 'heaven' - erknic'
luc 'yoke' - gen. lc-oy
anasun 'animal' - gen. anasn-oy
emut aor. 'he entered' - 1 sg. mt-i
mēg 'mist, fog' - gen. migi, migamac 'misty'
ēš 'donkey' - gen. iš-oy
loys 'light' - lus-oy, lusawor 'luminous'
ijoyc' aor. 'he let dismount' - 1 sg. ijuc'-i
leard 'liver' - lerd-i
matean 'book' - gen. maten-i
ateam 'I hate' - impf.1 sg. ate-i, 1 pl. ate-ak', pres. subj. 1 sg. ati-c'em
t'iw 'number' - gen. t'u-oy
```

3. VOWELS

3.1 PIE *e

a) e > e

*bher-: berem 'I bear' - Gr. φέρω, Lat. ferõ

*meģ-H₂-: mec 'big' - Gr. μέγας

Words that seem to begin with a vowel had an initial laryngeal and will be discussed with the laryngeals (11.1.1).

b) *e > i before nasal

*penkwe: hing 'five' - Gr. π évte, Skt. páñca. This i is subject to reduction when the stress was replaced; cf. hnge-tasan 'fifteen'. The development en > in, then, was earlier than the vowel reduction.

*seno-: hin 'old'; Skt. sána-, Gr. ἕνος, Lith. sẽnas.

Note that the *e* which is the reduction of *ea* is no longer subject to this change: *matean* 'book', gen. *maten-i*.

c) *e > a Il is only found in the following words.

*dekm: tasn 'ten' - Gr. δέκα, Lat. decem, Skt. dáśa

*sueks-: vat'sun 'sixty'; cf. vec' 'six' < *sueks

Kortlandt considers the *a* the reduced grade vowel (from cases where it appeared regularly as *shwa secundum*) that replaced zero grade vocalism (1994b). (For *calr* 'laughter' see 11.3.3.1)

3.2 PIE *o

a) *o > o on the conditions see below

*uorgom: gorc 'work' - Gr. ἔργον, ξέργον

*gwouio-: kogi 'butter'; Skt. gávya-, Gr. (ἐννεά)-βοιος 'of (nine) cows'.

*pod-m: ot-n 'foot', from the acc. form; Gr. π óδ- α

*-bhoros: -wor 'carrying'; Skt. bhará-, Gr. -φόρος.

Words that seem to begin with a vowel had an initial laryngeal; these will therefore be discussed with the laryngeals (11.1.1).

b) *o > u before nasal

*pont-(eH₁-): hun 'channel, ford'; Lat. pons, pont-is, OCS potb.

*gon-u-: cunr 'knee'; Gr. γόνυ, Skt. janu.

c) *o > a in open syllable (in non-final syllable); o was preserved: before two consonants, before w and when the following syllable had an o (Kortlandt 1983b, 10-11 [this vol., 40]). The change o > a may have preceded development b) (*o > u); see 11.1.2 on anun etc.

*polio-: ali-k´ 'waves, white hair'; Gr. πολιός.

*poku-: asr 'fleece', with -a- from gen. asu; the -r was added later; Skt. páśu (<*peku), Gr. πόκος.

*-o- in compounds became -a-, cf. t'ag-a-wor 'king' < *'crown-bearer'. It is possible that $-\bar{a}$ - was used in this position, but this cannot be proven.

 H_3 before consonant was vocalized to *o, which often falls under the rules given and so often became a-. E.g.:

*H₃nōrio-> *onōryo-> anurj 'dream'.

* H_3d -: ateam 'to hate', *od-; Lat. odium 'hatred', $\bar{o}d\bar{\iota}$ (pf.) 'I hate'

For the exceptions cf: *o before w: kogi (above), hoviw 'shepherd' (< *H3eui-), loganam 'bathe' (Gr. λούω) *o before another o: otorm 'pity', otok' 'prayer', otork 'smooth', oroj 'lamb', oror 'sea-gull', holovem 'roll'.

o before two consonants: orb, ordi, orjik', ort', oskr, ost, otn, gorc, mozi 'calf' (< *-zz-), moranam 'forget' ($\bar{r} < *$ rn or *rs); also ozni 'hedgehog', orcam 'vomit' which got this structure only later (< *ozini, *orucam)

3.3 PIE *ē

 $*\bar{e} > i$

*kērd : sirt 'heart'; Gr. κῆρ, Skt. hắrdi-

The forms with long \bar{e} due to a laryngeal will be discussed there, 11.3.1.2.

3.4 PIE *ō

 $*\bar{o} > u$

*dōm: tun 'house'; Gr. δῶ, cf. δῶμα, Skt. dam-

*snōbhri-: nurb 'small'; OIc. snæfr.

*Ηποτ-io-: anurj 'dream'; cf. Gr. ὄνειρος

* $H_1eH_2m\bar{o}r$: awr 'day' (via *awur); Gr. ημέρ \bar{o} . The forms with long \bar{o} due to a laryngeal will be discussed with the laryngeals (11.3.1.2).

4. DIPHTHONGS

The PIE e- and o-diphthongs fell together in Armenian, as follows:

*ei and *oi > *ei > \bar{e} ;

*eu and *ou > *ou > oy. The first development is very frequent, the latter is not common. Cf. German eu = [oi].

4.1 PIE *ei

* $ei > \bar{e}$, pretonic i

*dheigh-: edēz 'he heaped up'; Skt. déh-mi, Lat. fingō

*leigh-: lizem 'to lick'; Gr. $\lambda \epsilon i \chi \omega$, Skt. léh-mi. Note that unstressed Arm. i must derive from *ei (or *oi), as PIE *i in unstressed position would have become (unwritten) ∂ .

*steib-: stēp 'often', stipem 'urge'; Gr. στείβω 'tread, stamp on'.

Note that the development still took place when *y < *t was involved:

*-e-ti : $-\bar{e}$ 3 sg. pres. ind. ending, $ber\bar{e} < *bher-e-ti$ (from *-eyi > *-ey with apocope).

4.2 PIE *oi

a) * $oi > \bar{e}$, pretonic i

*dhoigh-: dēz 'heap'; Gr. τοῖχος, Goth. daigs

*uoid-: gitem 'to know'; Gr. οίδ-α, Skt. véd-a, Goth. wait

*uoin-: gini 'wine'; Gr. Fοῖνος

b) *oi > ay There are two words which have the reflex ay:

*Hoid-: ayt 'cheek', aytnum 'to swell'; Gr. οἰδέω 'to swell'

*oiwiā : aygi 'vine'; Gr. οἴη, ὄā 'service-tree', Lat. ūva 'grape'

Kortlandt thinks that this development is very old (1980b, 105 [this vol., 32]) and that this is the regular development of *oi- at the beginning of the word.

4.3 PIE *eu

*eu > oy, pretonic u

*leuk-os: loys 'light' (subst.); Gr. λευκός (adj.)

4.4 PIE *ou

*ou > oy, pretonic u

*bhougos: boyc 'nourishment'; Skt. bhóga- 'enjoyment', Lat. fungor

One cites p'oyt' 'zeal'; Gr. σπουδή 'haste', but this comparison is problematic: *sp- remains sp- in Armenian (see 12.2d). (This word may be non-Indo-European.)

Note that the evidence is very meagre (also for *eu).

4.5 The PIE long diphthongs

I know of only one case of indirect evidence of a PIE long diphthong. It is the ending of the dative of the indefinite pronoun *o-k'* 'sombody':

*io-smōi-k^w[e]: ume-k'; the ending was here retained before the generalizing particle *-k^w[e] (on which see 10.5.4); cf. 2.1.1 on the retained vowels. Kortlandt 1984a, 102 [this vol., 49].

Kortlandt assumes (ibidem) that *ure-k'* somewhere' is a locative in *-oi*, and that all final *i*-diphthongs merged into *-*e* before they were apocopated. That the long diphthong was shortened (to *-*oi*) is quite probable.

5. THE PROTHETIC VOWEL

Armenian did not tolerate words beginning with *r*-. Proto-Indo-European did not have such words: where it seems to be so, there was a preceding laryngeal. This laryngeal was not recognized because in most languages it was lost without trace. In Armenian it was vocalized, to *e*-,

a-, o- resp.; see 11.1.2. This means that in Armenian a prothetic vowel was only added before an r that became word-initial secondarily. (Older studies often state that the prothetic vowel arose even before secondary initial r. This dates from the time when the laryngeal developments had not yet been recognized.) This means that if the etymology of a word shows that the r- was (or seemed) initial in PIE already, a laryngeal preceded. As this laryngeal was vocalized in Armenian, a (real) prothetic vowel is impossible, a fact that often is not realized. This is even more clear when a Greek cognate has a vowel from a laryngeal before r-. In that case the Armenian vowel must represent the same laryngeal and cannot be the (later) Armenian prothesis; cf. further 11.1.2.

When the prothetic vowel was added the accent could no longer be withdrawn to it: eris < *trins kept the stress on the i; it was not withdrawn to the e because we would then have got *ers.

There are three ways in which an r could become the initial of a word secondarily:

- 1. through loss of a preceding (PIE) voiceless stop, *p, *t, *k;
- 2. through metathesis;
- 3. through the development * $sr > \bar{r}$ -.

The matter is much more complicated than it appears. Kortlandt assumes that the development of a prothesis was the first step in the development of these forms, followed by the above processes (loss, metathesis and *sr- > \bar{r}). Every step was intended to facilitate the pronunciation and eventual elimination of initial clusters. Thus atr- was easier (because of the syllabification), than tr-. And art- is still easier. When original voiceless stops disappeared, the prothetic vowel did not arise, e.g. *klutos > lu. One also expects metathesis in the case of groups like *dn-, *

Ad 1.

More examples can be found in 13.13, where the metathesis is treated.

^{*}treies: erek' 'three'; Skt. tráyas, Gr. τρεῖς, Lat. trēs.

^{*} k^w rep-: erewim 'appear'; Gr. πρέπω. The initial may have been * k^w , not *p-, because PIE did not have roots with C1 = C3. *prep- is also possible Ad 2.

^{*}drak'- : artasu-k' 'tears'; OHG trahan; with metathesis Gr. δάκρυ, Goth. tagr. See on this difficult word Kortlandt 1985a.

^{*}bhre H_2 tēr : elbayr 'brother'; Skt. bhrátar-, Gr. φράτηρ, Lat. frāter. The t arose through dissimilation before the following r.

Ad 3.

*sruti-: aru 'brook'; Skt. srutí-, Gr. ῥύσις, OIr. sruth.

*srou-: (*erogem >) orogem 'irrigate'; Skt. srávāmi, Gr. ρέω.

Kortlandt follows Pedersen in assuming that the prothetic vowel was *e*- (which could become *o*-, see the next section), while *a*-, which is limited to nouns, was originally a preposition, identical with Slavic *po* (2001).

6. VOWEL CONTRACTION AND VOWEL ASSIMILATION

6.1 Vowel contraction

When an intermediate consonant disappeared, the vowels were contracted. The consonants that disappeared were *y and *s.

Two identical vowels resulted in a long one, which was shortened; note that such a new *e or *o resulted in e, o, not in i, u.

*treies: erek 'three';

*bhoso-gwo-: bok 'barefoot'.

*ni-sisd-: *nist-im > nstim 'sit down'. Note that this i was pretonically reduced to a.

When the vowels were not identical, the phonetic result was never e (it so happens that we have only examples in which one vowel was e):

e - o > o:

*suesor-es: k'or-k' 'sisters';

* k^w etuores: * \check{c} 'eyor- $ek' > \check{c}$ 'or-k' 'four'.

e - a > a:

* H_1esH_2r : *e(h)ar > ar-iwn 'blood' (Kortlandt assumes that the laryngeal was vocalized in this form (1999))

a - e > a

* pH_2 ter-es: *hayer-ek' > har-k' 'fathers'; the -a- could be analogical, however.

Note that in *suesr-bhi: *k'ehrbi the h disappeared before the r was vocalized, which gave k'erb regularly; cf. jerb-akal 'prisoner' from *ghesr-bhi.

Note the following contraction which resulted in a diphthong:

*suesōr: *k'eur > k'oyr; so *s > *h occurred after * \bar{o} > u but before *eu > oy (Kortlandt 1980b, stage 10 [this vol., 29]).

Kortlandt 1997 explains $n\bar{e}r$ from earlier (analogical) *neyir, where ey became \bar{e} , like the PIE diphthong *ei; but cf. 11.3.2.2 on this form.

6.2 Vowel assimilation

There was vowel assimilation, e - o > o - o and e - u > o - u:

*srou-: *erogem > orogem 'irrigate'.

* $H_I r u \acute{g}$ -: *e r u c- > *o r u c- > o r c- am 'vomit'; Gr. ἐρεύγομαι. On the development of the laryngeal see 11.1.2.

7. SEMIVOWELS

The PIE semivowels are i and u. They can be syllabic or consonantal. (Note that the apparent long $\bar{\imath}$, \bar{u} were in fact iH, uH; they are treated in 11.3.4.)

7.1 The semivowels as vowels

7.1.1 Semivowels as vowels: PIE *i

*i > i, pretonic ∂ (not written)

On i-a > ea see 13.1; -io- and iw 13.3.

*H₁e-uid-et: egit 'he found', 3 sg. aor. gt-i; pres. gtanem.

*tri-ns: eris 'three' acc. (pl.); Goth. prins

*diu-: tiw 'day'; cf. Skt. dívā 'by day'

7.1.2 Semivowels as vowels: PIE *u

*u > u, pretonic ∂ (not written)

*dhug H_2 tēr : dustr, gen. dster 'daughter'; Gr. θυγάτηρ, Skt. duhitár-, Goth. dauhtar

*snusos : nu 'daughter-in-law'; Gr. νυός, Skt. snuṣā́, OHG snur

*H₂nghu-: anjuk 'narrow'; Skt. amhú-, OCS οzoko, Goth. aggwus, Gr. άγχ-ω

*ues-nu-mi: z-genum 'to dress' (z- is a prefix); Gr. ἕννῦμι, Skt. vás-te

7.2 The semivowels as consonants

7.2.1 PIE *y

a) *y > j after resonant (r, l, n, m) if the preceding vowel is not a *ster-io-: sterj 'sterile'; Gr. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho \alpha$, Skt. stari- 'barren cow', Lat. sterilis, Goth. stairo.

*H₃nōr-io-: anurj 'dream'; Gr. ὄνειρον < *oncr-io-.

*muni- : munj´ 'dumb'; Gr. μύνδος, μυναρός, Skt. múni- 'wise man, begeistert', Lat. mūtus.

*g**hen-io- : jnjem 'wipe clean' < *jinj-; etym. unknown (not cognate with Gr. ϑ eív ω , Lith. geniù).

b) *-aRy-, -oRy- > -ayR-, -oyR-

If the preceding vowel is a, we get y before resonant (infection). The development is parallel with that in Greek, where resonant + y gives infection after a and o. In all cases we probably have anticipation of the y

(ainy); if the y remains, the infection of the vowel does not develop into a separate phoneme. See also 13.7.

*H₂elio- > ayl 'other'; Gr. ἄλλος, Lat. alius, Goth. aljis, OIr. aile.

*ghwon-i-: jayn 'voice'; OCS zvonъ 'sound'.

Layn 'wide' may represent * $pltH_2$ -n-i-: > * $hl \vartheta ny$ - > *lany-.

These are the best documented examples. It is probably also found in *p'aylem* 'shine', cf. *p'olp'olim* 'shine, glitter', which has no good etymology.

The development occurred after a, but there is also some evidence for it after o. This consists only of boyl 'company, assembly' beside bolor 'all, entire', which has no etymology. The same holds for t'oyl (tal) '(give) permission', and n- $\check{s}oyl$ 'light' beside $\check{s}ot$ 'ray, (flash of) light'. This evidence is rather uncertain. The etymology of $ot\check{j}$ 'whole, sound' is uncertain (*olyo-?).

See further 13, 7,

c) *y > zero: word-initially and between vowels

Word initial y-

*io-s: or 'who';

The development in anlaut is debated (Kortlandt 1998a). Two words seem to point to y->l: luc 'yoke' < *yug- and leard 'liver' if from *yek"?. However, a development to l is phonetically improbable. The words must have been influenced by other words (the first by lucanem 'to bind loose'?; the second word provides several difficulties; Germanic also has an l-, cf. liver; it was supposedly taken from the word for 'fat', *lip-, cf. Gr. $\lambda\iota\pi\alpha\rho\delta\varsigma$). The l is not to be recognized as a regular development.

Arm. jur 'water' has been connected with Lith. jur 'sea'. However, the Lithuanian word is usually connected with Skt. var(i), so its j- cannot be original. Also, Arm. jer 'you pl.' beside Skt. yuyam has been adduced. Kortlandt assumes that the proto-form must have had *yu- + e, in an accusative *ywe (parallel to *twe > k'e); and that *yw fell together with (the reflex of) *garhw-.

The etymology of the pronominal stem o- points to *y- (the idea that it represented * k^wo - cannot be retained, as * k^w did not disappear) becoming zero as the regular reflex (as e.g. in Greek).

the nom. pl. of the *i*-stems *-ei-es > *-ek' > -k'.

^{*}y > zero between vowels

^{*}trei-es: erek´'three'; Skt. tráyas, Gr. τρεῖς, Lat. trēs.

^{*-}eie-, āie-: denominative suffixes -em, -am.

d) *yi- > stressed hi-, and PArm. *hi- > unstressed (∂)-

This is a very complicated matter, also because of problems with the notation; Kortlandt 1998a, 16f. [this vol., 122f.].

It has been observed that the dialects have a (seemingly) secondary *h*-(its history does not concern us here), but further that some dialects have a voiceless h- beside a voiced H-, noted h- and H- respectively. This H- in some cases continues Class. y-, the preposition. It is also found in the interrogative pronoun: Hu(v) = ov 'who?', Hum = um dat. 'for whom?', Hur = ur 'where?'.

Now Kortlandt, assumes the following sequence of events. PIE *y-became H-, which was lost in the Classical language (but retained in some dialects) except before i; so *yi- became (*)Hi-. (Later this H-disappeared too: * $ien H_2 t\bar{e}r > *Hin- > an- > n$ -, in $n\bar{e}r$ 'brother's wife'). Further this *Hi- became hi- (with the 'normal', voiceless h) when

stressed. This was the case in a monosyllable (other instances are unknown). In this way dat. him 'for whom?' is explained. So it is supposed that this form goes back to *yim. [I think that it continues *esmōi (recte * H_l esmōi) with the y- of yos > ov 'who?' (the -v as yet unexplained) added, but cf. z-genum 'dress' < *uesnumi. The variant im may have lost *h*- after preposition.]

The following has nothing to do with *y-, but may be treated here because the difference between h- and H- is concerned. Kortlandt assumes that hi- (with voiceless h-), e.g. from PIE *pi- (as in hing 'five' < *penkwe; see below on yisun 'fifty'), became Ha- (with the voiced h-) before the stress; the ϑ is the well-known reduction of pretonic i. This is perhaps found in ampem 'to drink', if the protoform is correctly reconstructed as *pimb-; here the H again disappears, but the ϑ is retained before a cluster.

The two series of developments may be summarized as follows:

PIE *yi- > (*)Hi- > stressed hi- (as in him < *yim)

PIE *pi >

PIE *pi > hi- > pretonic * $H\partial$ - > ∂ - (as in $\partial mpem$)
It is assumed that in the word yisun this * $H\partial$ - became Hi, and that this was written yi- (because Classical Armenian had no sign for H, and as yiis phonetically identical to Hi). This point is rather difficult, the more so as the preform of yisun is uncertain. So the problem is that we have here not only the development of pretonic h- (before i), but also that of the development of pretonic i in this position. Two solutions may be considered. 1) We start from *penk(*w)dkomtH₂> *hingsun = *hiŋsun which became *hiysun > *Həysun > Hisun. Better is perhaps 2) to start from *penk**edkomtH₂> *hingēsun > *hingisun = *hiŋisun > *hiyisun > Hisun.

Even more complicated is the history of the preposition in, but this

history lies outside Classical Armenian, so I refer to Kortlandt's article.

e) Clusters with *y. Consonant + y often gives special developments, which are discussed with the clusters in 12. They are: *sy, *ty, *dy, *dhy; *ky, *k(w)y.

7.2.2 PIE *w

a) *w > g (except when word-final after the apocope)

*worά-: gorc 'work'; Gr. ἔργον, MoHG Werk.

*woid-: gitem 'know'; Skt. véda, Gr. οἶδα.

*wes-: z-genum 'to cloth oneself', from *μes-neu-mi (z- is a prefix); Gr. ἔννυμαι (ἔννῦμι).

*gwow-iHo-: kogi 'butter'; see b. on 'cow'.

* deH_2i -wer: taygr 'husband's brother'; Skt. devár-, Gr. $\delta\bar{\alpha}\eta\rho$, Lith. dieveris, acc. dieveri, OCS $d\check{e}ver$ b.

*gonw-: cung-k' 'knee-s' (pl.)

On the problem see Kortlandt 1993. Note that -eu- probably had become -ou- earlier, so that -eg- is unknown. I have not found instances of -ig-.

It is not certain what happened with w before s: both g and k have been proposed:

* H_2eus -s-i (loc.): ayg 'dawn'; the word would derive from an expression like 'at dawn', and ayg (which would at the same time explain the absence of h- after the preposition); but another derivation from this stem would also be possible.

**H*₂*eus*-: *aganim* 'pass the night', if this is the correct reconstruction.

* H_2eus -n-: akan-j-k' 'ears', pl. of un-kn < * H_2us -n-. In this case the h < s would have devoiced the g < u. This is impossible because it would have resulted in k'.

b) *w > -w (written -v after o) word-finally after vowel

*diu- : tiw 'day'; Skt. divá 'by day'

*neH₂w - : naw 'ship'; Skt. náu-, Gr. ναῦς, Lat. nāvis.

* g^wow - : kov 'cow'; Skt. $g\acute{a}v$ -, Gr. βοῦς; see above on kogi.

* H_2 rew-: arew 'sun'; Skt. raví-; the form with g in areg-akn 'sun', litt. 'sun-spring' with areg- < gen. *arewos, where the g was protected by the reflex of the s.

The w often spread through analogy: naw-i etc., deriv. naw-ak 'boat'.

c) *w > w (v-) in other positions?

This development is assumed for varem 'to kindle', Lith. virti 'to cook',

OCS *variti* id. Initial *g*- is so frequent, that the etymology must probably be given up.

Vec' 'six' belongs here if from the s-less form *ueks (*sw- would have given *k'-). It is explained from a Lindeman form *su(u)eks, or with u introduced from the ordinal *uks-o- (Kortlandt 1994b). Then *s became zero, and vocalic u before vowel was retained as /w/ (written v). Compare for this development: $i \ ver(oy)$ 'upon' from *(s)uperi. In albiwr 'spring' from *bhre $H_1 ur > *brewr$ and aliwr 'flour' from * $H_2 le H_1 ur > *alewr$ the w is preserved directly before the r after the loss of the final syllable. (On the gen. sg. of these forms see the next section, d.)

Siwn 'pillar' (Gr, κΐων, Myc. kiwo /kīwōn/; the word is now shown to be Indo-European) has not preserved the w. It developed from the accusative *kīwon-> *siwn > *siwn = siwn (u written w). This is confirmed by jiwn 'snow', which derives from *ghion-> gjiun (written gjiwn).

d) *w > zero before r, before and after u

This development can be considered regular before u and r, as this is phonetically probable.

*neu-ro- (with -ro- replacing -o-): nor 'new' via *nou-ro-.

*brewr-os, the reshaped gen. sg. of albiwr 'spring' (see the preceding section) > alber; in the same way aler from aliwr.

This rule was blocked by a preceding consonant (where we find normal *g*):

* $deH_2iu\bar{e}r > taygr$ 'husband's brother'. (One might also formulate *yw > yg.)

The loss of the w in neard 'fibre, sinew' from * $sneH_1ur(-ti-)$ is analogical after the (old) oblique cases: * $sneH_1-ur- > *snewr-$, which lost its w just like atber (above).

*kiHuon-: siwn 'pillar'; *siwun- > *siun = siwn. The development is parallel to *fiun- > *fiun = fiwn, which shows that the w in siwn does not continue the original *w.

For the position after u compare also zinuor 'soldier' < *zinu-wor 'bearing arms' (w < *bh). Further cp. $atu\bar{e}s$ 'fox', Gr. ἀλώπηξ.

In *inn* 'nine' there was no w. The PIE word was * H_I neun. This became *eneun > inn, with loss of the diphtong eu in last syllable (note that the vocalization is a post-PIE phenomenon); Kortlandt p.c. Or *ineun was replaced by *inun- from the ordinal *inun-o-. The form erkan 'millstone' did not have w, cf. Lith. girna: * g^wreH_2 -n-> *gran-.

e) Clusters with *w. For the clusters *sw, *tw, *dw, *kw see 12.

8. RESONANTS

8.1 Syllabic resonants

8.1.1 PIE *r > ar

*prk-sk-: harc´-anem 'ask'; Skt. prcch-, Lat. poscō < *porc-scō, OHG forskōn.

*bhrghu-: barj-r 'high'; Skt. brh-ant-, Hitt. parkus.

*srbh-: arb-enam 'drink'; Lat. sorbeō, Lith. surbiù.

*H2rnu-: ar̄num 'take'; Gr. ἄρνομαι 'win, gain', Av. ərənu- 'provide'.

*mrto-: mard 'man'; Skt. mṛtá- 'mortal', Gr. βροτός.

8.1.2 PIE *l > al

*glkt-s, -m (nom., acc.): *kalc' > dial. kaxc' resp. *kalt'n > Class. kat'n 'milk'; Gr. γάλα, gen. γάλακτος <*glakt-, Lat. lac, lact-is. The dialectal form is, of course, post-Classical (Kortlandt 1985c, 22 [this vol., 65]).

8.1.3 PIE *n > an

*n-: an- 'un-'; e.g. ankin 'without wife'; Skt. a(n)-, Gr. $\alpha(v)$ -, Lat. in-, Goth. un-.

8.1.4 PIE *m > am Certain examples have final -m, which became -n.

*septm: ewt'n 'seven'; Skt. saptá, Gr. ἑπτά, Lat. septem.

*dekm: tasn 'ten'; Skt. dáśa, Gr. δέκα, Lat. decem.

*pod-m (acc.): otn 'foot'; Skt. pắd-, Gr. πόδ-, Lat. ped-, OHG fuoz.

*dui-dkmti: k'san 'twenty'; Av. vīsaiti, Gr. Dor. είκατι, Lat. vīgintī .

8.2 Consonantal resonants

8.2.1 PIE *r

*r > r; \bar{r} before n

PIE did not have words beginning with r-. Words that had *pr-, *tr-lost the stop; the remaining *r- got a prothetic vowel (e- or a-; see ch. 4). – On *Hr- see 11.1.3. – On -rs- see the next section, 8.2.1.1.

* $H_2(e)rH_3$ trom : arawr 'plough'; Gr. ἄροτρον, OIr. arathar, OIc. arðr; Lat. arātrum.

*gerH2-o-: cer 'old man'; Gr. γέρων, Skt. járant-.

* doH_3rom : tur 'gift'; Gr. δῶρον, OCS dar_b .

*dhur-m : dur̄n 'door' (from the acc. form); Gr. θύρα, Lat. forēs, Goth. daur.

*peruti : heru 'last year'; Gr. πέρυσι, Skt. parút.

*preH2ktos: erastank 'back, anus'; Gr. πρωκτός.

*treyes: erek 'three'; Skt. tráyas, Gr. τρεῖς, Lat. trēs.

8.2.1.1 Clusters beginning with *r*: see 12.1

8.2.2 PIE *1

*l > l; l before consonant (except *y)

*leukos : loys 'light' (subst.); Gr. λευκός, Skt. roká-.

* lik^w - : e-lik' 'he left' 3 sg. aor.; lk'anem 'leave' pres.; Gr. $\check{\epsilon}$ - $\lambda\iota\pi$ - ϵ , Skt. \acute{a} -ric-at, Goth. leitvan.

* H_{ℓ} el-en- : eln 'deer (cow)'; Gr. ἔνελος (with metathesis), ἐλλός (<* ϵ l-no-), OCS jelen ϵ .

*meli-: melr 'honey'; Gr. μέλι, Lat. mel, Goth. miliþ.

*pleH₁-, -to-: lnum 'fill', li 'full'; Skt. prātá-, Lat. (com)plētus.

*klutos : lu 'famous'; Gr. κλυτός, Skt. śrutá-, Lat. inclutus.

8.2.3 Nasals: PIE *n

a) n > n

*nisdos: nist 'position, seat'; Skt. nīḍá-, Lat. nīdus, Eng. nest

*snusós : nu 'daughter-in-law'; Gr. νυός, Skt. snuṣā́, Lat. nurus, OHG snur.

*ģenH₁os : cin 'birth'; Gr. γένος, Skt. jánas, Lat. genus.

*H2nghu-: anjuk 'narrow'; Skt. amhú-, OCS ozvkv, Goth. aggwus.

*penkwe: hing 'five'; Gr. πέντε, Skt. páñca.

b) *n >zero before s; at the end of a word after vowel at an early stage (except in monosyllables: see on tun below, 7.2.4).

*- meH_1 ns-: amis 'month' (from *am-mis 'month of a year'); Gr. $\mu\epsilon$ (ς < * $m\bar{e}ns$, Lat. $m\bar{e}nsis$.

*trins: eris acc. (pl.) 'three'; Goth. prins.

It is assumed that -n, like -m, was lost after a vowel. This explains that the nominative singular of the n-stems originally had no -n (as in aljik 'girl', manuk 'child', where the absence of an -n in the nominative is otherwise hard to explain. $er\bar{e}c$ 'priest', which is an u-stem in the singular and an n-stem in the plural, can thus be explained from a nom. sg. $-\bar{o}$ (without -n), nom. pl. -on-es. The -n which we normally find in the nom. sg. has been restored. The source was the accusative ending -m, which became -n. This is no doubt the reason why root nouns like otn 'foot' joined the n-stems. — A word final nasal arose (in the language) from the syllabic nasals, *-n, *-m, which fell together in -n. (See also on -m.) Kortlandt 1985c.

8.2.4 Nasals: PIE *m

- a) m > m (except in auslaut, before s, and before n)
- *meH2ter: mayr 'mother'; Gr. μάτηρ, μήτηρ, Skt. mātár-, Lat. māter.

*H₃moighos: mēg 'mist, fog'; Skt. meghá-, Gr. ὀμίχλη, Lith. miglà.

*H₃meiģh-: mizem 'urinate'; Skt. méhati, Gr. ὀμείχω, Lat. meiō. Or are these Iranian loanwords?

**H*₁*mos* : *im* 'mine'; Gr. ἐμός.

b) *m >zero before s

*H₂omsos : us 'shoulder'; Gr. ὧμος, Skt. áṃsa-, Goth. ams.

*mēmso- or *memso-: mis 'meat'; Skt. māmsám, OCS meso, Goth. mimz.

c) *m > n word-finally, retained in monosyllables, and before *s and j * $k^w e H_2 m$: k'an 'as'

*dōm: tun 'house'; Skt. dám-, Gr. δῶ, δῶμα.

*śhiom-m (acc.): jiwn 'snow' (through *jiumn); Gr. χιών, Av. zyam-.

* H_1 me-: dat. inj 'to me'; from here the n was extended to other forms: $in\bar{e}n$, inew (the m is preserved in the gen. im; the m may also have been assimilated to n before s: acc. loc. of 'I, me' is < *ims < *im-s. This process further perhaps in $in\check{c}$ 'something', * $(y)im-k^wid$, though here the -n may have arisen in word final position; this is probable as otherwise the consonant after n would have been voiced, giving * $in\check{j}$.

d) *m > zero word-finally except in monosyllables (see c. above)

Word-final -*m* fell together with -*n*, but we do not know exactly at what stage. Later it disappeared (probably through a nasalized vowel). The accusatives of the vowel stems *-om, *-im, *-um disappeared entirely, and so fell together with the nominatives (after the *s, had been removed; see 8.1).

e) *mn > wn after vowel; if an o preceded, this became u first; not after (old) u and in monosyllables.

The process was probably recent as it is still productive: paštawn 'worship' comes from -mn-, as appears from the GDL. paštaman; and the word is an Iranian loan. Also goč'iwn 'shouting, cry' from -imn, beside GDL goč'man.

*-o- mH_1 nos: -un (from *-omnos > *umnos , verbal adjective).

After *u*, -mn- was restored (rather than preserved): saržumn 'movement'. In monosyllables -mn was also preserved or restored: himn 'foundation', kamn 'flail'

f) *m > w before (PArm.) *u

*H₃neH₃mn: anun 'name'; onōmn > anumn > *anuwn > anun; Skt. nāma, Gr. ŏvoμα, Lat. nōmen.

* $H_1eH_2m\bar{o}r$: awr 'day' (< *amur); Gr. ημάρα, ήμέρα. This form is also explained with w-epenthesis, *amur > *awmr > awr, parallel to *anir > aynr 'man'. However, the rule -mu->-u- was probably older than the loss of final syllables.

*-omom: in -uk' 1 pl. ending of the aor. subj. Kortlandt (1981c, 30 [this vol., 34f.]) assumes that this form became *umu > *uu > u, after which the plural indication -k' < *-s was added.

9. PIE *s

9.1 PIE *s

- *s = s before p, t, x (on sk see on clusters 12.2) and after n, m which disappear
 - > h- in an aut preserved before i
 - > -k' word-finally
 - > zero elsewhere

s is preserved before p, t, x and after n, m; it becomes zero in an aut (but h- before i), between vowels, and before l, n, m; word-finally it became (>-h>*- χ >) -k.

- a) *s = s before p, t, x and after n, m, which disappear.
- *ster-io- (?): sterj 'sterile'; Gr. στειρα, Skt. stari-, Lat. sterilis, Goth. stairo.
- *stib-: stipem 'drängen'; Gr. στείβω 'tread, stamp on'.
- *H2stēr: astł 'star'; Gr. ἀστήρ, Skt. stár-, Lat. stēlla.
- *ues-tu-: z-gest 'clothing'; Lat. vestis, Goth. wasti.
- *sprneH2mi: sparnam 'menace'; Lat. spernō, OIc. sperna both 'push away'.
- *skH₂el-?: sxalem 'stumble, err'; Skt. skhalate.
- *trins; eris acc. 'three'; Goth. brins
- *me H_I nsos : amis 'month' via *mēnsos (from *am-mis 'month of the year'); Gr. μείς, Skt. más < *maas < *me H_I ņs-, Lat. mēnsis.
- * H_2 omsos : us 'shoulder'; Gr. ὧμος, Skt. ámsa-, Goth. ams.
- *mēmsom: mis 'meat'; Skt. māmsám, OCS męso, Goth. mimz.
 - b) *s > h- in an aut before i
- *sen-: hin 'old'; Skt. sána-, Gr. ε vo ς , Lith. s ε nas. Note that the rule of loss of *h < *s) operated after e > i before nasal.

Note that *h*- 'good' (*h-zawr* 'strong' < 'having good force') is probably a loan from Iranian *hu*-.

- c) *s > -k' word-finally
- *-es plur. ending: -(e)k'; the vowel is preserved in *č'orek'tasan* 'fourteen'.
- *-mes, -tes: -mk', -yk' 1, 2 pl. endings.

This development has surprised scholars, but there are other instances where -h, -x became -k(h), as in South Polish dialects, Serbo-Croatian and

German dialects.

When the -k' came to be used for the nominal plural ending, the accusative sg. was substituted for the nom. sg. In other positions too the reflex of *-s was removed, as in the gen. sg. in *-os. The objection that the attributive adjective has a zero ending in the nominative plural, can be removed by assuming that the adjective took pronominal endings, as e.g. in Germanic; this ending disappeared phonetically.

This explanation also accounts for the large number of pluralia tantum in Armenian (Kortlandt 1985c).

d) *s > zero elsewhere, i.e. in anlaut (except before i), between vowels, before l, n, m (on sr, rs see 9.2 below on clusters)

*septm: ewt'n 'seven'; Skt. saptá, Gr. ἑπτά, Lat. septem.

*smH-: am 'year'; Skt. sám-ā, OIr. sam; cf. *sm H_2er -: ama \bar{r} -n 'summer'; OHG sumar.

*srbh-: arbi 'I drunk'; Lat. sorbeō, Lith. surbiù.

*bhoso-: bok 'barefoot' (< *bhoso-gwo-); Lith. bãsas, OCS bosъ, OHG bar.

*suesōr: k'oyr 'sister' < *-e(h)ur; Skt. svásar-, Lat. soror, Goth. swistar.

*snusos: nu 'daughter-in-law'; Skt. snuṣā́, Gr. νυός, Lat. nurus, OHG snur.

*smiH₂-: mi 'one'; Gr. μία; cf. Lat. sem-el 'one'.

* H_1 е
smi: em 'l am': Skt. ásmi, Gr. є̀іµі́, OCS jesmь.

*io-sm-: -um pron. dat.-loc.; Skt. -smai, Goth. (þa)-mma.

*ues-nom: gin 'price'; Skt. vasnám, Lat. vēnum. The reconstruction *uēsnom is improbable; see the following

*ues-nu-: z-genum 'clothe oneself'; Skt. vas-, Gr. ἕννῦμι. As appears from the preceding two etyma, the development sN > N preceded eN > iN, sN > uN, so in z-genum the vowel must have been restored.

9.2 Clusters beginning with *s see 12.2.

10. STOPS

10.1 The Armenian consonant shift.

For PIE we reconstruct (with the dentals as example for the stops):

*t *d *dh which are represented in Armenian as:

t' t d The second column, the PIE 'voiced stops' were in fact glottalized. Their voice was not the essential factor, but they must have been – phonetically – lenes: the glottalization was the phonemically distinguishing feature. Now this glottalization has been preserved in Armenian up to the present day, as in Latvian (Kortlandt 1978a; 1998c; also 1988a).

The consonant shift consisted in the following developments: 1)

weakening of the plain, voiceless stops; 2) devoicing of the 'voiced', glottalized stops; 3) loss of aspiration in the aspirated stops. The relative chronology of these changes in Armenian according to Kortlandt was as follows:

- a) loss of aspiration (of the aspirated stops); stage 1;
- b) devoicing of the glottalized stops;
- c) voicing of plain, voiceless stops after resonant;
- d) lenition of the plain, voiceless stops: *p *t *k > * ϕ * ϑ * χ (stage 10);
- e) 'shortening' of these fricatives to p' t' k' (stage 19).

10.2 The labials 10.2.1 PIE **p*

- a) *p > h- in an aut before vowel (> zero before o)
- b) > zero in anlaut before o in anlaut before consonant after nasal
- c) > w elsewhere, i.e. after vowel (o + w before consonant > u; i + w before vowel gives u)
 - a) *p > h- in an aut before vowel (except o)
 - *pH2ter: hayr 'father'; Skt. pitár-, Gr. πατήρ, Lat. pater.

*penkwe: hing 'five'; Skt. páñca, Gr. πέντε, Lat. quinque.

*puHr-: hur 'fire'; Gr. πῦρ, Hitt. pahhur, Umbr. pir, OHG fiur.

*ped-om: het 'trace, foot'; Skt. padám 'step', Gr. πέδον 'bottom'. *peruti: heru 'last year' (< *heruy); Skt. parút, Gr. πέρυσι.

*pontH-: hun 'road, ford'; Skt. pánthāḥ, Gr. πόντος 'sea', Lat. pons, pont-is, OCS potь. The word must have preserved its h- because the -o- had become -u- (or it comes from the oblique cases with *pntH-).

On *pi > hi > yi see 7.2.1d

b) p > zero in an an aut before o; in an an aut before consonant; after nasal

*pod-m (acc.): otn 'foot'; Skt. påd-, Gr. π ó δ - α acc., Lat. ped-em, OHG fuoz. * $p\bar{o}$ lH-: ul 'kid'; Gr. π $\hat{\omega}$ λ o ς ; Goth. fulan < *plh-.

*poli-: ali-k΄ (pl.) 'waves; white hair'; Gr. πολιός 'grey', Skt. pali-tá-(<*peli-), OHG falo (<*pol-uo-). Note that the *p- > *h- here disappeared before o became a.

* $pleH_l$ -tos: li 'full'; Skt. $pr\bar{a}t\acute{a}$ -, Lat. (com)- $pl\bar{e}tus$.

* $preH_2kt$ -o-: erast-an-k 'buttocks'; Gr. πρωκτός < * $proH_2kt$ o-. See 10.3.1.2. Note the prothetic vowel.

*pter-om: t'er 'side'; Gr. πτέρον 'wing'.

*ptel-: t'eli 'elm', Gr. πτελέα, Lat. tilia. These words may be loans from a

Mediterranean language.

preisk-u-: erēc' 'elder, priest'; Lat. prīscus 'ancient'; Gr. πρέσβυς, Cretan πρεῖγυς (which have *g*). Note the prothetic vowel.

*n- $p\bar{o}lH$ -o-: am-ul 'sterile'; see on ul above. The m shows that the compound was formed when the p- was still there.

*n-putr-io-: amuri 'unmarried' (< *child-less); Skt. putra- 'child', cf. Lat. puer. The *p > *h was lost after the prefix.

c) *p > w after vowel

*septm: ewt'n 'seven'; Skt. saptá, Gr. ἑπτά, Lat. septem.

*H₁epi: ew 'and, also'; Skt. ápi, Gr. ἐπί.

*suopno-: k'un 'sleep'; Skt. svápna-, Lat. somnus. Here we have u from o-w.

*H2rgipio-: arcui 'eagle', from *arciwi; Skt. rjipyá-.

*prep-: erewim 'seem'; Gr. πρέπω, OIr. richt 'form, shape'. (One also considers a root * k^w rep-, as in PIE roots the first and the last consonant were seldom identical.)

10.2.2 PIE *b

*b > p Note that the phoneme *b was very rare in Proto-Indo-European.

*stib-: stipem 'urge, compel'; Gr. στείβω 'tread, stamp on', στιβαρός 'strong'.

*bi- bH_3 -: $\partial mpem$ 'drink' with a nasal infix; other reconstructions are also proposed, but they are not relevant for the *b.

10.2.3 PIE *bh

- a) *bh > b (when not intervocalic)
- b) > w between vowels (which disappears after u)
- a) *bh > b except between vowels
- *bher-: berem 'carry'; Skt. bhar-, Gr. φέρω, Lat. ferō, Goth. baíra.
- * $bheH_2$ -ni-: ban 'word'; OIc. bón; Gr. $\phi\omega\psi\eta$ < * $bhoH_2$ - neH_2 ; the word may have had zero grade, however.
 - *bhre H_2 tēr: ełbayr 'brother'; Skt. bhrátar-, Gr. φράτηρ, Lat. frāter.
- *H₃(o)rbh-os: orb 'orphan'; Gr. ὀρφανός, Lat. orbus.
- *srbh-: arbi 'drink' aor., pres. arbenam; Lat. sorbeō, Lith. surbiù.
- *-bhi instr. pl. ending: -b after consonant: garam-b (garn 'lamb').
- b) *bh > w between vowels
- **H*₃*bhel-*: *y-awelum* 'increase';
- *-bhi instr. pl. ending: -w after vowel: -aw, -iw, -ov;
- *-bhor-: (t'aga)-wor 'king' (< 'crown-bearer').

10.2.4 Clusters beginning with labial see 12.3.

10.3. Dentals

10.3.1 PIE *t

- a) t > zero in an aut before consonant
- b) = t after (Armenian) sibilant
- c) > d after r, l, n, m
- d) > zero word-finally after n (after the loss of final vowels)
- e) > t' elsewhere, except in the following instances:
- f) > w before r, l, n, m
- g) > y between vowels (at a stage before the loss of final vowels) but w between back vowels
- a) t > zero in an aut before consonant
- *treies: erek´ 'three'; Skt. tráyas, Gr. τρεῖς, Lat. trēs.

We have no evidence for other consonants, but *t hardly ever occurred before other consonants.

b) t = t after sibilant (also after a sibilant that first arose in Armenian).

On *st- see 8.2.

- * $preH_2$ kto-: erastank 'hind' (bodypart); Gr. πρωκτός (<* $proH_2$ ktos).
- * $dhu\acute{g}H_2t\ddot{e}r$: dustr 'daughter'; Skt. $duhit\acute{a}r$ -, Gr. $\vartheta v \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$, Lith. $dukt \tilde{e}$, Goth. dauhtar.
 - c) t > d after r, l, n, m
- *mrtos: mard 'man, human being'; Skt. mrtá-, Gr. βροτός.
- *bhrti-: bard 'heap'; Skt. bhrtí-, Lat. fors, fortis, Goth. ga-baúrþs 'birth'.
- * H_2 rtu-: ard 'order'; Skt. rtú-, Gr. ἀρτύω 'arrange, prepare', Lat. artus 'joint, limb'.
- *H₁entero-: ənder-k´ 'intestines'; Gr. ἔντερα; OIc. iðr, OCS otroba.
- * H_2enH_2 -te H_2 : (dr)-and 'door-post, -frame' (dr- from *dur- 'door'); Skt. átā-, Lat. antae (pl.), OIc. ond 'front-room'.
 - d) *t > zero word-finally after n after the loss of final vowels *dwi-dkmti: k'san 'twenty'; Av. vīsaiti, Gr. Dor. ξίκατι, Lat. vīgintī.
- *-dkomtH₂: -sun 'decade', e.g. ut'-sun 'eighty'; Gr. -κοντα, Lat. -gintā.

In cases like *dr-and* (see above) the *-d* was restored after the oblique cases, like gen. *drandi* where the *-nd-* was preserved internally.

* H_2 enti: and 'towards, in, at etc.'; proclitic for *hand; Skt. ánti, Gr. ἀντί, Hitt. hanti, Lat. ante. The proclisis will be the reason for the maintenance of the -d (the word forming a unity with the following word), and also for the disappearance of the h-. There was no restoration in:

*pont-(e)H₁-: hun 'ford'; here the -d was not restored because in its

archaic inflection both eH_I and H_I disappeared (e.h. gen. *pont- H_I -os > hun).

- e) *t > t' in other positions (not: in anlaut before consonant, before or after resonant, between vowels)
 - *trs-: t'aramim 'wither'; Gr. τέρσομαι, Lat. torreō, OHG derren 'dry up'.
- *septm: ewt'n 'seven'; Skt. saptá, Gr. ἑπτά, Lat. septem.
- * H_2 outi-: awt 'a passing the night'; Gr. $\alpha \vartheta \lambda \dot{\eta}$ 'courtyard', $\iota \alpha \vartheta \omega$ 'pass the night'. Note that after u the dental became t.
 - f) *t > w before r, l, n, m
 - *pH2tr-os gen. sg.: hawr 'of the father'; Gr. πατρός, Lat. patris.
 - * H_2 r H_3 trom: arawγ 'plough'; Gr. ἄροτρον, OIr. arathar, OIc. arðr.
- * H_2lH_1 tr-: atawr-i 'female who grinds corn'; Gr. ἀλετρίς.
- g) *t > y between vowels (at a stage before the loss of final vowels) but w between back vowels
- * $pH_2t\bar{e}r$: hayr 'father'; Skt. pitár-, Gr. πατήρ, Lat. pater. The *t > * ϑ became y at the stage * $ha\vartheta ir$.
 - *bhH₂ti-: bay 'word'; Gr. φάσις, φάτις.
- *peruti: heru 'last year' (-y after u disappeared); Skt. parút, Gr. πέρυτι / πέρυσι.
 - *klutos: lu 'famous'; Skt. śrutá-, Gr. κλυτός, Lat. in-clutus.
- *- H_2 -to: -aw 3 sg. middle ending. Kortlandt (1991) assumes the same development in canawt' 'known', from * $\acute{g}nH_3$ -tos with a secondary suffix -to-; see 10.3.3.2.

10.3.2 PIE *d

- *d > t
- *doH3rom: tur 'gift'; Gr. δώρον, OCS darь.
- *dōm: tun 'house'; Skt. dám-, Gr. δω̂(μα), δόμος, Lat. domus.
- * $deH_2iu\bar{e}r$: taygr 'husband's brother'; Skt. $dev\acute{a}r$ -, Gr. δαήρ, Lith. dieverìs.
- *pod-m: otn 'foot'; Skt. pád-, Gr. πόδ-, Lat. ped-.
- *ped-om: het 'trace, trail?'; Skt. padám, Gr. πέδον.
- *kērd: sirt 'heart'; Gr. κῆρ, κραδίη, Lat. cor, cordis, Goth. hairto.
- *H₃(0)sd-os: ost 'branch'; Gr. ὄζος, Goth. asts.

10.3.3 PIE *dh

- *dh > d
- *dhur-: dur-k 'gate'; Gr. θύρα, Lith. dur-, Goth. daúr.
- *dhuģH2-tēr: dustr 'daughter'; Gr. θυγάτηρ, Lith. dukte, Goth. dauhtar.
- *dhoiģh-: dēz 'heap'; Gr. τοῖχος, Goth. daigs.
- *dhlH₁ros: dalar 'green; fresh'; Gr. θαλερός.

10.3.4 Clusters beginning with dental: see 12.4

10.4 Palatals 10.4.1 PIE *k

- a) *k > zero in an an aut before resonant (only attested before l)
- b) > w in inlaut before r
- c) > s elsewhere
- a) *k > zero (in an laut before resonant; only attested before l)

*klutos: lu 'famous'; Skt. śrutá-, Gr. κλυτός, Lat. in-clutus.

A problem gives <code>srun-k</code> 'shin-bone'. Kortlandt 1985b follows Pedersen in positing *kērun-. I wonder whether it is not a loan from Iranian, cf. Av. <code>sraoni-</code> 'buttocks' in spite of the difference in meaning; such shifts are not impossible in the case of body-parts; cf. Eng. <code>ham</code>.

b) * $\hat{k} > w$ in inlaut before r

*smVkru-: mawru-k 'beard'; Skt. smasru-, Lith. smakras. There is discussion on the vowel: dial. miruk may be the form with *e (-ew->-iw->-i-), the a being a reduced vowel in the zero grade.

c) * $\hat{k} > s$

*kērd: sirt 'heart'; Gr. κῆρ, κραδίη, Lat. cor, cordis, Lith. širdìs.

*kiHuōn: siwn 'pillar'; Gr. κίων, Myc. kiwo /kīwōn/.

*dekm: tasn 'ten'; Skt. dáśa, Gr. δέκα, Lith. dēšimt, Lat. decem.

*-komt H_2 : (ere)-sun '(thir)-ty'; Gr. - κ ov $\tau \alpha$, Lat. - $gint \bar{a}$; cf. Skt. - $\dot{s}at$.

10.4.2 PIE *ģ

 $* \acute{g} > c$

*ģenH10s: cin 'birth'; Skt. jánas, Gr. γένος, Lat. genus.

*ģonu: cunr 'knee'; Skt. jā́nu, Gr. γόνυ; Lat. genū.

*ģer H_2 -: cer 'old'; cf. Skt. járant-, Gr. γέρων, Ossetic zærond.

*H2ges-: acem 'carry'; Lat. gero.

*uorģom: gorc 'work'; Gr. ἔργον, ξέργον, OHG werc.

* $me\acute{g}H_2$ -: mec 'big'; Skt. $m\acute{a}h$ -, Gr. μέγας, Goth. mikils.

*H₂rģ-: arcat´ 'silver'; Av. ərəzata-, Lat. argentum; cf. Gr. ἄργυρος.

10.4.3 PIE *ģh

* $\acute{g}h > j$; z between vowels)

*ghesr-: jern 'hand'; Hitt. keššar, Gr. χείρ.

*ģhiōm: jiwn 'snow'; Av. zyam-, Gr. χιών; Lat. hiems.

*śhim-er-: jmer-n 'winter'; Skt. héman-, himá-, Gr. χειμών, χειμερινός, δύσ-χιμος, Hitt. gimant-, Lith. žiemà, Lat. hībernus.

*bhrýhu-: barj-r 'high'; Skt. brh-ánt-, Hitt. parkus.

*megh(s)ri: merj 'near by' (with metathesis); Gr. μ é χ pı. Kortlandt 1985b, 10 [= this vol., 58] reconstructs the form with an -s-, because otherwise the velar would have been depalatalized before r. This allows the explanation that the form has arisen from *me *ghsri 'at hand'.

*meigh-: miz-em 'urinate'; Av. maēza-, Gr. ομείχω, Lat. meiō, mingō.

*dhoigho-: dēz 'heap'; Gr. τοῖχος, Av. pairi-daēza- 'enclosure', Goth. daigs.

10.4.4 Depalatalization

It is assumed that before r the palatals were depalatalized (Kortlandt 1985b, 10 [= this vol., 58]). This is seen in mawruk' 'beard' < *smVkru- (see 10.4.1.) For merj 'near' see above.

10.4.5 Clusters beginning with a palatal; see 12.5

10.5 Labiovelars and pure velars

The labiovelars lost their labialization (stage 14; partly already at 12) and so coincided with the pure velars. The existence of pure velars is a hotly debated issue, but in some cases their existence cannot be denied. It is generally admitted that after u only pure velars occurred, but in Armenian velars were palatalized after u (and then fell together with the PIE palatals). – In the following we shall give examples of pure velars after those with labiovelars. The velars were palatalized before e, i (see 10.5.4), but often the non-palatalized forms were restored.

10.5.1 PIE *kw and *k

- a) k^w > zero in an aut before consonant
- b) > g after resonant (r, l, n, m); not all are attested)
- c) > k' elsewhere
- d) > s after u

On the sequence $-nk^w$ - see 13.5.

- a) $k^w > zero$ in an aut before consonant
- * $k^w twr$ -: $k'a\bar{r}$ -(asun) 'forty'; see 9.3.4.
- * k^w rep-: erewim 'seem'; Gr. πρέπω, if one prefers the reconstruction with * k^w (cf. 10.2.1.c).
 - b) $k^w > g$ after resonant (r, l, n, m); not all are attested)
- *penkwe: hing 'five'; Skt. páñca, Gr. πέντε, Aeolic πέμπε. The velar was not palatalized after the nasal.

^{*}H₁erkwos: erg 'song'; Skt. arká-.

* H_2 rk-: argel 'obstacle'; Gr. ἀρκέω, Lat. arceō. (The non-palatalized form was taken from a form with a following o, cf. Gr. ἄρκος.)

c)
$$k^w > k'$$

* $k^w e H_2 m$: k'an 'as'; Lat. quam.

leik-: elik' aor., lk'anem pres. 'leave'; Skt. ric-, Gr. λείπω, ἔλιπον, Lat. linquō, Goth. leilvan. The non-palatalized form was introduced from forms with o-vocalism.

*- k^we : - k^c indefinite particle; Skt. ($k\acute{a}\acute{s}$)-ca, Lat. (quis)-que, Goth. -h. The form may not have been palatalized because it was an enclitic.

*ker-: k'erem 'scratch'.

d) *k(w) > s after u

*leukos: loys 'light' (subst.); Skt. roká-, Gr. λευκός 'white', Lith. laũkas.

As was mentioned above, it is generally assumed that after u only a pure velar occurred; so examples with k^w are not to be expected.

10.5.2 PIE *
$$g^w$$
 and * g * $g^w > k$

*gwou-: kov 'cow'; Skt. gáv-, Gr. βοῦς, OIr. bó, OHG kuo.

**H*₁reg^wos: erek 'evening'; Skt. rájas, Gr. ἔρεβος, Goth. rigis.

*g^wH₃ei-: keam 'live'; for the reconstruction of the root Kortlandt 1975a, 45 [this vol., 11f.].

* g^w en H_2 : kin 'woman' (pl. $kanayk' < *g^w$ n H_2 -); Skt. $j\acute{a}ni$ -, $gn\acute{a}$ -, Gr. γ vv $\acute{\eta}$, OCS $\check{z}ena$, OIr. ben. The k- was introduced from forms with zero grade of the root.

* g^werH_3 -o-: ker 'food'; Skt. $gir\acute{a}ti$, Gr. βι-βρώ-σκω, both with zero grade; Lith. $geri\grave{u}$. The velar goes back to a form with o-vocalism (which is expected here) and took the vowel from the verb.

*8

On the sequence *-aug- see 13.6.

 $*g^wh > g$

* $g^wh\eta$ -: gan-em 'strike'; perhaps the root was * g^whon -; Skt. han-, Gr. ϑ είνω, φόνος; Lith. geniù.

*g(w)helgh-: gelj-k' (plur.) 'glands'; OCS žlěza (< *želza). The velar is not palatalized; was it taken from the zero grade?

10.5.4 Palatalization of (labio)velars.

Palatalization of (labio)velars has been a point of discussion, as

rather often the non-palatalized form has been restored. However, it is most probable that the palatalization before e and i was regular and that deviations must be explained by generalization of the non-palatalized form which originated before o or in the zero grade before consonant. Palatalization may have been blocked by a preceding nasal, as in $hing < *penk^we$ (Kortlandt 1975a).

The developments may be summarized here; I add the representation of the PIE palatals for comparison:

palatals
$*k$
 *g *gh Arm. s c j,z (z intervocalic) (labio)velars ${}^*k^w$ ${}^*g^w$ ${}^*g^wh$ ${}^*k^c$ k g , before e , i \check{c} \check{c} \check{j} , \check{z} (\check{z} intervocalic)

Before y we find the latter development, but the y disappears; we shall therefore discuss this development under the clusters (10.5.5). It is known only in the case of the PIE voiceless sound. When the development occurs in the last syllable, which disappeared, it is difficult or impossible to decide whether i or y followed.

- a) PIE * k^w before $e, i > \check{c}'$
- *kwetuores: č'ork' 'four'; Skt. catváras, Gr. τέσσαρες, Lat. quattuor.
- * H_3k^w - iH_1 : $a\check{c}$ -k' (plur.) 'eyes'; here we are not sure whether the ending developed to $-\bar{i}$ or $-\underline{i}e$; for the latter possibility see below under Clusters.
- *-kwid: z-in-c' 'anything'. Other pronouns have -k' as generalizing particle, without palatalization; the particle has been identified with *-kwe, as in Lat. quis-que, Skt. kaś-ca. The particle apparently behaved like Lat. nec beside neque, or nc beside neque; it was shortened to *-k (with loss of the -e, so that there was no palatalization).
 - *H20iu-kwid(?): oč' 'not', but the vocalism presents difficulties; Gr. οὐκ.
- * lng^wh-iH_1 : $lanj^-k^\prime$ (< *lang-y-, a dual form?) 'breast'; OIc. lunga 'lung'. The comparison is attractive, but note that the Armenian form cannot go back to the root * H_1leng^wh 'light', from which the word for 'lung' is mostly derived.

Compare also such groups as p'k'am 'hiss' - p'č'em 'blow, inflate'.

- b) PIE * g^w before $e, i > \check{c}$
- * H_2eug -: ačem 'grow'; Lith. áugu, Lat. augeō. Note that here the u, which palatalizes, was lost very early (stage 2).
- *gem-: čmlem 'press, squeeze'; OCS žeti.
- c) PIE *gwh before e, i > j; \check{z} between vowels *gwherm-: jerm 'warm'; Gr. θερμός; with -o- Skt. gharmá-, Lat. formus.

*gwhiHsl-: jil 'sinew'; Lith. gýsla.

* H_1 eghis: $i\check{z}$ 'snake'; Skt. áhi-, Gr. ĕχις. (On *e > *ei > \bar{e} , of which i- is the reduced form, see 11.6)

d) * $sk > \check{s}$ before e, i

This group is mentioned here because of its special character. Note that *sk > c' in other cases; see 12.2f. The conditions of this change have not yet been established with certainty. Cf also on the development of the clusters *ks and *k(w)s to š in 12.5c and 12.5d.

*sked-: šert 'chip'; Gr. (σ)κίδ-νημι, aor. (σ)κεδ-άσαι 'scatter, disperse'. The connections of this root are difficult. The meaning does not agree well with that of the Armenian word. Lith. kedervà 'splinter' agrees well, but its root must have been *skedh- (because of Winter's law). (Kortlandt 1975a, 45 [this vol., 12].)

*skel-: šel 'oblique, aslant'; Gr. σκολιός 'curved, bent', OHG scelah.

11. LARYNGEALS

11.1 Word-initial laryngeal

11.1.1 Word-initial laryngeal before vowel, *HV*The discovery that Arm. *h*- in some cases reflects an original laryngeal is perhaps even more important than the recognition that the old 'prothetic vowel' which agrees with the Greek one derives from a laryngeal (on which see the next section, 11.1.2). It was notably Greppin who first argued for this phenomenon.

 H_1 disappears, but H_2 and H_3 before e are represented as h- (Kortlandt 1983b and 1984b). It is remarkable that, though Armenian has but a limited number of reliable etymologies, this contribution can to my mind be convincingly demonstrated.

After a few introductory remarks we shall discuss the evidence per laryngeal in the following order:

 H_1 : before e; before O; before C and RC;

 H_2 : " H_3 : "

At the end some special problems will be discussed.

Preliminary remarks.

Some preliminary remarks must be made. It is sometimes stated that Arm. h- is unreliable, because there are words which occur with and without it. However, this concerns a very small number of words, while the large majority either do have h- or not: it is clearly phonemic. It must also be realized that it is precisely the h- from laryngeal which gave the

impression that the occurrence was inexplainable. In fact, this has been cited as one of the most hopeless phenomena of Armenian historical linguistics.

It has been pointed out that in Middle Armenian an *h*- is added to words which did not have it earlier. However, this does not concern Classical Armenian, which we discuss here. Moreover, the situation in Middle Armenian is largely clarified.

Further it must be noted that initial h- disappears when something comes before it (cf. Eng. shepherd). Thus in the case of reduplication; hototim 'smell' < *hot-hot-. Further, after the first member of a compound: $je\bar{r}n$ -at 'with (his) hand cut off', from hant- 'cut'. After z and y an h- also disappears: $zin\check{c}$ 'what' from the root seen in him 'why'; y-et 'after' from het 'trace'. It is conceivable that sometimes such a form without h- was generalized.

When a word occurs with and without an h-, it is probable that the form without h- arose in this way, or through influence of related forms without h- (in the paradigm or outside of it).

Note that PIE did not know a purely vocalic anlaut: every word that seems to begin with a vowel in fact had a preceding laryngeal.

The reflexes, then, are a follows:

 $H_1e > e$ $H_1o > o$ $H_2e > ha$ $H_2o > o$ $H_3e > ho$ $H_3o > o$

The picture is much complicated by the fact that pretonic o often became a. The conditions may be summarized here as follows: o became a in pretonic syllables, except: 1. before two consonants; 2. before o in the next syllable; 3. immediately before g (< * γ^w < *w). In this way, ho could become ha. A second, minor complication is that o became u before nasal, as in us 'shoulder' < -oms-. Further we have initial *oi- > ay- (which Kortlandt dates very early). Also we find *op > *ow > u. We also have to reckon with e > i before nasal, as is found in inn 'nine' < * $H_i n$ -.

It is essential that there was an opposition between H_3e and H_3o . Though the reflexes of the vowels are the same in most languages, the two were distinguished in PIE and they remained so in the early stages of the separate languages. This is demonstrated by the limitation of Brugmann's Law to 'ablauting-o', as opposed to 'non-ablauting-o', i.e. H_3e . This limitation was already formulated by Brugmann himself (who could not yet state the phenomenon in laryngeal terms) and rediscovered by Lubotsky. E.g. * H_3ep -os > Skt. ápas, not *āpas. A distinction between Γ^we and Γ^wo is attested in living languages, as in (North American) Shuswap.

The reflexes were explained by Kortlandt as follows. In PIE, a word could not begin with e-, but had a preceding H_I -. The laryngeal, therefore, is automatic, has no phonemic value. In the case of a following o, a laryngeal was automatically rounded, which is why the three laryngeals merged. Here again the laryngeal is automatic, as a word could not have just o-, but ('automatically') had a preceding rounded laryngeal. It is therefore understandable that the automatic laryngeals were not reflected as h- in Armenian.

We shall now look at the evidence.

H_l before e

There is no evidence that H_{Γ} ever resulted in h-. Compare:

- * H_1e -, the augment: e-(kn) 'he came'; Gr. $\tilde{\epsilon}$ - $(\beta\eta)$, Skt. \acute{a} -(gan).
- * H_1e deictic particle: e-t'e 'that, when', beside t'e; Gr. $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\kappa\epsilon$ îvoç, Russ. è-tot, Skt. a-sáu.
 - *H_Iesmi: em 'I am'; Skt. ásmi, Gr. εἰμί.
 - *H₁eg(-): es 'I'; Gr. ἐγώ, Lat. egō.
 - *H₁epi: ew 'and'; Gr. ἐπί, Skt. ápi.
 - *H₁erkwos: erg 'song'; Skt. arká-.
 - * $H_1e\acute{g}h$ -: ezr 'border/edge, shore'; Lith. $e\check{z}\check{e}$ 'border, frontier'.
 - *H₁elH₁-(e)n-: eln 'hind, roe'; Gr. ἔλαφος, ἔλλος, Lith. élnis, OCS jelenb.

* H_{l} el-eu-: elewin 'cedar'; Russ. jalovec 'juniper' (< * \bar{e} l-), cf. Gr. è λ άτη. Other words beginning with e- for which an etymology has been suggested have a prothetic vowel (from a laryngeal or the later Armenian one), or go back to forms with s. We conclude that H_l - is never represented by Arm. h-. (The connection of her 'discord, strife' with Gr. ĕpic must therefore be given up. This word must have had *per-.)

H_1 before o

*H₁οχή-i(H)-n-: ozni 'hedgehog'; Gr. ἐχῖνος, Lith. ežỹs, OCS ježь, OHG igil.

*H_Iors-: ōr 'rump'; Gr. ὄρρος, Ion. ὀρσοπύγιον, Hitt. arras, OHG ars; the word had H_1 - if OIr. err 'tail' belongs here.

Kortlandt proposes to derive utem 'eat' from *som + H1ed- (1986a, 40 [this vol., 70]).

H₁ before C and RC

See 11.1.2 and 11.1.3.

H₂ before e

 H_2e - seems to be reflected by ha-. In the following cases this

reconstruction seems clear:

- **H*₂*en*-: *han* 'grandmother'; Lat. *anus*, Hitt. *hannas*.
- *H₂euH-os: haw 'grandfather'; Lat. avus, Hitt. huhhas.
- **H*₂*eu-is*: *haw* 'bird'; Lat. *avis*.
- * H_2eu -: hagacim 'become addicted to'; Lat. aveō.
- **H*₂ei-: hayc'em 'beg, beseech'; Lat. aeruscāre; Lith. ieškóti.

And probably also:

- **H*₂*ed*-: hatanem 'cut'; Hitt. hattai.
- * H_2 er H_3 -ur, -u(e)n-: harawunk' 'field'; Gr. ἄρουρα; OIr. arbor.
- * H_2 en \hat{k} -: has-anem 'arrive at, obtain'; Gr. (ποδ)-ηνεκής 'reaching to the feet' ($\bar{e} < \bar{a}$), OIr. perf. ánaic 'reached'. (This root existed beside * H_1 ne \hat{k} -'bring', Gr. aor. ἤνεγκ-ον, ἐνεγκ-εῖν.) Zero grade * H_2 n \hat{k} may also be possible.
- * H_2 eus-s-i (loc.): ayg 'dawn'; the word is supposed to derive from expressions like 'at dawn', cf. Gr. ἠι-κανός 'cock' < *'singing at dawn'. The absence of h- is explained from the position after preposition, as in and ayg 'at dawn'.

H_2 before o

The main point is to explain why many forms with H_2 have a- without h-. (Note that (h)a- may in some cases continue older *(H)o-.) There are two possibilities: o-grade or zero grade. (We shall see below that the same holds for H_3 .)

If H_2 before PIE *o gave no reflex, we can explain:

- * H_2 oid-: aytnum 'swell', ayt 'cheek'; Gr. oἰδέω; the H_2 is shown by Lat. aemidus 'tumidus, inflātus' (gloss), if this is related (*aid-(s)m-).
- * H_2oi -sk-: ayc' 'visit, inspection'; OHG $eisc\bar{o}n$. Old \bar{a} -stems often have o-vocalism. The word contains the same root as hayc'em given above. It is no surprise that there is also a form hayc', with h- from the verb; it got a slightly different meaning, 'inquiry'.
- * H_2 oms-o-: us 'shoulder'; Gr. ὧμος (< *omsos), Skt. áṃsa-, Got. ams; if Toch. A es, B āntse point to a-vocalism, the root must have H_2 -; cf. also Gr. ἀμέσω ἀμοπλάται (gloss, origin unknown).

H₂ before C and RC

In the case of zero grade we must distinguish at least two cases: HC-and HR- (with R traditionally considered syllabic). The first results in the so-called 'prothetic vowel'. Cf. ayr 'man' < ${}^*H_2n\bar{e}r$. In this way can be explained:

* H_2 ģ-es-: acem 'carry'; Lat. ger- \bar{o} ; not to be compared with Lat. ag \bar{o} < * H_2 eģ-.

For HR- (i.e. HR-C) see 11.1.3. This sequence results in VR-C. Cf:

* H_2 rtk-o-: arj 'bear'; Skt. fkṣa-, Hitt. hartagga-, Gr. ἄρκτος. * H_2 rģ-: arcat´ 'silver'; Av. ərəzata-; Skt. rajatám; Lat. argentum.

*H₂rk-: argel 'hindrance, obstacle'; cf. Gr. ἀρκέω.

For ard 'order' see 11.1.3 on HRC-.

A special subcategory are the words beginning with HRH-C (see 11.4.2). These give aRaC-.

* H_2rH_3 trom: arawr 'plough'; Gr. ἄροτρον, OIr. arathar. (That this type had zero grade is shown by Lith. irklas 'oar' <* H_1rH_1r).

* H_2lH_1tr -: alawr-i 'female who grinds corn'; Gr. αλετρ-ίς id.

Note that in this way the difference between harawunk' 'field' and arawr 'plough' is explained. (There is a form harōr beside arōr, arawr, which may have its h- from a related form with *H_2erH_3 -.)

* H_i before e

 H_3e is probably represented by ho-. The two words with ho- that have an etymology probably had H_3e -:

* H_3 ed-: hot 'smell'; Gr. ὄζω, Lat. odor (* H_3 ed- $\bar{o}s$), Lith. úodžiu (< * H_3 edwith long vowel and acute intonation according to the Winter-Kortlandt Law). The exclusive o-vocalism points to H_3 -. If the Armenian word

continues a neuter s-stem, we expect e-vocalism.

* H_3eui -: hoviw 'shepherd'; Gr. ŏıç, Lat. ovis, Luw. hawi- which demonstrates H_2 or H_3 ; the exclusive o-vocalism favours H_3 , which is confirmed by Skt. ávi- (as this does not have a long vowel from Brugman's Law, which does not operate on -o- from H_1e according to Lubotsky's Law).

*H₃esk-: hac'i 'ash tree'; Gr. ὀξύη; OIc. askr.

We can now explain hum as follows:

* H_3 eHmos, * H_2 e H_3 mos: hum 'raw'; Gr. ώμός, Skt. āmá-. The word did not have lengthened grade but rather HVH-. Then we need e-vocalism (to get the h-) with H_3 ; the initial laryngeal must be H_2 or H_3 .

Other words with hu- derive from *po- + nasal.

H₃ before o

 H_3 - followed by o is very difficult to demonstrate.

*H3orbh-: orb 'orphan'; Gr. ὀρφανός. Lat. orbus, Goth. arbi, OIr. orb show full grade, and the general o-vocalism suggests H_3 -. But zero grade H_3rbh - is also possible (as is $*H_2orbh$ - or $*H_1orbh$ -). * H_3osd -: ost 'branch'; Gr. ὄζος, Hitt. hasdueir; Goth. asts shows full grade,

and the general o-vocalism suggests H_3 - (but * H_3 sd- is also possible). * H_3 ost-u-: oskr 'bone'; Gr. ὀστέον; Hitt. hastai; Skt. ásthi shows full grade;

but $*H_3st$ - is also possible.

* H_3 oiu- eH_2 : aygi 'vine'; Gr. ŏā, oĭŋ 'service-tree', Lat. $\bar{u}va$ 'grape', Lith. ieva, all from *oiuā. The laryngeal cannot be ascertained.

* H_3 oug-: oyc 'cold'; OIr. úacht (*oug-tu-) 'coldness', Lith. áušti. The root may have had H_2 -.

H₃ before C and RC

Apart from the cases with 'prothetic vowel' (see the next section), note: $*H_3kt-: ut'$ ($<*H_3pt-$) 'eight'; the form has the zero grade from the ordinal and -p- from 'seven': *opt- > *owt- > ut'; Gr. ὀκτώ, Lat. $oct\bar{o}$, Skt. asta(u), Goth. ahtau.

* H_3 rģhi-: orjik´ 'testicles'; MIr. uirgge, Alb. herdhe; Av. ərəzi. The general o-vocalism (Lith. er̃žilas can be for older o-) points to H_3 -, but both zero grade and o-grade are possible.

Special problems

In many cases, it is impossible (as yet) to determine the colour of the laryngeal, and often also which vocalism, so that we often cannot demonstrate what happened.

Some cases are rather complicated:

* $H_1eH_2m\bar{o}r$: awr 'day'; Gr. ἡμαρ, ἡμέρα. For the long \bar{a} , we can have H_2e + a laryngeal, but that would give h-. We must start from $-eH_2$ - with a preceding laryngeal that does not give h-, which can only be H_1 -.

Arm. ateam 'hate', Lat. odium, OE atol 'terrible' will have H_3 - because of its general o-vocalism, in which case it can be *H_3od - or *H_3d -, but we have no definite proof. H_1o - or H_2o - are also possible.

A problem is provided by ayc 'goat', Gr. $\alpha'(\xi)$, as this could only have been * $H_2ei\acute{g}$ -, but this form would have given Arm. h-. A further cognate has been suspected in Av. $i/\overline{i}za\ddot{e}na$ - 'of leather', but this may be unrelated. There has been much doubt about this word (and others for 'goat'), and the word may be a common loan.

Since ogi/hogi 'breath, spirit, soul' does not have a generally accepted etymology, we can say nothing about it. It may be related to *hewam* 'pant', which must have had *p-. The h < p was lost before -o-, so the h-must have been introduced from related forms with h- from *p- before other vowel (which must be -e-).

Another case is aganim 'spend the night', Gr. ιαύω. The absence of h-may be explained through influence of awt' 'place to spend the night' if from *H_2outi ; cf. Gr. ἀυλή 'courtyard' (which must have *H_2eu -).

For (h)aganim 'put on clothes' from *H_2eu - $/H_2ou$ - cf. Lith. aunù, aŭti 'put on footwear'.

11.1.2 Word initial laryngeal before consonant, HC-

"Armenian is perhaps best known to scholars of Indo-European languages and Classicists as the principal Indo-European language other than Greek to show 'prothetic vowels'" (Clackson 1994: 33).

We discuss here also 11.1.3 HR- and 11.1.4 HW- where these groups are followed by a vowel so that the R, W are consonantal.

 H_{1-} , H_{2-} , H_{3-} are vocalized to e-, a-, o- respectively. Cf. Kortlandt 1987a. There is no problem with H_2 ->a-:
* H_2 nēr: ayr 'man' (via *anir> *aynr); Gr. ἀνήρ, Skt. nár- etc.

*H2ster/l-: astl 'star'; Gr. ἀστήρ, Skt. stár-, Goth. stairno.

*H₂reu-: arew 'sun'; Skt. rávi-.

* H_2 k-: aseln 'needle'; Gr. ἄκρος; Lat. acus 'needle'. The a- can now be identified as a 'prothetic vowel' (as full grade would have given ha-).

For H_{1} > e- cf.:

* H_1 reg^wos: erek 'evening'; Gr. ἔρεβος, Skt. rájas-, Goth. riqis. * H_1 n(e)un: inn 'nine'; Gr. ἐννέα, Skt. náva, Lat. novem. PIE * H_1 neun took over the zero grade from the ordinal $*H_1$ nun-os; cf. pl. inun-k'. As only Greek and Armenian have an initial vowel, there is no basis for reconstructing a full grade *en(un)- (note that this form would have H_{Γ} .)

*H₁mos: im 'mine'; Gr. ἐμός, Av. ma-. Cf. also *H₁me 'me', inew 'with me' (< *ime-bhi), Gr. ἐμέ, Lat. me etc.

*H₁l(e)udh-: eluzanem 'extract'; Gr. ἐλεύθερος, Skt. ródhati, Goth. liudan 'grow'. If one doubts this (long established) etymology, the root structure itself is sufficient to prove a laryngeal: eluz- < *HCVC-; the laryngeal must be H_{Γ} , as the other laryngeals would have given a-.

With e > o- before o or u in the following syllable:

*H₁reug-: orcam 'vomit' (< *oruc-); Gr. ἐρεύγομαι, Lith. riáugėti, OE rocettan. Some scholars assume a (real) Armenian prothetic vowel before r-. They seem not to have realized that this is impossible. PIE did not have a word-initial r-; apparent instances had an initial laryngeal. This laryngeal or its reflex (in Armenian and Greek) was always there: there was no period where a (real) prothetic vowel could have been added before the r- (as there was no initial r-). We know that the vowel from an initial laryngeal is much older than the Armenian prothesis. As is shown by $ayr < *H_2n\bar{e}r$, the vowel was there when the stress shifted to the penultimate syllable, but eris (acc. pl.) < *trins shows that this (prothetic) vowel was not yet there at that time (otherwise we would have had *ers). So if there was an initial laryngeal, this had already become a vowel before the Armenian prothesis. If one doubts that every *r*- in PIE was preceded by a laryngeal, then this is in any case true in those instances

where Greek points to a vowel from an initial laryngeal. So an Armenian vowel before an r- where other languages have r- and Greek has a vowel before this r- must continue an initial laryngeal. – It should further be noticed that all possible instances of H_1 - have e-, or o- < *e-. Presumed instances with a- can (and must) be reconstructed with H_3 -: anun and atamn (see below).

For $H_{3^-} > o$ - note that unstressed o- became a- in Armenian (with some exceptions).

* H_3 neid-: anēc-k', anicanem 'curse'; Gr. ὄνειδος, Skt. nid-, Goth. ga-naitjan.

*H3bhel-: awel 'broom'; Gr. ὄφελμα 'broom'.

* H_3bhel -: y-awelum 'add to'; Gr. ὀφέλλω 'increase'. (There is discussion whether these two roots were in fact one, and on the relation with Gr. ὀφείλω 'owe'.)

* H_3 dnt-m (acc.): atamn 'tooth' (probably via *odnm > *odnm > *otamn); Gr. ὀδών, ὀδούς; Aeolic ἔδοντες can easily have its e- from ἔδω 'eat'; old o-vocalism is proved by νωδός (an archaic form beside ἀνόδων) and αίμωδέω 'have the teeth set on edge'; Skt. dánt-, Lat. dens. Lith. úodas, Latv. uôds 'gnat' (< * H_3 e/od-) have the same root 'bite', also found in Gr. ὀδύνη 'pain' (from which νώδυνος); a tooth does not eat, but bites.

* H_3neH_3mn : anun 'name' (via *onōmn > *anumn); Gr. ὄνομα (<* H_3nH_3mn), Lat. nōmen etc. Reconstruction of H_1 - rests only on the Greek name Ἐννιμακρατίδας, which is insufficient evidence. The forms Gr. νώνυμνος < *n- $H_3numnos$, younger ἀνώνυμος, prove the antiquity of the H_3 -. As we now know that H_1 - resulted in e- in Armenian, the correspondence Arm. a-: Gr. o- can be used to prove H_3 -. At the same time, this correspondence proves the presence of an initial laryngeal: it is most improbable that reshuffling of anlaut variants would in both languages have resulted in precisely this correspondence. (The reconstruction of the remainder of the word is irrelevant here.)

A problem is formed by the words with an- from $*H_3n$ -, for one would expect *on->*un-: anun, anun, anicanem. The solution is not clear yet. Perhaps o>a was earlier then on>un. Another possibility is that the $o<*H_3$ had not yet coincided with the existing o at the time when on became un.

* H_3 $n\bar{e}bh$ -o- (?): aniw 'wheel'; the form would be a vrddhi-formation from 'nave'; the etymology is uncertain. It cannot be shown that the vowel was o- originally.

On H_3RC -> oRC- see the next section, 11.1.3.

If the following two forms have zero grade (but H_3 0- cannot be excluded), we find the o- preserved:

*H₁st-uer-: oskr 'bone'; Skt. ásthi, Gr. ὀστέον.

**H*₃sdo-: ost 'branch'; Gr. ὄζος, Goth. asts.

Kortlandt thinks that ut' < *optō may also have zero grade; it must have had o- to produce ow -> u-.

The word for 'eye', akn from the root ${}^*H_3ek^w$ -, must also have zero grade because it has no h-. Thus it is another example of a prothetic vowel: $*H_3k^w$ -: ak-n 'eye' (the a- was taken from the oblique case, cf. gen. akan). But H_2ok^w - cannot be excluded.

It is generally assumed that before w- a laryngeal did not develop into a vowel. This assumption rests especially on gom 'be', which was derived from *H_2ues . However, Kortlandt (1998b) is opposed to this etymology on the ground that it is not clear why we would have the (vocalism of the) perfect here. There are the following other forms:

*H₁uegwh-: gog 'speak'; Lat. voveō, Skt. vāghát-. The laryngeal depends on the connection with Gr. εὕχομαι ($<*H_1eug^wh$ -), which is disputed.

*H₂uelH-: getmn 'wool, fleece'; Lat. vellus. However, the reconstruction of this root is disputed.

Thus, the material is inconclusive, but the old view may be correct. (In Greek Hu - > Vw- is frequent.)

The laryngeals remain distinct in this case, as is probably the case in HRC (as we shall see in the next section) while elsewhere they coincide in Armenian. This has a parallel in Latin, where *HNC- gives *eNC-, *aNC-, *oNC- according to the laryngeal, whereas in all other

instances the laryngeals have fallen together.

Finally it may be mentioned that except in Greek the laryngeals seem also to have a vocalic reflex in Phrygian and in the Anatolian languages in this position, which can hardly be accidental. It is an areal feature.

11.1.3 Word-initial laryngeal before resonant, HR-

The sequences with a vowel following (HRV-) are discussed above, in 11.1.2. Here we give the sequence before consonant, HR-C-. Kortlandt 1983b, 1984b, 1985b.

*H2RC-

There is no problem with H_2rC -: this gives arC-. Note that it yields no h-.

- *H2rtko-: arj 'bear'; Skt. rkṣa-, Gr. ἄρκτος, Hitt. hartagga- etc.; see 12.4d.
- *H2rgipio-: arcui 'eagle'; Skt. rjipyá-.
- * H_2 ηhhi-: amb-(olj) 'whole'; Skt. abhi, Gr. ἀμφί, Lat. amb-, OHG umbi. * H_2 γti-: ard 'just now'; Gr. ἄρτι; Lith. arti 'near', with full grade.

* H_2 rtu-: ard 'order'; Skt. rtú- 'the right time', Gr. ἀρτύω 'arrange', Lat. artus 'joint, limb'.

* H_2nk -: hasanem 'arrive'; Skt. aśnóti, Gr. ποδ-ηνεκής 'reaching to the feet'.

* H_2 nghu-: anju-k 'narrow'; Skt. amhu-, OCS ozoko, Goth. aggwus. The last forms all have full grade, but the Armenian form must have zero grade, as it would otherwise have h-; ablaut with u-stems is normal, zero grade in u-stem adjectives is frequent.

* $H_2r\acute{g}$ -: arcat' silver'; Av. ərəzata-, Gr. $\acute{\alpha}$ ργυρος, Lat. argentum; there is doubt about the etymology, because of the ending -at'.

*H₂rk-: argel 'obstacle'; Gr. ἀρκέω 'ward off', Lat. arceō 'keep off'.

*H, RC-, *H, RC-

With the other two laryngeals the question is whether they both give a-, or e- and o- respectively. I know just one instance for which H,RC- has been assumed: alkalk 'poor' compared with Lith. elgetáuti 'beg' (elgeta 'poverty'), OHG ilki 'hunger'. However, the Lithuanian intonation points to *gh, and Fraenkel gives as its correct meaning 'to behave onself, beg' and connects the word with algà 'salary' and further with Skt. arghá-'value', Gr. ἀλφή 'produce, gain'; he does not mention the Armenian word. All this points in a different direction. Lith. álkti 'be hungry' requires HVlHk-, Russ. lákomyj 'naschhaft' points in another direction; it is connected with OHG ilgi, which stands beside ilki cited above. The whole group is too unclear for any conclusions.

For H_3RC - Kortlandt mentions several candidates: *otb, orb, orjik*, and *elungn*. I will shortly discuss them.

* H_3 lbh-: olb 'lamentation'; Gr. ὀλοφύρομαι, Lith. ulbúoti. The Greek form, from * H_3 lobh-, makes the existence of another full grade (with Schwebeablaut) improbable; so this case seems good. (Though it indicates sounds, the word itself is not onomatopoeic.)

* H_3 rgh-: orjik' 'testicles'; Av. ərəzi-, Gr. ŏρχις, Alb. herdhe (* H_3 ergh-, Kortlandt 1986a, 44 [this vol., 73]), Lith. erzilas 'stallion'; the Greek word can have full or zero grade, the Armenian word could have * H_3 orgh-.

**H*₃*rbh*-: *orb* 'orphan'; Gr. ὀρφανός, Lat. *orbus*, Goth. *arbi*, Hitt. *harpzi* 'separate'.

* $\hat{H}_3\eta g^wh$ -: in elungn 'nail'; Gr. ὄνυξ < * $H_3\eta og^wh$ -, Lith. nãgas, OHG nagal. Kortlandt (1987a, 62 [this vol., 77]) assumes that in Armenian *onog- and *ong- were united in *onong-, which was dissimilated to *enong-, and this to elung- (the -n being a secondary addition, as it so often is). It is certainly most attractive to explain -ung- in this way.

Thus, there are several probable, and one apparently certain instance giving oRC-.

As to the apparent absence of eRC-, it is possible that H_{Γ} in this

sequence did not influence the colour of the vowel, H_I being the non-colouring laryngeal. However, as we saw, no certain instance of aRC-from H_IRC - is known.

As the o-colouring influence of H_3 - in this group has now been established, there is no longer reason to doubt that H_3 - is reflected as a prothetic vowel o-.

11.1.4 Word-initial laryngeal before semivowel, HW-

This configuration is difficult to demonstrate. Note that i-, u-were lost (reduced) before the stress. A good instance seems the following:

* H_2 us-n-: un-kn 'ear'; Lat. auris (* H_2 eus-), Gr. ovc (* H_2 ous), Av. $u\breve{s}\bar{\imath}$, OCS uxo. The H_2 - perhaps lives on in pl. ak- $an\breve{\jmath}k'$, whose further origin is unclear.

There is no trace of h- from Hi/uC-, so the laryngeal was apparently lost in this position.

11.2 Word-final laryngeal

11.2.1 Word-final laryngeal after vowel, -VH

This sequence gave a long vowel, which disappeared in Armenian, so it is difficult to demonstrate; but in monosyllables the vowel is maintained:

*meH₁: mi 'that not'; Gr. μή, Skt. mấ.

11.2.2 Word-final laryngeal after consonant, -CH

One supposes that the laryngeal became -a, but as it was lost, this is difficult to prove. It may be assumed in *- $komt-H_2$: -sun 'tens', e.g. eresun 'thirty'.

*me $\acute{g}H_2$ -: mec 'great'; Skt. máh(\bar{a} nt)-, Gr. μέγας. Does the layngeal live on in the a-stem, mecac'? However, we cannot ascertain what the ending of the nominative was; maybe it was *me \acute{g} -e/o H_2 (-s).

11.2.3 Word-final laryngeal after resonant, -RH

If a vowel preceded, the development was the same as in the preceding section. If a consonant preceded, the development is unknown as there is no evidence.

11.2.4 Word-final laryngeal after semivowel, -WH

It has been proposed that -*iH*, -*uH* became -*ya*, -*wa*, as in Greek. Of course, the final syllable disappeared, which makes it very difficult to decide the matter. Three types of evidence have been presented: 1.

plurals with the dual ending $-iH_I$; 2. compound numerals; and 3. isolated forms.

```
1) the plurals are the following: cung-k' 'knees' <*gonu-iH_1; a\check{c}'-k' 'eyes' <*H_3k^w-iH_1; lan\check{j}-k' 'breasts' <*lng^wh-iH_1. akan\check{j}-k' 'ears' <*H_2eus-n-iH_1.
```

The first form would have given the same result if $-iH_1$ had become $-\bar{\imath}$, and is therefore irrelevant. The following two forms show palatalization of a velar which could also have been caused by $\bar{\imath}$. The last form, however, requires $_i$ -y(a) to get $-\bar{\jmath}$ -. Only this $\bar{\jmath}$ is found in all stems of the plural and it is doubtful that it originated (only) in the nominative. That is, the reconstruction given may be wrong. (The development of *us to k is improbable.)

- 2) The numerals in discussion are (cf. Kortlandt 1994b):
- a) me-tasan 'eleven' < *mia-tasan;
- b) forms in -asun: k'ar-asun '40' and ewt'an-asun '70';
- c) eresun 'thirty' < *eri-asun.

The forms show relevant differences. The first is supposed to contain a laryngeal, * $smiH_2$ -; the two following (b) have -asun, which contains -dkomta; the last had both a laryngeal ($triH_2$) and -dkomta.

- a) It is not evident that this form should contain the feminine ending. I propose it has *smi(H)-o- (with -o- > a); mi is inflected as an o-stem.
- b) Note that *ewt'an-sun would have given *ewt'asun. Reintroduction of -(a)n- might have given the actual form. Kortlandt argues that the (preglottalized) d lost its dental element through dissimilation and that the sequence -n-?- gave -ana-, and -r-?- >-ara- (in '40': tur-> t'-ar-).
- c) As it is improbable that *eri-sun was changed to *eriasun, the -a- here probably arose phonetically. If we assume * $triH_2$ -, it may point to a development (in auslaut) of this form to *tria, as in Greek ($\tau \rho i\alpha$). But one might also assume that the regular development was to *tri, with the neuter plural ending -a secondarily added. The rise and the distribution of the -a-, before -sun, must be explained and therefore points to development from *-dkomt.
- 3) sterj 'sterile' has been explained from fem. *ster- iH_2 . (The variant reading sterd is isolated.) This seems a good possibility. But, apart from a suffix -io-, generalization of the oblique stem *ster- ieH_2 is a possibility.

Surveying the three types of argument one concludes that it is improbable that the development of -iH, -uH was to -ya, -wa. The forms with *-dkomta have -asun deriving from this form.

11.3 Laryngeal in inlaut.

- 11.3.1 Laryngeal in inlaut, after vowel, -VH-.
- 11.3.1.1 Laryngeal in inlaut, after vowel, before vowel, -VHV-

The laryngeal disappeared after colouring an adjacent -e-, though it is difficult to find certain evidence.

11.3.1.2 Laryngeal in inlaut, after vowel, before consonant, -VHC-

We find the well-known treatment eH_1 , eH_2 , $eH_3 > *\bar{e}$, $*\bar{a}$, $*\bar{o}$ (which became i, a, u in Armenian).

*meH2tēr: mayr 'mother'; Skt. mātár, Gr. μάτηρ, Lat. māter.

*bhe H_2 -ni-: ban 'word'; Olc. bón, OE bēn; Gr. $\varphi \omega v \dot{\eta} < *bho H_2 ne H_2$.

*g^wre H_2 -n-: erkan 'millstone'; OCS žrъny, OIc. kwern; differently formed Skt. gravan-.

*neH₂bh-: nawt'i 'fasting'; Gr. νάφω.

*pre H_2 k-t-: erast-an-k' 'arse'; Gr. πρωκτός < *pro H_2 k-t-. The form is much debated. In the way indicated both forms can be explained without difficulty. For the ablaut cf. nom. *nok*-t-s, gen. *nek*-t-s. (My earlier proposal *perHk-t has the disadvantage of a root ending in three consonants, which is very rare.)

*pe H_2 -tro-: hawran 'herd'; Av. pā ϑ ra-vant- 'giving protection'; cf. Lat. pābulum 'pasture'; Gr. πῶν 'herd' < *po H_2 -iu.

* H_1eH_2 -mr: awr 'day', via * $\bar{a}m\bar{o}r >$ *aur; Gr. $\hat{\eta}$ μαρ. For the laryngeals see 11.1.1.

* $pleH_l$ -tos: li 'full'; Skt. $prāt\acute{a}$ -, Lat. $(com)pl\~etus$.

* meH_1dos : mit 'mind, intelligence'; Gr. μῆδος 'plan'. But * $m\bar{e}d$ - is also possible.

*bhre H_I -ur: albiwr 'spring'; Gr. $\varphi p \in \bar{\alpha} p < *phr\bar{e}war$.

* H_2 le H_1 -ur: aliwr 'flour'; Gr. ἄλευρον.

* doH_3rom : tur 'gift'; Gr. $\delta\hat{\omega}$ pov, OCS dar_b .

* H_3 ne H_3 -mn: anun 'name' via *onōman; Skt. nāma, Lat. nōmen; Gr. ŏvoµ α <* H_3 n H_3 -mn.

The etymology of erkar 'long' from * $dueH_2ro$ - must be rejected (Kortlandt 1989).

11.3.1.4 Laryngeal in inlaut, after vowel before semivowel, -VHW-

A good example is:

* $deH_2iu\bar{e}r$: taygr 'brother-in-law' via * $daiw\bar{\imath}r$; Gr. δαήρ, Lith. dieveris, OCS $d\check{e}ver$ υ.

11.3.2 Laryngeal in inlaut, after consonant

11.3.2.1 Laryngeal in inlaut, after consonant, before vowel, -CHV-

See 12.7 on stop + laryngeal. If the consonant is not a stop, the laryngeal disappears without trace, except for the possible colouring of a following -e-.

*ģenH₁os: cin 'birth'; Gr. γένος, Skt. jánas, Lat. genus.

*ģerH₂-: cer 'old man'; Skt. járant-, Gr. γέρων.

11.3.2.2 Laryngeal in inlaut, after consonant before consonant, -CHC-

The laryngeal is vocalized to -a- in the first syllable and before a cluster, and disappears in other cases. The material is limited.

*pH₂tēr: hayr 'father'; Gr. πατήρ, Skt. pitár-, Lat. pater.

*bhH2tis: bay 'word'; Gr. φάτις.

* dH_3 -: tam 'I give'; Gr. δο-τός 'given', Lat. da-tus id. Present beside aorist etu < *-de H_3 -.

*ptH₂k-: t'ak'č'im 'be hidden'; Gr. πτήσσω, aor. -πτακών.

* dH_2p -ni-: tawn 'feast'; OIc. tafn; Lat. daps '(offering) meal', Toch. $t\bar{a}p$ -eat'; cf. Gr. $\delta\alpha\pi\dot{\alpha}\nu\eta$ 'costs', Lat. damnum id.

*sH₂d-: at-ok´ 'full, fat'; Gr. άδρός.

*genH₁-tlos: cnawł 'parent'; cf. Skt. janitram 'birthplace'.

* H_2 er H_3 -u-: harawunkʻʻfield'; Gr. ἄρουρα. See below on this form.

Here also sal 'salt', if it derives from $*sH_2l$ -.

On erastank' see 11.3.3.

With zero from laryngeal (in non-initial syllable and before single consonant) we find:

* $dhu\acute{g}H_2$ - $t\bar{e}r$: dustr 'daughter'; Gr. θυγάτηρ, Skt. $duhit\acute{a}r$ -.

*-o-mH₁nos: -un participial adjective, 'doing ...'; Gr. -o-μενος.

*ien $H_2t\bar{e}r$: *[i]ndir 'sister-in-law' belongs here according to Kortlandt (1997); but I find the reorganizations to arrive at the actual form ($n\bar{e}r$) complicated.

In first syllable, then, the laryngeal was vocalized, just as in Germanic. In the second syllable it looks as if the laryngeal was only vocalized before two consonants. *Harawunk'* seems to be an exception; the

sequence -ara- must come from the oblique cases, which had *H_2rH_3 - (see 11.3.3.2). Gelmn 'wool, fleece' is equated with Lat. vellus, but this word had no laryngeal.

There are some words that have initial HRH-C. These words can be treated under RHC (11.3.3.2) or here, if R is considered a consonant. I prefer to treat them here because they again show that the second laryngeal is vocalized before a double consonant.

- * H_2rH_3 -trom: arawr 'plough'; Gr. ἄροτρον. * H_2rH_1 -tri-: aławri 'mill'; Gr. ἀλετρ-ίς 'woman who grinds corn'.
- *H₂rH_m-: armukn 'elbow'; Skt. īrmá- 'arm', Lat. armus, Goth. arms.
- *H2nHt-: (dr)-and, 'door-post'; Skt. átā-, Lat. antae. (Note that this form may contain *hand, with loss of h- in compounds; it would require *H2enHt-.)
- 11.3.2.3 Laryngeal in inlaut, after consonant, before resonant, -CHR-
- No evidence known. The development will depend on what follows, a consonant or a vowel.
- 11.3.2.4 Laryngeal in inlaut, after consonant before semivowel, -CHW-

No evidence known.

11.3.3 Laryngeal in inlaut, after resonant, RH

11.3.3.1 Laryngeal in inlaut, after resonant before vowel, -RHV-(C-RHV)

After a vowel the laryngeal behaves as a laryngeal after a consonant; see 11.3.2.1. Special developments occur after a consonant, when the resonant became syllabic, C-RHV. This category will be discussed here. The (syllabic) resonant becomes aR as usual, the laryngeal disappears

(as usual before vowel).

*smH-(r): am 'year', amarn (< *smH₂er-m, cf. jmern 'winter' < *\(\frac{4}{5}\) fimer-m) 'summer'; Skt. sámā, OHG sumar.

* smH_1 -: $am\bar{e}n$, amen-ek'-in (pl.) 'all', amen-a- 'of all'; Skt. sama-, Gr. άμό-θεν 'from somewhere', οὐδ-αμ-ό- 'no one', Goth. sums 'some'.

* $urH_{\Gamma}(en)$ -: gar̄n 'lamb'; Gr. ἀρήν, Skt. urán-. The -n is secondary. Note that the zero grade of the suffix, -n-, would have given *garan (see

11.3.3.2), which is also a regular form of the paradigm. * $\acute{g}lH_2$ -os-/- $\ddot{o}s$: calr 'laugh', if the zero grade root form was generalized; alternatively * $\acute{g}lH_2$ -s- > *cala[h]-, of which the -a- was contracted with or lost before following vowel (Kortlandt 1996a; see 11.3.3.2); Gr. γέλως

(<* $\acute{g}elH_2$ - $\ddot{o}s$), γελαστός 'laughable'.

* krH_2 -: sar, -oy 'top, summit, peak'; Skt. śiras-, Gr. κάρη, κέρας, Lat. cerebrum < *keras-ro-. The form must have alternated with the full grade, otherwise the *k would have been depalatalized before r.

*krH-: sarn 'ice'; Lith. šármas 'hoarfrost', Russ. seren. Same remark as the preceding word as to the palatal.

*pnH-: hanum 'weave'; Lith. pìnti 'twist', spésti 'set traps', Goth. spinnan. *ulH-: galt 'secretly'; Lith. pra-vìlti 'deceive'.

*urH-: varem 'kindle' if cognate with Lith. virti, OCS variti 'cook'; problem is the v- of Armenian (see 7.2.2c).

*ģlH₂-(ou)-: tal 'hysband's sister' (with t- from taygr 'husband's brother'); Gr. γάλως, OCS zυΙυνα.

Much discussed is the connection between *kalin* 'acorn' and Gr. βάλανος. The Greek word requires $*g^wlH_2$ -en-, which would give Arm. **kalan-*, -eno- after H_2 becoming -ano-. The ending -in must be secondary.

11.3.3.2 Laryngeal in inlaut, after resonant, before consonant, -RHC-

This configuration is only interesting after consonant, where *R* can be syllabic. The Armenian reflex is *C-aRa-C* (Kortlandt 1991).

* $\acute{g}nH_3$ -sk-y-: čanač'em 'know' (assimilated from *canač'em); Gr. γι-γνώσκω.

* slH_2 -sk-y-: atač'em 'ask'; Gr. ϊλασκομαι < *si- slH_2 -sk-.

* $\acute{g}nH_3$ -tos: canawt' 'known' from *canaw with the suffix -to-. In the same way alawt'k' 'prayer'.

* $(k^w)twr$ -Hkomt- (from -dkomt- where -d- = -'d-): $k'a\bar{r}asun$ 'forty'; Gr. τετρώκοντα, Lat. quadrāginta. (Cf. the discussion in 11.2.4.)

* $dhlH_1$ -ro-: dalar 'green, fresh'; Gr. $\vartheta\alpha\lambda\epsilon\rho\delta\varsigma$ 'blooming, fresh, abundant' < * $dhlH_1$ -eros. This etymology has been much discussed, without convincing result. To my mind it can be understood in the way indicated here.

* $\acute{g}lH_2$ -s-: calr 'laugh' via *cala[h]-, of which the -a- contracted with or was lost before a following vowel (Kortlandt 1996a). Perhaps the zero grade root was generalized; see 10.3.3.1.

This development is much debated. To my mind, čanačem can hardly be doubted. A suffix $-ak(^w)$ - is most improbable, as PIE did not have such a suffix (it would have to be $-H_2(e)k(^w)$ -; the only evidence is from Greek (ἀλάσσω), where it derives from (non-IE) nouns). The -w- in canawt etc. is convincingly explained by Kortlandt in the way indicated above. (In ewt anasun the -n- must have been taken from the cardinal ewt n.) For dalar no explanation had been given up to now; if the one given here is

correct, it confirms -aRa- as the regular reflex.

Other evidence is unreliable. Other presumed developments must be explained differently: erastank', erkan and nawt'i have full grade -e H_2 - (see 11.3.1.2); cnawt goes back on *gen H_1 tlos (see 11.3.2.2); cnawt 'jaw' cannot be connected with Gr. $\gamma v \alpha \vartheta o \varsigma$ 'jaw', because the Greek word cannot be reduced to a PIE form. Calr, garn and kalin have prevocalic -RH-; see 11.3.3.1 On armukn and drand see 11.3.2.2.

So the only reflex that can be established is -aRa-. This fits in very well with what we know of Armenian. Syllabic R becomes aR in Armenian, and then the laryngeal is vocalized to a. - A complicated instance seems to be the following:

*H₂erH₃-ur: harawunk' 'field'; Gr. ἄρουρα, Lat. arvum, OIr. arbor. The word must have its h- from the nominative. If it is correct that a larvngeal in inlaut is not vocalized before a single consonant, the second a must probably be explained from the oblique cases, which had *H2rH3-uen-s (cf. OIr. arbae), where the zero grade gave ara-.

On HRH-C see section 11.3.2.2.

11.3.3.3 Laryngeal in inlaut, after resonant before resonant, -RHR

No evidence

11.3.3.4 Laryngeal in inlaut, after resonant before semivowel, -RHW-

No evidence

11.3.4 Laryngeal in inlaut, after semivowel, - WH-

11.3.4.1 Laryngeal in inlaut, after semivowel before vowel, -WHV-

No evidence.

11.3.4.2 Laryngeal in inlaut, after semivowel before consonant, -WHC-

The sequences -iH-, -uH- resulted in $*\bar{\imath}$, $*\bar{u} > i$, u. (Attempts to show developments like ya, wa have failed.) A special development, -uH-

>-uk-, is posited before final nasal by Kortlandt (1985b).
*gwhiHs-lom: jil 'sinew'; Lith. gýsla 'vein', Lat. filum 'thread'.
*tkiH₂-no-: c'in 'hawk'; Gr. ἰκτῖνος. If the form must be reconstructed as *tkiH-ino-, as has been proposed, then it is not relevant here (but in 11.3.4.4).

^{*}puHr-: hur 'fire'; Gr. πῦρ, Hitt. pahhur.

uHn-: un-ayn 'empty' if this belongs to Skt. $\bar{u}n\acute{a}$ - 'deficient', Lat. $v\bar{a}nus$, Goth. wans (< uH_2n -), but Gr. $\varepsilon \tilde{v}v\iota \varsigma$ presents a problem.

*d(h)ghuH-m (acc.): juk-n 'fish'; Gr. ἰχθῦς, Lith. žuvìs.

*muHs-m (acc.): mukn 'mouse'; Gr. $\mu \hat{v}\varsigma$, Skt. $m\hat{u}s$ -, Lat. $m\bar{u}s$. Here the development would have occurred after the loss of *s.

srunk' 'shin-bone' may be a loan from Iranian, but see Kortlandt 1985b.

11.3.4.3 Laryngeal in inlaut, after semivowel before resonant, -WHR-

No evidence known.

11.3.4.4 Laryngeal in inlaut, after semivowel before semivowel, -WHW-

Here may belong *tkiH-ino-: c'in 'hawk'; Gr. ἰκτῖνος, Skt. śyená-; see 11.3.4.2 and 12.4d.

11.4 Two laryngeals

11.4.1 Two consecutive laryngeals, *HH* No evidence.

11.4.2 The sequence *HRH* For *HRH-C* see on 11.3.2.2 (*RHC*).

11.4.3 The sequence *HWH* No evidence.

12. CLUSTERS

I discuss as clusters groups of consonants that are reflected by one phoneme which is not identical with one of the consonants of the original cluster; but I added *sp, *st and *ps (the first and the last being disputed, the second for the sake of coherence). So I do not treat as a cluster *pn > wn (see on *p) or *tr- > r- (see on *t). In case of doubt, I take the group as a cluster.

- 12.1 Clusters beginning with $r: *rs > \bar{r}$
- **H_Iorsos*: or 'back' (bodypart); Hitt. arras, Gr. ὄρρος, (παλίν)-ορσος, OHG ars.

*trs-: t'aramim (also t'aršamim; see 1.1.3) 'wither'; Skt. tṛṣyati, Gr. τέρσομαι, Lat. torreō, Goth. gapaursan.

12.2 Clusters beginning with *s.

- a) *sy > y
- *-osio: -oy, the gen. sg. ending of the o-stems. This is the only instance of the cluster. Note that nowhere else is *y preserved as y.
- *-esio: $-\bar{e}r$ gen. of 'something', with added -r (from -ro) found in many pronouns. (The nominative is z-i; i/e as in PIE *k^wid, gen. *k^weso, Gr. τ 1, τ 10. The personal form is oy-r < *osio.)

b) *sw > k'

This is one of the strangest sound laws of Armenian. It is explained in Kortlandt's chronology by assuming $*s > *h > *\chi > k'$ (χ is the regular source of k) and monophonemicization $*hw > *h^w$ followed by loss of labialization.

*suopnos: k'un 'sleep' (with u from *ow < *op); Skt. svápna-, Lat. somnus; Gr. ὅπνος < *supnos

*suesōr: k'oyr 'sister'; Skt. svásar-, Goth. swistar.

*suidr-o-: k'irtn 'sweat'; Skt. svid-, Gr. ίδρώς; *sueid- in Latv. sviêdri, OHG sweiz.

- c) * $sr > \bar{r}$ (like *rs, cf. 12.1)
- *sues-r-os: k'er̄ 'sister' gen. sg.; Goth. swistrs.
- *suesr-: k'eri 'maternal uncle'; cf. Skt. svasriya- 'sister's son', Lat. sobrīnus 'cousin'.
- *sru-: aru 'canal'; Skt. sru-tí-. Note the prothetic vowel.
 - d) *sp > sp
- *sper-n-: sparnam 'threaten'; Skt. sprnóti 'kick away', Lat. spernō 'sever, despise', Olc. sperna 'kick away'. The etymology is semantically difficult. *spel-: ara-spel 'myth, fable'; Goth. spill 'fable', OE spell.

This is the development we expect parallel to *st > st (below). However, there are several etymologies that seem to point to p' < *sp. In case some of them are correct, no distribution is known. Cf.:

*spelg-: p'ełk 'long piece of wood'; OE spelc, OIc. spjalk 'splint', spelkja.

*sper-t-: p'ert' 'a torn off piece'; Olc. spiorr id. Cf. also p'aycatn 'spleen'; Skt. plihán-, Av. spərəzan-, Gr. $\sigma\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\nu$, etc. The word cannot be reconstructed, but an initial *sp- seems probable (*pwould not solve anything for Armenian).

*spoud-: p'oyt' 'zeal'; Gr. σπουδή, Lith. $sp\acute{a}ud \check{z}iu$. The final consonant does not represent *d, so the etymology has been doubted; perhaps the word is non-Indo-European. The etymology can hardly be rejected, however.

A quite different relation is seen in Arm. sung/k 'mushroom', Gr.

σπόγγος 'sponge'; this is no doubt a non-IE word.

On op'i see on -ps-, 12.3.

e) *st > st (also after $s < *\acute{k}$)

*steib-: stipem 'urge, compel'; Gr. στείβω.

*ster-io-: sterj 'sterile'; Skt. stari-, Gr. στειρα, Lat. sterilis, Goth. stairo.

*H₂ster-: astł 'star'; Skt. stár-, Gr. ἀστήρ, Lat. stēlla.

*ues-tu-: z-gest 'cloth(es)'; Lat. vestis, Goth. wasti.

*dhug $H_2t\bar{e}r$: dustr 'daughter'; Skt. duhitár-, Gr. θυγάτηρ, Goth. dauhtar. Note that the laryngeal disappeared, and that only then the -t- came to stand after the $s < *\hat{k}$. (The palatal in Armenian is due to the preceding u.)

f) *sk, *sk, * $sk^w > c^c$

After *s no \hat{k} could occur in PIE, only *sk (or *s k^w). As * k^w became k, it is probable that *s k^w gave the same result as *sk.

*H3esk-: hac'i 'ash tree'; OHG asc; Gr. ὀξύη with metathesis.

*prk-sk-: harc'-anem 'ask'; Skt. prccháti, Lat. posco (< *porc-sc-). It is probable that in the group -ksk- the first k disappeared through dissimilation as in Greek and Latin (and already in PIE?).

*preisku-: erēc´ 'elder, priest'; Lat. prīscus 'ancient'; Gr. πρέσβυς, Cretan πρεῖγυς.

*skelH-: c'elum 'split'; Lith. skélti.

*skid- (perhaps [*skhid-] = /*sghid-/): c'tim 'scratch', < *c'it-; Skt. chid-, chinátti, Gr. $\sigma\chi$ i $\zeta\omega$, Lat. scind \bar{o} .

On palatalized forms see 10.5.4.

12.3 Clusters beginning with labials

*ps > s-; also -p'- has been proposed

As in the case of *sp, the development is not well documented. The best evidence is:

*prep-s-: eres 'face'; Gr. πρέπω 'be conspicuous'.

*ps(e)ud-: sut 'lie'; Gr. ψεύδομαι, ψυδρός, Slovak. šudit'. The word may be non-Indo-European, cf. Gr. ψύθος.

This development is, if not confirmed, at least not contradicted by *pst->st-:

. *psteno- (-ē-): stin 'woman's breast'; Av. fštāna-, Gr. στηνίον.

The evidence for -p'- is:

*(s)eps-: ep'em 'cook'; Gr. ἕψω. The word has no further relatives and may be a loan from a non-Indo-European language.

*(H)ops-: op'i 'white poplar'; OE æpse, Russ. osína (< *ops-), cf. Turkish apsak; Germanic forms like OHG aspa may have metathesis; it is more

probable that the Armenian form goes back to the eastern forms with -ps-. The word does not look Indo-European.

12.4 Clusters beginning with a dental

a) *dhy > j

*medh-io-: mēj 'middle'; Skt. mádhya-, Gr. μ έ σ (σ) \circ ς, Lat. medius. On ē cf. 13.2.

*seH2dh-io-: aj 'right'; Skt. sādhú-.

Cf. Kortlandt 1994a. There are no certain instances of t or d + y.

b) *tw > k'

*tue/o-: k'ez 'you' dat.-acc., k'o gen.; Skt. tvắm, tva-; Gr. σέ acc. < *tue.

*twr-: k'ar- in k'ar̄asun 'forty'; the form is the zero grade from *kwetuōr, *kwetur-; Skt. turīya-, Gr. τρά-πεζα 'table' (< 'four-footer'), Myc. topeza /torpeza/.

The development went through $*k^w$ (a development known from other languages) and loss of labialization (well known from Armenian); see the chronology.

c) *dw > k The development of this cluster is much debated since Meillet assumed that it resulted (word initially) in erk- (with prothetic vowel). The material on which he based this is the following:

*duō: erku 'two'; Skt. dvā́, Gr. δύω, δύο, OCS dъva.

*dueH2ro-: erkar 'long'; Gr. δηρός, cf. Skt. dūrá-.

*du(e)i-: erke-ay (aor.) 'be afraid' < *erki-ay, pres. $erkn\check{c}$ 'im, erkiwi' fear'; Av. $dva\bar{e}\vartheta\bar{a}$ 'threat', Gr. $\delta\epsilon(\delta\omega)$ < *de-duoi-a.

*eduōn: erkn 'birth pangs'; Gr. ὀδύνη.

There has always been opposition to Meillet's theory. The expected oucome of dw is k, parallel to tw > k' (as Meillet himself believed earlier). This development is shown by:

*meldui-: melk 'soft'; Skt. $m_r d\tilde{u}$ -, fem. $m_r du\tilde{\iota}$, Lat. mollis < *mldui-. This can be reconciled with Meillet's theory as being the internal development (and: after resonant). There are the following objections: 1. the phonetic aspect; 2. objections to the etymologies, and 3. counter-evidence. Ad 1. Proponents of Meillet's theory have not succeeded in giving a satisfactory phonetic explanation of the development, as they mostly admit (while that to k is unproblematic). Ad 2. As regards erkn, the Greek form points to H_3 -; the word probably belongs with $\delta \delta \omega v$, for which notably $v\omega\delta\delta\varsigma$ proves H_3 -. The etymology must thus be given up. As to erkar, there is an Armenian suffix -ar, and the existence of erk-ayn with the same meaning suggests that the word erkar contains this suffix; this

analysis refutes the etymology. (The connection with Lith. $e\bar{r}dvas$ 'spacious' must probably be given up, as its accent points to a *dh; the development of *dhw is unknown: one expects g). The word for 'two' is problematic too, as er- can only have been added after the loss of final vowels (otherwise *erku would have become *erk). And Kortlandt puts the loss of final vowels (stage 16) after the rise of prothesis (14) and the metathesis (15; for -rk- must have arisen through metathesis from kr- < dw-). So the etymology of erku seems impossible.

Ad 3. Most important is the counter-evidence, especially regarding the word for 'two'. Kortlandt follows Pedersen in assuming that the word was *ku, with er- later added from erek' 'three'. Most convincing is kic' 'conjoined, contiguous, adjoining, together' beside erkic'-s 'twice'; the word can be compared with OHG zwisk 'double' < *dui-sk-. The second form was 'modernized' after the new form of the word for 'two', the first therefore developed a more remote meaning (from '*two together'). Quite convincing is also kr-kin 'double' with kr- from *kir, which is found in erkir 'second' (cf. mekin 'single). Note also kut 'double', most probably connected with 'two', perhaps from *duo-pl-o-.

One concludes that the phonetic development of *erk*- is improbable, that there are objections to the etymologies, and that for 'two' there are several forms pointing to k- < *dw-.

d) *TK

This is the problem of the correspondence Skt. kṣ - Gr. κτ etc. The discovery of Hitt. tēkan has shown that most of these forms go back to a dental followed by a velar. Armenian has two or three instances of this cluster.

* $d(h)\acute{g}huH$ -: jukn 'fish'; Gr. $i-\chi\vartheta\hat{v}\varsigma$, Lith. $\check{z}uv\hat{v}s$. In Armenian the dental was lost and the velar developed regularly. (On -k- see 10.3.4.2.)

* $H_2rt\hat{k}o$ -: arj 'bear'; Skt. fksa-, Gr. ἄρκτος, Hitt. hartagga-. For *k we expect *c' (instead of s in the series s - c - j); with the preceding dental this may have given c'. This sound must have become voiced after resonant, as usual in Armenian, giving j. Then * $H_2rt\hat{k}$ - > *arj got the suffix -io-, giving *ardy- > arj.

*tkiH-in-: c'in 'kite'; Gr. ἰκτῖνος; Skt. śyená-, Av. saēna-. We have the same development in Armenian as assumed in the preceding item. (The forms go back to a complicated paradigm, e.g. *tkieH-iōn, *tkiH-in-os.)

12.5 Clusters beginning with velar

a) * $k^w y$, * $k y > \check{c}$

The result is the same as before *e*, *i*, section 9.5.4.

- *kiou-: č'og-ay 'I went'; Skt. cyávate, Gr. σεύω.
- * $\acute{g}nH_3$ -sk- $\acute{l}e/o$ -: čanač'-em 'know' from *canač'-; Gr. γι-γνώ-σκω, Lat. $n\bar{o}sc\bar{o}$. Note that the -s- also merged with the reflex of the cluster.
 - * $H_j k^w iH_j$: $a\check{c}' k'$ 'eyes' if $-iH_j$ developed to -ya (and not to $-\bar{\imath}$).
 - *uokw-je/o-: goč'-em 'cry', if the verb indeed had -je/o-.

No instances of * $g^w y$ (> \check{c} ?) or $g^w h y$ (> \check{j} ?) are known.

- b) *kw > š
- *kuōn, *kuon-m: šun 'dog'; Skt. ś(u)vắ, Gr. κύων.
- * H_1 ekuo-: \bar{e} s 'donkey'; in PIE 'horse', Skt. áśva-, Lat. equus. The \bar{e} < *ei comes from *e before a palatal consonant; see 13.2.
 - c) *ks > c' There is only one instance known:
 - *sueks: vec' 'six'; Av. xšvaš, Gr. εξ, μεξ, Welsh chwech.

Note that we have:

- *ksd > kst > kst > tst > tst
 - d) * $k^w s$, *k s > c', palatalized \check{s} (see 10.5.4).

There are no data, so the result is inferred from k (12.5c) and from k(w) (12.2f).

For a 'palatalized' form cf. *uek(w)seros > gišer. The exact conditions of this development are not yet clear. Perhaps -k(w)sp-, which was the original cluster, gave * $-k\check{s}(p)$ -, cf. the preceding section on $ve\check{s}tasan$. Cf. also on 10.5.4.

12.6 Stop + laryngeal

This is of course the question of the 'tenues aspiratae'. Since the discovery of the laryngeals, it has been proved that PIE did not know voiceless aspirates but that the relevant material goes to a large extent back to clusters of stop + laryngeal. The Armenian material is very difficult. We shall discuss the clusters separately.

- a) *pH > p'? (also after s)
- * pH_2el -?: p'ayl 'luster'; Skt. $phalg\acute{u}$ 'reddish'. Not very convincing. For the Sanskit word foreign origin has been claimed.
- *(s)per H_1 -: sp'i \bar{r} -k' 'dispersion', sp' \bar{r} em' 'disperse', p'arat 'dispersed' (< *pr H_1 -); Skt. sphuráti (< *spr H_1 -e-) 'kick away with the foot', Lith. spirti. I think it not very probable that this root had a laryngeal after the p, because it had one at the end of the root. (I considered the possibility that Gr. ἀσπαίρω 'flounder' represents * H_2 sp- and that this first laryngeal was in some languages moved to the position after the p.)

* peH_3 l-?: p'ul 'fall'; Lith. p'uolu, $p\`ulti$ (from * plH_3 - with metathesis?), OHG fallan. It seems improbable that this root also had a laryngeal after the p.

*p(h)u-: p'uk' 'breath'; Skt. $ph\bar{u}t$ -kr- 'blow', Gr. $\phi \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$ 'blowing'. The word is clearly onomatopoetic and cannot be used as evidence for *pH-. Note that in Greek the cluster did not give ph-.

The conclusion is that there is no convincing evidence. Still it is not improbable that the outcome would have been p'.

b) *tH > t'(?)

*portH-?: ort´ 'calf'; Skt. prthuka- 'young animal', Gr. πόρτις, πόρις (Myc. instr. pl. potipiqe /portiphi-qe/?). But the Sanskrit word is very late and not found elsewhere in Indo-Iranian, and in the Greek word the -t- does not belong to the root.

*plt H_2u -: y-alt' 'large'; Skt. prthú-, Gr. πλατύς, both 'broad'. The analysis of the Armenian word is uncertain.

So here again there is no certain evidence. If the outcome would be t', it would coincide with t' < *t, but after a resonant this would have become d, so ort' and alt' would show a specific reflex.

c) k^wH , kH > x (also after s)

* kH_2 ed-: xacanem (-c- < -d-s-; Kortlandt 1994a, 27f. [this vol., 104f.]) 'bite'; Skt. khådati 'chew' (* kH_2 ed-?), Lith. kándu, kásti, Polish kąsać (< * kH_2 -en-d-?, which is a strange formation; it could be simply *kond-). The etymology is doubted.

*skHel-: sxalem 'err, stumble'; Skt. skhalate (very doubtful Gr. σφάλλω, which would require $-k^w$ -).

*(s)ke $H_2k(e)H$ -?: c'ax 'branch'; Skt. śākhā, Goth. hoha 'plough'; Lith. šakà, OCS soxa. The structure of the word would be rather strange. The short *a of Slavic cannot be explained (laryngeal between consonants does not vocalize), or if we assume *o/ō, the Armenian form cannot be explained. The forms with nasal (Skt. śankú-, OCS sokb, Welsh cainc, Olc. hār) prove that the word is non-Indo-European. (Arm. c'- can represent *sk-; s-mobile is frequent in European substratum words.)

The connection of xalam 'to play' or xalalem 'reassure' with Gr. χ αλάω 'slacken, loosen' is quite doubtful and not relevant: Gr. χ - cannot represent *kH-. – That glux 'head' is cognate with Lith. galvà etc. is quite uncertain. The origin of the -x is unknown.

There is no reason to suppose a laryngeal in c'tim 'scratch' (Gr. $\sigma\chi(\zeta\omega)$); see 12.2f.

Again the conclusion is that the material is meagre and mostly unreliable.

13. OTHER DEVELOPMENTS

13.1 i-a > ea

When in inflectional forms or in word-formation an a comes after an i, this i is lowered to e:

tari 'year' instr. tare-aw

ordi 'son' +-ak, diminutive suffix: ordeak

Note that if -ea- is followed by another syllable, i.e. is pretonic, it is reduced to -e- according to the general rules (see 2.2):

*gini-a-tun 'wine-house' > *gineatun > ginetun

If the form in -*i* is monosyllabic, it remains unchanged:

ji 'horse' gen. jiøy, ji-a-wor ' (horse)rider'

13.2 * $e > *ei > \bar{e}$ before \check{s} , \check{z} , \check{j}

There are a few instances where *e became *ei, which developed normally to \bar{e} ; its pretonic form is i (as usual).

*H1ekuo-: ēš 'donkey'.

*medhio-: mēj 'middle'.

*uek*sero-: gišer 'night'; OCS večerь, Gr. ἕσπερος. The development *e > *ei > \bar{e} , reduced grade i, solves the vocalic part. See further 12.6d.

* $H_1eg^whis:$ $i\check{z}$ 'snake'; Gr. ŏφις, Skt. áhi-, Av. aži-. Arm. ž points to a (pure) velar or a labiovelar which was palatalised before the i; it must therefore be connected with Gr. ŏφις etc.; but the word must have had *e (like Gr. ĕχις which, however, has * $\acute{g}h$). The *e became * $ei > \bar{e}$, of which the reduced grade i (cf. gen. $i\check{z}i$) was extended to the nominative.

13.3 *io > wo and iw > u; tu = njean.

The suffix *-io- (often noted *-iio-; the glide is not phonemic) becomes -wo- when a syllable follows. This happens e.g. in the inflection: ordi 'son', gen. ordwoy, instr. ordwov.

The sequence -iw- (from word final -iw < *-iw-, or from *-ip-) becomes -u- when followed by a syllable, i.e. before the stress:

aniw 'wheel' gen. anuoy

tiw 'day' gen. tuən jean This development can also be seen outside the inflection:

* H_2 rģip-ios: arcui < *arciw-i 'eagle'; Skt. rjipyá- 'dashing'. The form arciw is younger.

*stip-: stuar 'solid, huge' < *stiw-ar; Lith. stiprùs 'strong'.

What happened in both cases is that i was reduced to a. The development may be envisaged as follows (non-phonemic elements in superscript):

 $io > \partial^w o > \partial^w o > wo$

 $ivo > \partial wo > u^wo = uo$

On this development Kortlandt 1976, 99 [this vol., 8].

13.4 *opC > *owC > uC

A *p before consonant develops into w. If this w is preceded by *o, the sequence *ow develops into u: *suopnos: k'un 'sleep', via *k'own; Skt. $sv\acute{a}pna$ -, Lat. somnus.

13.5 The sequence $-nK^w$ -

This is the *awcanem* 'anoint' problem. It appears that the sequence *-ong*w-, *-ang*wh- became *-aw \acute{g} -, *-aw \acute{g} h- > -aw \acute{g} -. The forms are:

**H*₃*ng*^w-: *awcanem* 'anoint'; Lat. *unguō*, *unguen*, OIr. *imb* 'butter'.

**H*₂ *ng*^w*h-i-*: *awj* 'snake'; Lat. *anguis*, OHG *unc*; Lith. *angìs*, acc. *añgi* has full grade.

The development has been much discussed as it is phonetically unexpected. It has been suggested that it was a kind of w-epenthesis, the sequence -wnK- losing its -n-. The fact that the velar becomes a palatal after the u suggests a very early date (Kortlandt's stage 2). (Note that the development proves the existence of labiovelars at one time in Armenian.) – Not relevant is ankanim 'to fall', which is not cognate with Goth. siggan 'to sink'; ankiwn does not have a labiovelar (OHG enka).

* H_2eng^wh - (rather * H_2eug^wh -): awji-k 'collar'; it has been equated with Gr. αΰχήν, Aeol. αΰφην, ἄμφην 'neck'; however, the Aeolic forms are doubtful and unexplained: Greek does not have such a development (and everything points to the first form being the original). The word is probably non-Indo-European.

13.6 *-aug->*-ag(w)>-ak-

This development is found in:

* H_2 eug-; ačem 'grow' (with palatalized *k < *g); Lat. augēre, Gr. αὐξάνω. Kortlandt (1980b, 99 [this vol., 27]) suggests that in this way may also be explained (with * $ouk->*ok^w-$):

oukid (< H_2 oiu k^w id): oč' 'not' (with palatalized k); cf. Gr. ov.

Again, this development is unexpected.

13.7 y-epenthesis

In 6.3c, epenthesis from y has been mentioned, as also that from -i-. Further, $i < \bar{e}$ could cause y-epenthesis, as is shown by:

*H₂nēr: ayr 'man', from *aynr; Skt. nár-, Gr. ἀνήρ, etc.

This is the only example; ayr 'cave' cannot come from *antēr; the connection with Gr. ἄντρον must probably be rejected.

13.8 w-epenthesis

In a few cases an u seems to have caused w-epenthesis before the preceding consonant. In fact there is no certain evidence. For instance, giwt 'finding' clearly belongs to the root git-, and it is quite possible that the epenthesis was caused by a following u, but it cannot be demonstrated. Hiwt' 'matter, moisture' has been connected with Skt. $pit\dot{u}$ -'nourishment', Lith. $pi\bar{e}t\bar{u}s$ 'lunch', but the etymology is semantically doubtful. A good instance seems awti 'strong drink' beside OIc. ot 'beer' < *alu-.

Some cases are doubtful. Thus awr 'day' < * $H_1eH_2m\bar{o}r$, through *amur has been explained as > *amur > *awmr, but others (Kortlandt) prefer *amur > *aur with loss of the m before u. Artawsr 'tear' (beside pl. artasuk', which is no problem when the condition is that only a lost u causes epenthesis) is explained differently by Kortlandt (1985a). On gewt see 13.9. Awcanem, awj and awjik' were treated separately, in 13.5.

13.9 - et, -it > -ewt, -iwt

A word-final -l produces a velar glide after e and i, written -ewl, -iwl (also when the l was followed by another consonant: piwlc 'dirty'). It occurs in inlaut only where it can be explained as due to analogy from word-final position. As the forms without -w- are still found, the development was late: šit, šet, šiwt 'branch, stalk'; cet, cit, ciwt 'stalk'.

The sequence -ewt became -iwt. Thus it became identical with that which had original -iwt. Then e and i became mixed up. The confusion became even greater when -wt was introduced into other case forms, e.g. šiwt for the old form št (which shows that the form had originally an -i-). Of course, not all forms are attested. Thus, we have ewt 'oil' (from Gr. $Ĕ\lambda\alpha\iota\sigma\nu$), gen. $iwt\sigma\nu$; the nominative, of course, must also have had the form *iwt.

13.10 c' > s before c', j'

When in morphology c' came to stand before c', j, it became s: sirec'ic' 1. sg. aor. subj. 'I will love', beside siresc'es 2 sg. <*-c'-c'es

206 R. S. P. Beekes

siresjik' 2 pl. <*-c'-jik' On the endings see Kortlandt 1981c.

13.11 Final -y after vowel

-y after vowel is not pronounced in -ay, -oy; cf. the gen. ending of the o-stems *-osio > -oy. (We do not find *-ey, as *ei had become \bar{e} , pronounced -e.) However, after i and u it disappeared so early that it is no longer written:

*kiutis: č'u 'departure, journey, way' from *č'uy; Skt. cyuti-.

*klutos: lu 'famous', < *luy; Skt. śrutá-, Gr. κλυτός. (Kortlandt thinks that the intermediate form was rather *luw; cf. 10.3.1g.)

13.12 Loss of stops between consonants

The verbs darnam 'return', barnam 'lift, raise', given their aorists darjay, barji, go back to *darj-nam etc. with loss of the stop. In other cases the stop may have been restored or have a different history: erdnum 'swear' probably derives from *erdu-num, where the u became a as usual.

13.13 Metathesis

A cluster stop + r was metathesized, at a stage when initial voiceless stop before consonant had disappeared. In that case a remainig r- got a prothetic vowel, erewim 'appear' < *prep-. In inlaut these stops became -w- (*patros > hawr, gen. sg.). So only PIE *b, *bh etc. are concerned which regularly became p, b etc. in Armenian. The metathesis occurred not only in inlaut, but also in anlaut (probably after a shwa had arisen before the cluster). In the latter case the (newly initial) r- got a prothetic vowel e or a; see ch. 5. – It is unknown what happened with groups of the type *d, *dh followed by -l-, -n- in the same position, as no instances are known.

```
(Initial cases are given first.)
```

^{*}bhr > rb

^{*}bhre H_2 tēr > elbayr 'brother', through *erbayr.

^{*}bhre $H_1ur > albiwr$ 'spring', via *briwar > *briwr > *abriwr > *arbiwr.

^{*}dhabhro- > darbin 'smith'; probably a non-IE word.

^{*}kubhro-> surb 'pure, holy'.

^{*}dr > rt

^{*}drak(-) > artawsr 'tear'. pl. artasuk'; OHG trahan, Gr. δάκρυ. See on the word Kortlandt 1985a.

^{*}suidro->k'irtn 'sweat'; Gr. ίδρώς, Latv. sviêdri; Lat. südor < *swoidōs.

^{*}bhidro- > birt 'rigid, rude'; OS bit(t)er 'bitter'.

 $[*]g^w r > rk$

```
*gwreH<sub>2</sub>-n-> erkan 'millstone'; Lith. gìrna, Skt. grắvan-.

*ģhsr>rj

The only instance is:

*meģhsri: merj 'near'; Gr. μέχρι 'up to'. See 10.5.4.

*ghr>rg
```

*ghrondi-: argand 'womb'; OCS grodo 'breast'.

In this way Armenian avoided consonant clusters at the beginning of a syllable. It had nothing to do with open syllables (*surb*; *dar-bin*; **dabrin* could have been pronounced *da-brin*, like *pa-tros* in Attic). This seems to be connected with the fact that in Armenian all old consonant clusters had become single phonemes: *sk > c', * $ky > \check{c}'$ etc. Such languages do not normally have geminates, which is also true of Armenian.

Apparent clusters as in *drand* 'door-post' have an ∂ which is not written; here $d\partial r$ -comes from dur-'door'.

14. RELATIVE CHRONOLOGY

14.1 Intermediate stages

In the foregoing we have mostly presented the PIE starting-point and the reflex at the earliest stage of Armenian known to us. However, these developments went through several intermediate stages. If we want to understand what really happened we have to study these successive stages. As the developments leading to Armenian often were not simple, the relative chronology of these stages gives us a possibility to check new etymological proposals: the sound laws must follow the same order every time.

A chronology of a large number of developments has been given by Kortlandt (1980b), and we shall give a survey of them here. Before that it will be useful to give a survey of the intermediate stages which he proposed.

It should be noted that not all developments have been listed in this chronology, largely because it was unclear where they had to be inserted.

```
*p > *\varphi (10) > h- (12)

V\varphi V > w (13)

\varphi C > *fC, C- (12b); *fC > wC (13b)

*t > *\vartheta (10) > t' (19)

V\vartheta V > y (13)

\vartheta C > *fC, C- (12b); *fC > wC (13b)

*k > *\chi (10) > k' (19).

V\chi V > y (13)
```

208 R. S. P. Beekes

```
\chi C > *fC, C- (12b); *fC > wC (13b)
*k > *s (3) > s (6)
*s > *h (5) > zero (10)
*-h > -\chi (11) > k' (19)
*w > *\gamma^w (11) > *\gamma (18) > g (19)
*\gamma^w > *\gamma \text{ before } u, r (12c) > zero (13c)
*-\gamma^w > w (17a)
*-\gamma^w r > -wr (17b)
*-\gamma^w \chi > *-\gamma(w) (17c) > -\gamma (18) > -g (19)
```

Clusters

```
*sw > *hw (5) > *h<sup>w</sup> (7c) > *\chi^w (11) > *\chi (18) > k' (19)

*sr, rs > *hr, *rh (5) > \bar{r} (10)

*sk > *\dot{c} (3) > *\dot{c} (6) > \dot{c} (10)

*tw > *t<sup>w</sup> (2) > *\dot{k}^w (9) > *\chi^w (10) > *\chi (18) > k' (19)

*dw > *d<sup>w</sup> (2) > *g^w (9) > *k<sup>w</sup> (devoicing of the glottalic stops before 10) > k

18)

*\dot{k}w > *\dot{c}^w (2) > *\dot{s}^w (7) > \ddot{s} (12c)
```

- 14.2 <u>Relative chronology</u> I give Kortlandt's chronology (1980b), with the change indicated in Kortlandt 1993, 10 [this vol., 102], n. 1 and 2 (17b > 13b), with his numbering.
- **0. Proto-Indo-European.** The unaspirated voiced consonants, which were (pre)glottalized, were retained as such (but devoiced) in Armenian up to historical times. The velars after u were neutralized, giving palatovelars (k etc.)
- **1.** Loss of aspirated stops. So PIE *t *'d *dh became *t *'d *d. It is assumed that the loss of aspiration was a dialectal Indo-European development, which Armenian shared with Germanic and Balto-Slavic, and further with Albanian, Iranian and Tocharian.
 - 2. Rise of new labialized stops.

The following clusters became monophonemic, as indicated (with the final result added in the third line):

```
*kw *tw *dw
through *c* *t* *d*
(became š k' k later)
To the same stage are dated:
a) *-ug- >*-ug (*iug-om > luc 'yoke')
    *-euk- >*-euk- (*leuk- > loys 'light')
b) *aug- > *ag*- (*aug- > ačem 'grow')
    *ouk- > *ok*- (*H2oiu-k*e > *ouk*e > oč' 'not')
```

```
c) *ang^wh-> *aw\acute{g}- (*ang^wh-i-> awi 'snake')
   *ong^{w}-> *aw\acute{g}- (*ong^{w}-> awcanem 'anoint')
```

- 3. Assibilation of *k > s and *sk > c. See further under 6.
- 4. (Assimilation of *sweśurā > *śweśurā (> skesur 'stepmother', see 19.)
- 5. *s > *h except after consonant or before obstruent.

Intervocalic h was lost later (10), but final -h became *- χ (11) > -k' (19).

- 6. * \dot{s} (from * \dot{k} [3]) > \dot{s} , and * \dot{c} (from * $\dot{s}k$ [3]) > * \dot{c} (later > \dot{c}).
- 7. Redistribution of labialization.
- a) $^*j^w > j$ ($\acute{g}hwon > ^*j^won [3] > jayn$)
- b) $*c^w > *s^w \ (*kwon-> *s^wun-> *un' dog')$
- c) *hw (from *sw) > h^w (*swesor > *hwehur > k'oyr 'sister')
- 8. Palatalization. All velars were palatalized before front vowels: $*k^w$ * g^w * $g^w h > \check{c}$ \check{c} \check{f} .
 - 9. * $t^w > *k^w$ (* $tw > *k^w > k' ez$ 'thou' acc.) * $d^w > *g^w$ (*meldw- > *melg^w- > melk 'soft')
 - 10. Lenition.

*
$$p * t * k * k^w > * \varphi * \vartheta * \chi * \chi^w$$

This happened after the voicing of these consonants after resonant: *mrto- > mard 'man', * $penk^we > hing$. The devoicing of the glottalics (*d > tetc.) was probably anterior to the voicing after resonants because the latter did not block the devoicing: *kerd > sirt 'heart', *uoré-om > gorc

Final nasals (after vowel) were lost very early, while syllabic nasals in auslaut were retained.

Nasals before fricatives were lost. (*omsos > us 'shoulder')

*h (from *s) was lost. Assimilation of *h to r (giving \bar{r}).

*h > zero *ues-nu- > z-genum 'dress'

(eN > iN was later; here the -e is analogical; see 9.1d)

after $\bar{o} > u$ but before $\bar{e}u > oy$: $\bar{s}wes\bar{o}r > \bar{h}wehur > k'oyr$ 'sister'

*y was lost after * $\bar{e} > i$ but before the loss of phonemic quantity: *trejes > *\text{\text{ore}}\chi > \text{rex} > \text{erek} \text{'three'}

- 11. Rise of new velar fricatives.
- a) * $w > *\gamma^w$ (which later became g, 19)
- b) * h^w (from *su) > χ^w (* $sues\bar{o}r$ > * h^wehur > * χ^weur > k'oyr) c) *-h > * $-\chi$ (> $-\hat{k}'$ at 19) (nom. pl. *-es > -k')

 - 12. Simplification of consonantal articulation.
- a) * φ > h- (*prk-sk- \bar{o} > harc'anem 'ask') b) * φ * ϑ * χ > *f before consonant
 - $(*pH_2tros gen. sg. > *ha\vartheta roh > *hafro\chi > hawr)$
 - " " , > zero initially before consonant $(*prep->*re\varphi->erewim 'appear')$

210 R. S. P. Beekes

```
c) loss of labial(ization) before u, (consonantal) r (not before o, Kortlandt p.c.)
   (*neu-ro->*nowro->nor)
   (*bhreH<sub>1</sub>-ur-os>*brey<sup>w</sup>r-os gen. sg.>*breyr>alber 'spring', 13b)
d) *s<sup>w</sup> (from *k<sup>w</sup>) > š (*kwon->*s<sup>w</sup>un->šun)
13. Loss of fricatives, intervocalic and anteconsonantal
a) intervocalic *θ, *χ > y (which is lost between vowels)
   (*pH<sub>2</sub>tēr>hay[i]r)
   (*k<sup>w</sup>etwores>*č'eχ<sup>w</sup>or->č'ork' 'four')
This development did not take place after (nonsyllabic) resonants:
   (awt' 'sleeping place', ewt'n 'seven')
*θ, *χ > zero before *r
   (*pH<sub>2</sub>tṛ-bhi>*haθṛ-bi>harb instr. sg.)
```

(gen. sg. *ha ϑ ro χ > *hafro χ > hawr) Newly arising ew, ow did not merge with earlier eu, ou (which became oy); new ow > u

b) intervocalic * φ , and *f before consonant (from 12b) > w

 $(*prep->*re\phi->*ref->erewim 'appear')$

```
(*septm > ewt'n 'seven')

(*H_3ektō >> *o\varphitu > *ow8u > ut' 'eight')

(*swopnos > *\chi*wown- > k'un 'sleep')

\gamma*w > w before final r

(albiwr)
```

This development was blocked by the preceding resonant in $*deH_2iw\bar{e}r > *tayy^wir > taygr$ 'husband's brother'.

c) The delabialized (12b) * γ > zero between vowels, and before r (* $bhreH_1$ -ur-os > *breyroh > alber)

14. Loss of syllabic resonants.

```
*r, *l, *n, *m > ar, al, an, am
```

In this way final nasals after vowel became possible again.

Prothetic *e*- before *r* and before initial clusters.

15. Metathesis

It is assumed that *bhreH2ter > *ebrayr > elbayr 'brother'

Kortlandt also takes here the type ${}^*H_2elios > ayl$ 'other' (see his remark on stage 16).

16. Apocope (loss of final vowels)

i or u was preserved as an epenthetic y resp. w when the preceding vowel was a:

```
(*H_2n\bar{e}r > *anir > *aynr > ayr 'man')
(artawsr 'tear' < *drak-u-)
```

The loss of the final vowel was later than the metathesis in $^*H_2elios > ^*ayl$ -

17. Simplification of consonantal articulation.

- a) Final *- $\gamma^w > -w$ (* $neH_2u > *na\gamma^w > naw$ 'ship')
- b) now 13b.
- c) Final *- $\gamma^w \chi$ > *- γ^w or *- γ (which became -g in 19) (gen. * $are\gamma^w$ - $lol\chi$ > areg 'sun')

18. Loss of labialization.

This affects not only the original labiovelars, but also those that arose secondarily: $*k^w < *t^w$ [9] (k'ez) and $*\chi^w < *h^w$ [11b] (k'oyr).

19. Shortening of fricatives.

*
$$\vartheta$$
, * χ , * γ > t' , k' , g

(After *s* the *g* was voiceless in *skesur* 'mother-in-law'.)

Note that * φ had disappeared earlier. (12, 13b)

20. Rise of new x.

The laryngeals after stop *pH, *tH, *kH became affricates or aspirated stops; the latter now became x. (*kH > * q^h (10) > x (20))

(*skH- in sxalim)

21. Influx of Iranian loanwords.

22. Reduction of pretonic vowels.

14.3 Examples.

Here are some examples where all stages are given (some have not been dated).

*treies > *treieh (5) > *treeh (which Kortlandt now dates to stage 6, p.c.) > * ϑ reh (10) > * ϑ re χ (11) > *re χ (12b) > *ere χ (14) > ereh (19).

*swopnos > *hwopnoh (5) > *h*opnoh (7c) > *h*o\$\varphi\$noh (10) > *\chi\$\$\varphi\$o\$\varphi\$noh (12b) > *\chi\$\$\varphi\$owno- (13b) > *\chi\$\$\chi\$un- (18) > k'un (19).

* k^w etwores > * k^w etwores (2) > * k^w etworeh (5) > * t^w etworeh (8) > t^w e t^w oreh (9) > t^w e t^w ereh (10) > * t^w e t^w erex (11c) > * t^w eorex (13) > * t^w eorex (16) > * t^w eorex (18) > * t^w eorex (19) = t^w

 $^*pH_2t\bar{e}r > ^*\varphi a \vartheta ir (10) > ^*ha \vartheta ir (12a) > ^*hayir (13a) > hayr (16).$

* pH_2 tros > *patroh (5) > * $\varphi a \vartheta roh$ (10) > * $\varphi a \vartheta ro\chi$ (11c) > * $hafro\chi$ (12b) > * $hawro\chi$ (13b) > * $hawr\chi$ (16), anal. hawr.

*swesōr > *hwehōr (5) > *hwehur (7) > *hweur (10) > * χ weur (11b) > * χ woyr (16) > * χ oyr (18) > k'oyr (19).

*swekurā > *sweśura (3) > *śweśura (4) > *swesura (6) > *s χ wesura (11a with unvoiced γ w after s) > *s χ wesur (16) > *s χ esur (18) > skesur (19 with k, not k, after s).

* $H_3e\dot{k}t\bar{o} > *H_3kt\bar{o}$ (with zero grade from the ordinal) >> * $opt\bar{o}$ (cf. Elean Greek onto) > *opt'u (10) > *oft'u (12) > *owt'u (13) = *ut'u (13) > *ut' (16) > ut' (19).

REFERENCES

Adjarian [Ačaryan], H[rač'ya H.]

1909 Classification des dialectes arméniens. Paris: Champion.

Agajan, È.B.

1960 O genezise armjanskogo konsonantizma. *Voprosy jazykoznanija* 9/4, 37-52.

Allen, William S.

1950 Notes on the phonetics of an Eastern Armenian speaker. Transactions of the Philological Society, 180-206.

Phonetics and comparative linguistics. Archivum Linguisticum 3/2, 126-136.

Bailey, Harold W.

1987 Armenian hariwr 'hundred'. AArmL 8, 1-3.

Băltăceanu, M.F.

1980 Rapports entre l'arménien et le daco-mésien. In: Studia Indo-Europaea ad Dacoromanos Pertinentia II: Studii de tracologie: lingvistică. București, 31-156.

Bauer-Manndorf, Elisabeth

1984 Das frühe Armenien. Wien: Mechitharisten Verlag.

Beekes, Robert S.P.

1969 The Development of the Proto-Indo-European Laryngeals in Greek. The Hague: Mouton.

1981a The neuter plural and the vocalization of the laryngeals in Avestan. *Indo-Iranian Journal* 23/4, 275-287.

1981b The subjunctive endings of Indo-Iranian. *Indo-Iranian Journal* 23/1, 21-27.

1985 The origins of the Indo-European nominal inflection. Innsbruck: Institut für Sprachwissenschaft.

1988a A Grammar of Gatha-Avestan. Leiden: Brill.

1988b Laryngeal developments: A survey. In: A. Bammesberger (ed.) Die Laryngaltheorie und die Rekonstruktion des indogermanischen Laut- und Formensystems. Heidelberg: Winter, 59-105.

Benveniste, Émile

1954 Problèmes sémantiques de la reconstruction. Word 10, 251-264.

1959 Sur la phonétique et la syntaxe de l'arménien classique. BSL 54/1, 46-68.

1965 Arménien aregakn «soleil» et la formation nominale en -akn. REArm 2, 5-19.

Bonfante, Giuliano

1942 The Armenian agrist, *JAOS* 62, 102-105.

Būga, K.

1922 Kalba ir senovė. I dalis. Kaunas: Švietimo Ministerijos leidinys.

Bugge, Sophus

Beiträge zur etymologischen erläuterung der armenischen sprache. *KZ* 32, 1-87.

Burney, Ch. & Lang, D. Marshall

1971 The Peoples of the Hills. London: Leidenfeld and Nicolson.

Çabej, Egrem

1972 Über einige Lautregeln des Albanischen. *Die Sprache* 18, 132-154.

Čekman, Valerij N.

1974 O refleksax indoevropejskix *k, *g v balto-slavjanskom jazykovom areale. *Balto-slavjanskie issledovanija*. Moskva: Nauka, 116-135.

Chantraine, Pierre

1967 Morphologie historique du grec. Paris: Klincksieck.

Clackson, James

1994 The linguistic relationship between Armenian and Greek. Oxford: Blackwell.

2000 Arm. ariwn 'blood'. AArmL 20, 27-45.

Considine, Patrick

1979 Discussion of Greppin 1973. *REArm* 13, 355-364.

Djahukian (Jahukyan, Džaukjan), Gevorg B.

1967 Očerki po istorii dopis'mennogo perioda armjanskogo jazyka. Erevan.

1970 Hayerenə ev hndevropakan hin lezunerə. Erevan.

1982 Sravnitel'naja grammatika armjanskogo jazyka. Erevan: AN ArmSSR.

Dumézil, Georges

1938 Séries étymologiques arméniennes. BSL 40/1, 48-54.

1964 Notes sur le parler d'un arménien musulman de Hemşin. Bruxelles: Palais des Académies.

1979 Le Ciel et sa femme en arménien. In: Florilegium Anatolicum: Mélanges offerts à Emmanuel Laroche. Paris: Boccard, 107-110.

Eichner, Heiner

1978 Die urindogermanische Wurzel **H*₂reu 'hell machen'. *Die Sprache* 24, 144-162.

Frisk, Hjalmar

1966 Kleine Schriften zur Indogermanistik und zur griechischen Wortkunde, Göteborg: Almqvist & Wiksell.

Garibjan, A.S.

- 1958 Novaja gruppa dialektov armjanskogo jazyka. *Voprosy* jazykoznanija 7/6, 95-101.
- 1959 Ob armjanskom konsonantizme. *Voprosy jazykoznanija* 8/5, 81-90.

Georgiev, V.I.

1977 *Trakite i tehnijat ezik.* Sofija: Izdatelstvo na Bŭlgarskata Akademija na Naukite.

Godel, Robert

- 1969 Les aoristes arméniens en -c'-. Studia classica et orientalia Antonino Pagliaro oblata. vol. 2. Roma: Istituto di Glottologia dell'Università di Roma, 253-258.
- 1970 Diachronic Armenian. In: Current Trends in Linguistics 6. The Hague: Mouton, 139-159.
- 1975 An introduction to the study of Classical Armenian. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- 1982 Une "loi phonétique" bien difficile à énoncer: *w > arm. w (v) / g / zéro. *REArm* 16, 9-16.

Grammont, Maurice

- 1908 La métathèse en arménien. In: Mélanges de linguistique offerts à M. Ferdinand de Saussure. Paris: Champion, 229-243.
- 1918 Notes de phonétique générale. MSL 20, 213-259.

Greppin, John A.C.

- 1972 The Armenian reflexes of IE *w and *y. REArm 9, 69-78.
- 1973 Initial vowel and aspiration in Classical Armenian. Wien: Mechitharisten-Buchdruckerei.
- 1975 Does IE *sV- give Arm. hv-? KZ 89, 46-52.
- 1978 The sequence awC in Classical Armenian. KZ 92, 282-293.
- 1982 Some comments on the chronology of secondary aspiration in Classical Armenian, ms.
- 1993 The development of *Ty in Classical Armenian. The second international symposium on Armenian linguistics: Proceedings. Yerevan: Press of the Armenian Academy of Sciences, 15-24.

Grousset, René

1973 Histoire de l'Arménie des origines à 1071. Paris: Payot.

Hamp, Eric P.

- 1960 Palatal before resonant in Albanian. KZ 76, 275-280.
- 1965 Evidence in Albanian. In: W. Winter (ed.) Evidence for

laryngeals. The Hague: Mouton, 123-141.

1966 The position of Albanian. In: H. Birnbaum & J. Puhvel (eds.) Ancient Indo-European dialects. Berkeley: University of California Press, 97-121.

1972 Latin dacrima, lacruma and Indo-European 'tear'. Glotta 50, 291-299.

1976 Armenian *hariwr* again. *KZ* 90, 128-130.

Harkness, John

1996 Another approach to the "Great Armenian Puzzle". *AArmL* 17, 11-19.

Hermann, E.

1907 Uber das Rekonstruieren. KZ 41, 1-64.

Hübschmann, Heinrich

1877 Ueber die stellung des armenischen im kreise der indogermanischen sprachen. KZ 23, 5-49.

1897 Armenische Grammatik I. Leipzig: Breitkopf and Härtel.

Huld, Martin E.

1978 Albanian *i thellë* and its eastern relations. *KZ* 92, 297-299.

1979 Albanian *vierr* and IE. in-law terms. *IF* 84, 196-200.

1984 Basic Albanian etymologies. Columbus: Slavica.

Illič-Svityč (Illich-Svitych), Vladislav M.

1963 Imennaja akcentuacija v baltijskom i slavjanskom: sud'ba akcentuacionnyx paradigm. Moskva: Akademija Nauk SSSR, 1963. (Engl. transl.: Nominal accentuation in Baltic and Slavic. Cambridge/Mass.: MIT Press. 1979).

Jasanoff, Jay H.

1979 Notes on the Armenian personal endings. KZ 93, 133-149.

Jensen, Hans

1959 Altarmenische Grammatik. Heidelberg: Winter.

Job, Dieter Michael

- 1977 Probleme eines typologischen Vergleichs iberokaukasischer und indogermanischer Phonemsysteme im Kaukasus. Frankfurt/M: Peter Lang.
- 1986 Les noms des parties du corps dans le vocabulaire de base arménien. La place de l'arménien dans les langues indoeuropéennes. (= Mémoires de l'Académie Royale de Belgique, Classe des Lettres, Fonds René Draguet, Tome III). Leuven: Peeters, 20-37.
- 1995 Zum Lautwandel im Armenischen: Probleme einer relativen Chronologie. Analecta Indoeuropaea Cracoviensia II: Kurylowicz Memorial Volume I. Cracow: Universitas, 291-311.

Jokl, Norbert

1937 Ein Beitrag zur Lehre von der alb. Vertretung der idg. Labiovelare. In: *Mélanges linguistiques offerts à M. Holger Pedersen*. Aarhus: Universitetsforlaget; København: Levin & Munksgaard, 127-161.

1963 Die Verwandtschaftsverhältnisse des Albanischen zu den übrigen indogermanischen Sprachen. *Die Sprache* 9, 113-156.

Joseph, Brian D.

Lindeman versus Kortlandt: summary and evaluation. AArmL 5, 45-50.

Karaljunas [Karaliūnas], Simas

1966 K voprosu ob i.-e. s posle i, u v litovskom jazyke. Baltistica 1/2, 113-126.

Karst, Josef

1901 Historische Grammatik des Kilikisch-Armenischen. Strassburg: Trübner.

Khachaturian, Amalya

1983 The nature of voiced aspirated stops and affricates in Armenian dialects. AArmL 4, 57-62.

Klingenschmitt, Gert

1982 Das altarmenische Verbum. Wiesbaden: Reichert.

Kortlandt, Frederik H.H.

- 1972 Modelling the phoneme: New trends in East European phonemic theory. The Hague: Mouton.
- 1975a A note on the Armenian palatalization. KZ 89/1, 43-45. [This vol., 10-12].
- 1975b Slavic accentuation: A study in relative chronology. Lisse: Peter de Ridder.
- 1976 Notes on Armenian historical phonology I. *SCauc* 3, 91-100. [This vol., 1-9].
- 1977 Historical laws of Baltic accentuation. *Baltistica* 13/2, 319-330.
- 1978a Notes on Armenian historical phonology II: The second consonant shift. *SCauc* 4, 9-16. [This vol., 20-25].
- 1978b I.-E. palatovelars before resonants in Balto-Slavic. In: J. Fisiak (ed.) *Recent Developments in Historical Phonology*. The Hague: Mouton, 237-243.
- 1978c On the history of the genitive plural in Slavic, Baltic, Germanic, and Indo-European. *Lingua* 45, 281-300.
- 1979a Toward a reconstruction of the Balto-Slavic verbal system. *Lingua* 49, 51-70.

1979b The Old Irish absolute and conjunct endings and questions of relative chronology, *Ériu* 30, 35-53.

- 1979c Proto-Indo-European obstruents. IF 83, 107-118.
- 1980a Albanian and Armenian. KZ 94, 243-251. [This vol., 13-19].
- 1980b On the relative chronology of Armenian sound changes. First international conference on Armenian linguistics: Proceedings. Delmar: Caravan Books, 97-106. [This vol., 26-33].
- 1980c H_{20} and oH_{2} . Lingua Posnaniensis 23, 127-128.
- 1981a 1st sg. middle *-H₂. IF 86, 123-136.
- 1981b More evidence for Italo-Celtic, Ériu 32, 1-22.
- 1981c On the Armenian personal endings. AArmL 2, 29-34. [This vol., 34-38].
- 1983a Demonstrative pronouns in Balto-Slavic, Armenian, and Tocharian. Studies in Slavic and General Linguistics 3: Dutch contributions to the 9th international congress of slavists: Linguistics, 311-322. [This vol., 52-53].
- 1983b Notes on Armenian historical phonology III: h-. SCauc 5, 9-16. [This vol., 39-44].
- 1983c Greek numerals and PIE glottalic consonants. MSS 42, 97-104.
- 1983d Naxahayereni holovakan verjavorut'yunnerə. *Banber Erevani Hamalsarani* 1/49, 64-70.
- 1984a Proto-Armenian case endings. *International symposium on Armenian linguistics: Reports* [Meždunarodnyj simpozium po armjanskomu jazykoznaniju: Doklady]. Erevan: AN Arm. SSR, 97-106. [This vol., 45-51].
- 1984b PIE. *H- in Armenian. AArmL 5, 41-43. [This vol., 54-56].
- 1984c Old Irish subjunctives and futures and their Proto-Indo-European origins. *Ériu* 35, 179-187.
- 1985a Arm. *artawsr* 'tear'. *AArmL* 6 [Fs. Djahukian], 59-61. [This vol., 60-62].
- 1985b Notes on Armenian historical phonology IV. *SCauc* 6, 9-11. [This vol., 57-59].
- 1985c The syncretism of nominative and accusative singular in Armenian. *REArm* 19, 19-24. [This vol., 63-67].
- 1985d Proto-Indo-European glottalic stops: The comparative evidence. *Folia Linguistica Historica* 6, 183-201.
- 1986a Armenian and Albanian. La place de l'arménien dans les langues indo-européennes. (= Mémoires de l'Académie Royale de Belgique, Classe des Lettres, Fonds René Draguet, Tome III). Leuven: Peeters, 38-47. [This vol., 68-74].
- 1986b The origin of the Slavic imperfect. In: R. Olesch & H. Rothe

(eds.) Festschrift für Herbert Bräuer zum 65. Geburtstag. Köln: Böhlau, 253-258.

- 1987a Notes on Armenian historical phonology V. *SCauc* 7, 61-65. [This vol., 75-78].
- 1987b Sigmatic or root agrist? *AArmL* 8, 49-52. [This vol., 79-82].
- 1987c PIE. *s in Albanian. Studies in Slavic and General Linguistics 10: Dutch studies in South Slavic and Balkan linguistics, 219-226.
- 1988a The Thraco-Armenian consonant shift. Balkansko Ezikoznanie / Linguistique Balkanique 31, 71-74. [This vol., 83-87].
- 1988b Proto-Germanic obstruents. Amsterdamer Beiträge zur älteren Germanistik 27, 3-10.
- 1989 The making of a puzzle. *AArmL* 10, 43-52. [This vol., 88-95].
- 1991 Arm. canawt 'known'. AArmL 12, 1-4. [This vol., 96-97].
- 1992a The Aeolic optative. In: R.S.P. Beekes et al. (eds.) Rekonstruktion und relative Chronologie: Akten der 8. Fachtagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft [Leiden, 1987]. Innsbruck: Institut für Sprachwissenschaft, 235-239.
- 1992b The Germanic fifth class of strong verbs. North-Western European Language Evolution 19, 101-107.
- 1993 Intervocalic *-w- in Armenian. AArmL 14, 9-13. [This vol., 102-103].
- 1994a Palatalization of dentals in Armenian. AArmL 15, 27-31. [This vol., 104-106].
- 1994b Proto-Armenian numerals. In: E. Rasmussen (ed.) In honorem Holger Pedersen: Kolloquium der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft [Kopenhagen, 1993], Wiesbaden: Reichert, 253-257. [This vol., 98-101].
- The fate of the sigmatic aorist in Tocharian. In: B. Schlerath (ed.) Tocharisch: Akten der Fachtagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft [Berlin, September 1990]. (Tocharian and Indo-European studies. Supplementary series, vol. 4). Reykjavík: Málvísindastofnun Háskóla Íslands, 61-65.
- 1995a The sigmatic forms of the Armenian verb. AArmL 16, 13-17. [This vol., 107-109].
- 1995b Lithuanian verbs in -auti and -uoti. Linguistica Baltica 4, 141-143.
- 1996a Arm. calr 'laughter'. AArmL 17, 55-59. [This vol., 117-119].
- 1996b The Proto-Armenian verbal system. *Proceedings of the 5th international conference on Armenian linguistics*. Delmar, N.Y.: Caravan Books, 35-43. [This vol., 110-116].
- 1997 Arm. nēr 'sister-in-law'. AArmL 18, 7-9. [This vol., 120-121].
- 1998a The development of *y- in Armenian. AArmL 19, 15-18. [This

vol., 122-124].

1998b Arm. gom 'am'. AArmL 19, 19-20. [This vol., 125].

1998c Armenian glottalization revisited. AArmL 19, 11-14. [This vol., 126-128].

1999 The Armenian causative. AArmL 20, 47-49. [This vol., 129-130].

2001 Arm. ariwn 'blood'. AArmL 21, 11-12. [This vol., 131-132].

An Indo-European substratum in Slavic? In: A. Bammesberger (ed.) *Languages in Prehistoric Europe*. Heidelberg: Winter, 253-260.

forthc. Initial laryngeals in Anatolian. Orpheus 11.

Kuipers, Aert H.

1974 The Shuswap language: grammar, texts, dictionary. The Hague: Mouton.

Lamberterie, Charles de

1978 Armeniaca I-VIII: études lexicales. BSL 73/1, 243-285.

1979 Le signe du pluriel en arménien classique. BSL 74/1, 319-332.

1998 Sur la "Loi de Meillet". Comptes rendus de l'Académie des inscriptions & belles-lettres 1998, 881-903.

Lehmann, Winfred P.

1951 The distribution of Proto-Indo-European /r/. Language 27/1, 13-17.

1965 Germanic evidence. In: W. Winter (ed.) *Evidence for laryngeals*. The Hague: Mouton, 212-223.

Lejeune, Michel

1979 Regards sur les sonores i.e. en vieux phrygien. In: Florilegium Anatolicum: Mélanges offerts à Emmanuel Laroche. Paris: Boccard, 219-224.

Leskien, August

1962 Handbuch der altbulgarischen (altkirchenslavischen) Sprache: Grammatik, Texte, Glossar. Heidelberg: Winter.

Lidén, Evald

1906 Baumnamen und Verwandtes. IF 18, 485-509.

Lindeman, Fredrik Otto

1982 The triple representation of Schwa in Greek and some related problems of Indo-European phonology. Oslo: Universitetsforlaget.

Lubotsky, Alexander

1981 Gr. πήγνυμι : Skt. *pajrá*- and loss of laryngeals before mediae in Indo-Iranian. *MSS* 40, 133-138.

1988 The Old Phrygian Areyastis-inscription. Kadmos 27, 9-26.

Makaev, Ènver A.

1971 Problema indoiranskogo jazykovogo edinstva. Voprosy

jazykoznanija 3, 7-20.

Mawet, Francine

1986 Les développements fonctionnels de arménien (e)t'e. La place de l'arménien dans les langues indo-européennes. (= Mémoires de l'Académie Royale de Belgique, Classe des Lettres, Fonds René Draguet, Tome III). Leuven: Peeters, 76-89.

Meillet, Antoine

1894a Notes arméniennes. MSL 8, 153-165.

1894b De quelques difficultés de la théorie des gutturales indoeuropéennes. MSL 8, 277-304.

1894c Varia. MSL 8, 235-245.

1896 Varia. MSL 9, 149-159.

1900a Étymologies arméniennes. MSL 11, 390-401.

1900b Recherches sur la syntaxe comparée de l'arménien. II. Les règles d'accord de l'adjectif. MSL 11, 369-389. [= 1962: 39-59].

1909 Armeniaca. MSL 15, 555-557.

1911 Recherches sur la syntaxe comparée de l'arménien. V. Emploi des formes du pluriel des substantifs. *MSL* 17, 1-35. [= 1962: 123-157].

1913 Altarmenisches Elementarbuch. Heidelberg: Winter. (Reprint 1980).

1921 Arménien yag. BSL 22, 20.

1922 Les dialectes indo-européens. Paris: Champion.

1936 Esquisse d'une grammaire comparée de l'arménien classique. Vienne: Mékhitharistes.

1962 Études de linguistique et de philologie arméniennes I: Recherches sur la syntaxe comparée de l'arménien, suivies de la composition en arménien. Lisboa: Imprensa Nacional.

1977 Études de linguistique et de philologie arméniennes II. Louvain: Imprimerie Orientaliste.

Meyer, Gustav

1891 Etymologisches Wörterbuch der albanesischen Sprache. Straßburg: Trübner.

1892 Albanesische Studien III: Lautlehre der indogermanischen Bestandtheile des Albanesischen. Sitzungsberichte der philosophisch-historischen Classe der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften (Wien) 125/11.

Muller, G.

1984a The development of vocalized laryngeals in Old Armenian. *APILKU* 4, 95-98.

1984b Notes on four Old Armenian etymologies. APILKU 4, 99-101.

Oettinger, Norbert

1979 Die Stammbildung des hethitischen Verbums. Nürnberg: Hans Carl.

Ölberg, Hermann M.

- 1968 Idg. k vor u im Albanischen. Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft und Kulturkunde: Gedenkschrift für Wilhelm Brandenstein. Innsbruck: Institut für vergleichende Sprachwissenschaft, 109-118.
- 1969 Zur Neutralisierung von palatalem und velarem k vor Liguida. Studi linguistici in onore di Vittore Pisani II. Brescia: Paideia, 683-690.
- 1972 Einige Überlegungen zur Laryngaltheorie (An Hand des Albanischen). KZ 86, 121-136.
- 1976 Zwei oder drei Gutturalreihen? Vom Albanischen aus gesehen. In: Scritti in onore di Giuliano Bonfante II. Brescia: Paideia, 561-570.

Olsen, Birgit A.

- On the development of Indo-European prothetic vowels in Classical Armenian. APILKU 4, 103-118. [reprinted in: REArm 19 (1985), 5-17].
- 1986 The Armenian continuations of Indo-European intervocalic *w. AArmL 7, 51-56.
- 1988 The formation of the subjunctive paradigm in Classical Armenian. *AArmL* 9, 7-14.
- 1993 On the origins of Armenian c'. The second international symposium on Armenian linguistics: Proceedings. Yerevan, 25-48.
- 1999 The Noun in Biblical Armenian. Berlin / New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

Pedersen, Holger

- Das indogermanische s im Slavischen. IF 5, 33-87.
- 1900a Die gutturale im Albanesischen. KZ 36, 277-340.
- 1900b Albanesisch und Armenisch. KZ 36, 340-341. [= Kl.S., 1-2].
- 1900c Wie viel laute gab es im Indogermanischen? KZ 36, 74-110.
- 1905a Zur armenischen Sprachgeschichte. *KZ* 38, 194-240. [= Kl.S., 56-240].
- 1905b Les pronoms démonstratifs de l'ancien arménien. Det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskabs Skrifter. Sjette Række. Historisk og filosofisk Afdeling VI. 3. [= Kl.S., 8-55].
- 1906 Armenisch und die nachbarsprachen. KZ 39, 334-485. [= Kl.S., 112-263].
- 1938 Hittitisch und die anderen indoeuropäischen Sprachen. København: Levin & Munksgaard.

1982 [Kl.S.] Kleine Schriften zum Armenischen, herausgegeben von Rüdiger Schmitt. Hildesheim: Olms, 1982.

Perikhanian, Anahit G.

1966 Une inscription araméenne du roi Artašēs trouvée à Zanguézour. REArm 3, 17-29.

Peters, Martin

1980 Untersuchungen zur Vertretung der indogermanischen Laryngale im Griechischen. Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.

Pinault, Georges-Jean

1997 Remarque sur le pluriel tokh. B akrūna, A ākrunt. Lubotsky, A. (ed.) Sound law and analogy: Papers in honor of Robert S.P. Beekes on the occasion of his 60th birthday. Amsterdam: Rodopi, 219-233.

Pisani, Vittore

- 1934 Armeniaca. KZ 61, 180-189.
- 1944 Armenische Studien. KZ 68, 157-177.
- 1950 Studi sulla fonetica dell'armeno. *Ricerche Linguistiche* 1, 165-193. Pisowicz, Andrzej
 - 1976a Le développement du consonantisme arménien. Wrocław: Zakład Imienia Osolińskich Wydawnictwo Polskiej Akademii Nauk.
 - 1976b Matériaux pour servir à la recherche du consonantisme arménien. Folia Orientalia 17, 197-216.
 - 1997 Consonant shifts in Armenian dialects during the post-classical period revisited. In: *Armenian perspectives*. Richmond, Surrey: Curzon, 215-230.

Pokorny, Julius

1959 Indogermanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch. I. Bern: Francke. Polomé, Edgar C.

1980 Armenian and the Proto-Indo-European laryngeals. First international conference on Armenian linguistics: Proceedings. Delmar: Caravan Books, 17-33.

Price, Glanville

1971 The French language: Present and past. London: Edward Arnold. Ruijgh, Cornelis J.

1985 Problèmes de philologie mycénienne. Minos, N.S. 19, 105-167.

1992 po-ku-ta et po-ku-te-ro, dérivés de *poku 'petit bétail'. Mykenaïka (Bulletin de correspondance hellénique, supplément XXV, École Française d'Athènes), 543-562.

Saussure, Ferdinand de

1892a [Sanscrit th]. BSL 7 (1888-1892), cxviii. [= Recueil, 603].

- 1892b Varia. MSL 7, 73-93. [= Recueil, 435-463].
- 1922 Recueil des publications scientifiques. Genève: Société Anonyme des Éditions Sonor.

Schindler, Jochem

1975 Armenisch erkn, griechisch ὁδύνη, irisch idu. KZ 89/1, 53-65.

Schmitt, Rüdiger

- 1972/74 Die Erforschung des Klassisch-Armenischen seit Meillet (1936). Kratylos 17, 1-68.
- 1975 Von Bopp bis Hübschmann: das Armenische als indogermanische Sprache. KZ 89, 3-30.
- 1981 Grammatik des Klassisch-Armenischen mit sprachvergleichenden Erläuterungen. Innsbruck: Institut für vergleichende Sprachwissenschaft. (Innsbrucker Beiträge zur Sprachwissenschaft; 32).
- 1996 Some remarks on Armenian *nēr* 'sister-in-law, brother's wife'.

 AArmL 17, 21-24.

Schrijver, Peter

1991 The reflexes of the Proto-Indo-European laryngeals in Latin. Amsterdam: Rodopi.

Shevelov, George Y.

1964 A prehistory of Slavic: The historical phonology of Common Slavic, Heidelberg: Winter.

Skautrup, Peter

1928 Klusiler og "yngre" stød i vestjysk. *Acta Philologica* Scandinavica 3, 32-51.

Solta, Georg R.

- 1960 Die Stellung des Armenischen im Kreise der indogermanischen Sprachen. Wien: Mechitharisten-Buchdruckerei.
- 1965 Palatalisierung und Labialisierung. IF 70, 276-315.

Steensland, Lars

1973 Die Distribution der urindogermanischen sogenannten Gutturale. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell. (Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis. Studia Slavica; 12).

Stempel, Reinhard

Final IE *-s and the Old Armenian plural marker -k'. AArmL 15, 1-19.

Szemerényi, Oswald

1960 Studies in the Indo-European system of numerals. Heidelberg: Winter.

Thurneysen, Rudolf

1946 A Grammar of Old Irish. Dublin: Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies.

Trautmann, Reinhold

1923 Baltisch-Slavisches Wörterbuch. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

Trubetzkoy, Nikolaj S.

1930 Über die Entstehung der gemeinwestslavischen Eigentümlichkeiten auf dem Gebiete des Konsonantismus. Zeitschrift für slavische Philologie 7, 383-406.

Vaillant, André

1966 Grammaire comparée des langues slaves III: Le verbe. Paris: Klincksieck.

Van Windekens, Albert J.

1976 Encore le terme 'larme' en indo-européen. KZ 90, 12-17.

Vasmer, Max

1958 Russisches etymologisches Wörterbuch. 3. Band. Heidelberg: Winter.

Vennemann, Theo

1986 Syllable-based sound changes in early Armenian. AArmL 7, 27-43.

Viredaz, Rémy

2000 k'erb, jerb, [Gr.] χερσί. Historische Sprachforschung 113, 290-307.

Vogt, Hans

1938 Arménien et caucasique du sud. NTS 9, 321-338.

1958 Les occlusives de l'arménien. NTS 18, 143-161.

Weitenberg, Joseph J.S.

1975 Armenisch *ort* 'Weinstock, Rebe', griechisch πτόρθος und hethitisch *paršdu-. KZ* 89, 66-75.

1982 Remarks on the pronominal genitive in Classical Armenian. *REArm* 17, 113-121.

The inflexion of mi 'one' as a trace of Proto-Armenian nominal gender. International symposium on Armenian linguistics: Reports. Erevan: AN Arm. SSR, 195-218.

1985 Additional -n in Armenian. AArmL 6, 101-106.

1986 Additional h-, initial y- and Indo-European *y- in Armenian. La place de l'arménien dans les langues indo-européennes. (= Mémoires de l'Académie Royale de Belgique, Classe des Lettres, Fonds René Draguet, Tome III). Leuven: Peeters, 90-101.

The prepositional group *i y-* and the orthography of Gospel manuscript M (Matenadaran 6200). *AArmL* 18, 39-50.

Winter, Werner

1962 Problems of Armenian Phonology III. Language 38, 254-262.

1965 Armenian Evidence. In: W. Winter (ed.) Evidence for laryngeals. The Hague: Mouton, 100-115.

- 1966 Traces of early dialectal diversity in Old Armenian. In: H. Birnbaum & J. Puhvel (eds.) Ancient Indo-European dialects. Berkeley: University of California Press, 201-211.
- 1975 Personalendungen des Imperfekts und **Aorists** Armenischen, KZ 89, 110-122.
- 1992 Armenian. In: J. Gvozdanović (ed.) Indo-European numerals. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 347-359.

Zabrocki, Ludwik

1992 Usilnienie i lenicja w językach indoeuropejskich i w ugrofińskim. Poznań: Nakł. Poznańskiego Tow. Przyjaciół Nauk. (Prace komisji filologicznej; 13/3).

Bibliographical abbreviations

AArmL Annual of Armenian Linguistics.

APILKU Arbeidspapirer udsendt af Institut for Lingvistik, Københavns Universitet.

BSLBulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Paris.

IFIndogermanische Forschungen.

JAOS Journal of the American Oriental Society.

KLS. Kleine Schriften.

KZZeitschrift für vergleichende Sprachforschung auf dem Gebiete der indogermanischen Sprachen (Kuhn's Zeitschrift).

Mémoires de la Société de Linguistique de Paris. MSL

Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft. MSS

Norsk Tidsskrift for Sprogvidenskap. NTS **REArm** Revue des Études Arméniennes (n.s.).

Studia Caucasica. **SCauc**